## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

|                                 | *   |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| CASA DE MARYLAND, INC., et al., |     |
| Plaintiffs,                     | *   |
| v.                              | *   |
|                                 | *   |
| CHAD F. WOLF, et al.,           | *   |
| Defendants.                     | *   |
|                                 | *** |
|                                 |     |

Civil Action No. 8:20-cv-02118-PX

## **ORDER**

Upon consideration of the pending motion for preliminary injunction or a stay pending judicial review, ECF No. 23; the memoranda in support and opposition to this motion, and the exhibits thereto; the arguments presented at hearings on August 14 and August 28, 2020; the final rules, *see* "Removal of 30-Day Processing Provision for Asylum Applicant-Related Form I–765 Employment Authorization Applications," 85 Fed. Reg. 37,502-37,546 (June 22, 2020) ("Timeline Repeal Rule); and "Asylum Application, Interview, and Employment Authorization for Applicants," 85 Fed. Reg. 38,532-38,628 (June 26, 2020) ("Broader EAD Rules"); and the full record herein, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' motion at ECF No. 23 is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART; and it is further

**ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction at ECF No. 23 is GRANTED as to the following rule changes:

- The Timeline Repeal Rule, 85 Fed. Reg. at 37,545 (printing parts of the regulations to be codified at 8 C.F.R. § 208.7(a)(1));
- The 365-day waiting period, 85 Fed. Reg. at 38,626-28 (referenced throughout and

as codified at 8 C.F.R. § 208.3(c)(3); § 208.7(a)(1)(ii), (a)(1)(iii)(E), and (b)(1)(i); and § 274a.12(c)(8));

- Removal of "deemed-complete" rule, 85 Fed. Reg. at 38,626 (codified at 8 C.F.R. § 208.3) (omitting instruction that if the agency has not "mailed the incomplete application back to the applicant within 30 days, it shall be deemed complete.").
- The discretionary review rule, providing that agency is no longer required to issue EADs to eligible asylees, 85 Fed. Reg. at 38,628 (changes reflected at 8 C.F.R. § 274a.13(a)(1)).
- The one-year filing bar, 85 Fed. Reg. at 38,626 (codified at 8 C.F.R. § 208.7(a)(1)(iii)(F)).
- The rule requiring submission of biometric information as part of EAD applications, 85 Fed. Reg. at 38,626 (codified at 8 C.F.R. § 208.7(a)(1)(i) and (a)(1)(iv)(E); *id.* § 208.10).

**ORDERED** that the defendants shall be PRELIMINARILY ENJOINED from enforcing

the above rule changes against all members of the Plaintiff organizations Casa de Maryland,

Inc., and Asylum Seekers Advocacy Project, and it is further

**ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction at ECF No. 23 is

DENIED as to all other changes within the Timeline Repeal Rule and Broader EAD Rules that

were not expressly listed above; and it is further

**ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' motion for a stay pending judicial review at ECF No. 23 is

DENIED; and it is further

## Case 8:20-cv-02118-PX Document 70 Filed 09/11/20 Page 3 of 3

**ORDERED** that the motions for leave to file *amicus curiae* briefs filed by the Constitutional Accountability Center (ECF No. 38); Kids in Need of Defense (ECF No. 39); Morton Rosenberg (ECF No. 40); the District of Columbia and the State of New York, among other states (ECF No. 42); and the Oxfam America and the University of Maryland Carey Immigration Clinic (ECF No. 44) are GRANTED.

\_9/11/2020 Date

/S/ Paula Xinis U.S. District Court Judge