1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	RITA K. LOMIO – 254501 MARGOT MENDELSON – 268583 PRISON LAW OFFICE 1917 Fifth Street Berkeley, California 94710-1916 Telephone: (510) 280-2621 Facsimile: (510) 280-2704 MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891 GAY C. GRUNFELD – 121944 PENNY GODBOLD – 226925 MICHAEL FREEDMAN – 262850 ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP 101 Mission Street, Sixth Floor San Francisco, California 94105-1738 Telephone: (415) 433-6830 Facsimile: (415) 433-7104 LINDA D. KILB – 136101	CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of the State of California MONICA ANDERSON Senior Assistant Attorney General DAMON G. MCCLAIN – 209508 Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOANNA B. HOOD – 264078 Acting Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANNAKARINA DE LA TORRE FENNELL – 301862 Deputy Attorney General SEAN LODHOLZ – 299096 Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7343 Facsimile: (916) 324-5205 Attorneys for Defendants
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
17	LINITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
18		
19	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
20		
	JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,	Case No. C94 2307 CW
21	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER PROHIBITING
22	v.	RETALIATION
23	GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CEIDIU ATION AND IDDODOGEDI ODD	Case No. C94 2307 CW

On February 28, 2020, Plaintiffs filed their Motion to Stop Defendants from Assaulting, Abusing and Retaliating Against People With Disabilities at R.J. Donovan Correctional Facility ("Motion"). *See* Dkt. 2922. In support of the Motion, fifty-four incarcerated people ("Declarants") submitted declarations. Some of the Declarants alleged instances in which correctional officers at R.J. Donovan Correctional Facility ("RJD") retaliated against them or others for, among other things, submitting or threatening to submit complaints regarding staff misconduct or failures to provide disability accommodations. And some of the Declarants also alleged instances in which correctional officers at RJD retaliated against incarcerated people by charging incarcerated people with false rules violations reports.

Defendants wish to take measures to assure the Declarants, *Armstrong* class members, and other incarcerated people who participate in the Motion that they will not be retaliated against for their participation in the Motion or for testifying or otherwise participating in this proceeding. Additionally, Defendants wish to reiterate to their employees that retaliation against anyone, including the Declarants, *Armstrong* class members, and incarcerated people at RJD, for exercising their federal rights or for participating in the Motion will not be tolerated by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and that any such retaliation would be a violation of the law.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the parties, through their undersigned counsel, as follows:

- 1. The parties agree that Defendants and their employees are prohibited from retaliating against the Declarants, *Armstrong* class members at RJD, or incarcerated people at RJD for participating in the Motion.
 - 2. Defendants agree to:
- (a) Assess any allegations of retaliation against the Declarants, *Armstrong* class members, or incarcerated people at RJD for participating in the Motion, including by using staff from outside RJD to conduct the assessments;

Case No. C94 2307 CW

1	(b) Refer instances of retaliation to the Office of Internal Affairs when		
2	criteria for referral is met, and issue discipline if warranted; and		
3	(c) Post notices in the facilities at RJD explaining that incarcerated		
4	people have a right to be free from retaliation for exercising their federal rights, and that		
5	any retaliation against incarcerated people for exercising their rights under the ADA, for		
6	reporting staff misconduct, or for participating in the Motion would be a violation of the		
7	law.		
8	3. Defendants further agree not to communicate with any of the Declarants		
9	regarding matters covered by their declarations or any alleged retaliation related to their		
10	participation in the Motion without first providing notice to Plaintiffs' counsel and an		
11	opportunity for Plaintiffs' counsel to participate in any interview or communications.		
12	4. Defendants agree to respond to inquiries from Plaintiffs' counsel regarding		
13	Defendants' efforts to comply with the terms of this stipulation and any alleged retaliation		
14	against the Declarants, Armstrong class members, or incarcerated people at RJD for		
15	participating in the Motion.		
16	5. The parties agree that if the Court finds that retaliation has occurred against		
17	the Declarants, Armstrong class members, or incarcerated people at RJD for participating		
18	in the Motion, the Court may issue appropriate relief.		
19	6. This stipulation will expire six months after the Court's ruling on the Motion		
20	becomes final.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	/// /// /// /// /// /// ///		
28			

Case No. C94 2307 CW

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
2	D. 1777 No. 1 17 2000	ROSEN BIEN GALVAN & GRUNFELD LLP	
3	DATED: March 17, 2020		
4		By: /s/ Gay Crosthwait Grunfeld	
5		Gay Crosthwait Grunfeld	
6		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
7	DATED: March 17, 2020	XAVIER BECERRA	
8		Attorney General of the State of California	
9		By: /s/ Joanna B. Hood	
10		Joanna B. Hood Acting Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
11		Acting Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
12		Attorneys for Defendants	
13			
14	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
15			
16	This Court having reviewed the above Stipulation of the parties, and good cause		
17	appearing, it is hereby ORDERED as follows:		
18		iployees are prohibited from retaliating against the	
19			
20	the Motion.		
21	2. Defendants shall:		
22		ations of retaliation against the Declarants, Armstrong	
23	class members, or incarcerated people at RJD for their participation in the Motion,		
24	including by using staff from outside RJD to conduct the assessments;		
25		f retaliation to the Office of Internal Affairs when	
26			
27		e facilities at RJD explaining that incarcerated	
28	people have a right to be free from retaliation for exercising their federal rights, and that Case No. C94 2307 CV		
	STIPLII ATION AND IPROPOSE	DI ORDER PROHIBITING RETALIATION AGAINST	

CLASS MEMBER DECLARANTS

1	any retaliation against incarcerated people for exercising their rights under the ADA, for				
2	reporting staff misconduct, or for participating in the Motion would be a violation of the				
3	law.				
4	3. Defendants shall not communicate with any of the Declarants regarding				
5	matters covered by their declarations or any alleged retaliation related to their participation				
6	in the Motion without first providing notice to Plaintiffs' counsel and an opportunity for				
7	Plaintiffs' counsel to participate in any interview or communications.				
8	4. Defendants shall respond to inquiries from Plaintiffs' counsel regarding				
9	Defendants' efforts to comply with the terms of this stipulation and any alleged retaliation				
10	against the Declarants, Armstrong class members, or incarcerated people at RJD for				
11	participating in the Motion.				
12	5. If the Court finds that retaliation has occurred, the Court will issue				
13	appropriate relief.				
ا 4	6. This order will terminate six months after the Court's ruling on the Motion				
15	becomes final.				
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
ا 17					
18	DATED: March 17, 2020 Honorable Claudia Wilken				
19	United States District Judge				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	4 Case No. C94 2307 CW				
	T T				