

1 Jason Harrow  
2 (Cal. Bar No. 308560)  
3 Gerstein Harrow LLP  
4 3243B S. La Cienega Blvd.  
5 Los Angeles, CA 90016  
6 jason@gerstein-harrow.com  
7 (323) 744-5293

8 Charles Gerstein  
9 (*Pro hac vice application forthcoming*)  
10 Gerstein Harrow LLP  
11 611 Pennsylvania Ave SE, No. 317  
12 Washington, DC 20003  
13 charlie@gerstein-harrow.com  
14 (202) 670-4809

15 Chris Williams  
16 Sheila Maddali  
17 Ryan H. Nelson  
18 National Legal Advocacy Network  
19 1 N LaSalle St., Suite 1275  
20 Chicago, IL 60602

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION**

17 ONE FAIR WAGE, INC.,  
18 Plaintiff,  
19 vs.  
20 DARDEN RESTAURANTS, INC.,  
21 Defendant.

Case No. 4:21-cv-2695

**COMPLAINT**

**Date: April 15, 2021**

**Preliminary Statement**

24 1. The lowest legal cash wage in the United States is \$2.13 per hour.  
25 It is legal to pay employees this wage only if they are within a narrow class of  
26 service employees who receive tips, like restaurant servers and bartenders,  
27 and if paying this wage does not violate any other laws. But paying such a low  
28

1 cash wage increases sexual harassment in the workplace, and encouraging or  
2 facilitating tipping without mediating that process creates a clear and  
3 significant tipped wage gap between employees of color and white employees.  
4 When a company adopts wage policies or practices like these that result in  
5 disparate, negative impacts on the basis of sex and race, and there is no  
6 business necessity for doing so, it engages in illegal employment discrimination  
7 under federal law.

8         2. Defendant Darden Restaurants, Inc., has done just that. Darden  
9 maintains a national, corporate-level policy or practice that local managers  
10 must pay the lowest possible cash wage to all tipped employees. As a result,  
11 Darden pay tens of thousands of its roughly 175,000 employees the lowest  
12 legally-permissible cash wage and encourages and facilitates tipping on top of  
13 that without any mediation of its tipping process. In 43 states, this cash wage  
14 is called the “subminimum wage” because it is well below the minimum wage  
15 for non-tipped employees (which, at the federal level, is \$7.25 per hour). Under  
16 federal law, only tipped employees are permitted to be paid a cash wage this  
17 low, and only then on the condition that, if the combined total of the employee’s  
18 cash wages plus tips do not result in a total of \$7.25 per hour, then the  
19 employer must make up the difference with an increased payment to bring that  
20 employee up to \$7.25 per hour. Nothing in federal law requires tipped workers  
21 to be paid a subminimum wage or compels tipping in this manner, and nothing  
22 in federal law permits employers to pay a subminimum wage or compel tipping  
23 in this manner if doing either violates federal law.

24         3. Darden’s wage policies result in increased sexual harassment of  
25 workers and disparate wages for workers across racial groups. These effects  
26 violate the prohibitions on workplace discrimination in Title VII of the Civil  
27 Rights Act of 1964 (“Title VII”), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2.  
28

1           4.       According to empirical and anecdotal data from current and  
2 former Darden employees, and supported by studies measuring the impact of  
3 wage policies like Darden's, the subminimum wage is the direct cause of, or at  
4 least a motivating factor in, a documented increase in sexual harassment. A  
5 key reason for this is that the subminimum wage puts great pressure on tipped  
6 employees to have the customers, rather than Darden, pay employees their  
7 legally-required wages. This, in turn, means that managers have an incentive  
8 to ignore, indulge, or even encourage sexual harassment, including requiring  
9 or encouraging employees to flirt or dress suggestively. Without Darden's cash  
10 wage policy, these illegal effects would be substantially lessened.

11           5.       Separately, Darden's tipping policy has led directly to, or was at  
12 least a motivating factor in, tipped employees of color being paid less in tips  
13 than tipped white employees. The reason is clear: it is Darden's corporate  
14 policy or practice to encourage and facilitate tipping for positions like servers  
15 and bartenders without mediation, which means that Darden, as a corporation,  
16 requires its customers to directly set the wage levels for tens of thousands of  
17 its employees without any oversight. But customers are often capricious, not  
18 systematic; they are often emotional, not rational; and they often bring  
19 conscious and unconscious racial and other biases with them when they eat  
20 out. Thus, whether intentional or not, and whether made by customers who  
21 have had two bottles of wine or none at all, customer decisions about whether  
22 and how much to tip have resulted in employees of color being paid  
23 meaningfully less than white employees because of their race. To be clear,  
24 tipping itself is not the problem. Rather, Darden's tipping policy, which does  
25 nothing to mitigate customers' tip choices, is the problem.



1 owned Olive Gardens in the United States, which makes the Olive Garden the  
2 largest full-service-dining Italian restaurant chain in the country. The other  
3 seven brands that Darden owns operate another 1,000 or so restaurants. All  
4 told, Darden had sales of \$7.81 billion dollars in fiscal year 2020, which  
5 included the first few months of the pandemic. Darden operates restaurants in  
6 all 50 states, including approximately 74 Olive Gardens and 25 Yard Houses  
7 in California with several thousand Darden employees working in California.

8 10. Darden is an “employer” under Title VII, it has had more than 15  
9 employees at all relevant times, and the policies that caused One Fair Wage  
10 harm applied to “employee[s]” of Darden, as that term is used under Title VII.

11  
12 **Jurisdiction**

13 11. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C.  
14 § 1331 because this action arises under the laws of the United States.

15  
16 **Venue**

17 12. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-  
18 5(f)(3) because Darden’s unlawful employment practices were committed in  
19 California, implemented in California, and had their effects felt in California,  
20 and because the employment records relevant to those unlawful employment  
21 practices are maintained and administered in this judicial district.

22 13. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391  
23 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims  
24 occurred in this judicial district and because Darden is subject to the court’s  
25 personal jurisdiction with respect to this action in this judicial district.

1 **Intradistrict Assignment**

2 14. Assignment to this division is proper because a substantial part  
3 of the events or omissions which give rise to the claims occurred in Alameda  
4 County, California.

5  
6 **Darden's Wage Policies**

7 15. Darden maintains two corporate wage policies or practices that  
8 have caused and continue to cause the disparate impacts explained further  
9 below: the cash wage policy and the tipping policy.

10 16. Darden has maintained both of its wage policies for at least the  
11 twenty years preceding this action, continues to maintain these policies today,  
12 and has maintained these policies at all times relevant to this action.

13  
14 ***Darden's Cash Wage Policy***

15 17. Darden maintains a policy or practice of setting and enforcing a  
16 cash wage for all "tipped employees," as that phrase is defined within the Fair  
17 Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 203(t), that is equal to the lowest  
18 possible cash wage in the state or municipality in which that employee works.  
19 That is, in 43 states (and the 7 other states before state law eventually forbade  
20 it), Darden's policy or practice is to pay its tipped employees a subminimum  
21 wage, which is the lowest legally-permissible cash wage permitted and less  
22 than the minimum wage for non-tipped employees.

23 18. For example, in the Team Member Handbook for one of Darden's  
24 brands, Darden states that "[t]his handbook briefly summarizes the policies  
25 and procedures of the family of Darden Restaurants" and that "[t]he goal of  
26 this handbook is to present policies and procedures that apply to all of Darden's  
27 restaurants." The handbook then states that, for "tipped team members,"  
28

1 Darden “takes the maximum permissible ‘tip credit’”—i.e., the difference  
2 between the cash wage and the minimum wage. Accordingly, Darden’s cash  
3 wage policy requires all tipped employees at all restaurants nationwide to be  
4 paid the lowest, legally-permissible cash wage permitted.

5 19. In February and March 2021, One Fair Wage conducted an  
6 informal poll of Darden employees. Hundreds of current and former Darden  
7 employees responded. Of those respondents who reported working only as a  
8 server for Darden, most told One Fair Wage that they were paid at or below  
9 the lowest legally-permissible cash wage. Many more told One Fair Wage that  
10 they were paid within a few cents of that. (Shockingly, roughly a quarter of  
11 servers reported being paid cash wages below what state law required.) This  
12 Darden-specific empirical data demonstrates the existence of a corporate-level  
13 cash wage policy or practice requiring local managers to pay tipped employees  
14 at Darden the lowest permissible cash wage which, in 43 states, is a  
15 subminimum wage as low as \$2.13 per hour.

16 20. Darden’s cash wage policy dictates a common restriction on how  
17 local managers are prohibited from exercising their discretion over tipped  
18 employees’ cash wage rates, and that directive pervades the entire company.  
19 Darden’s policy is a corporate-level instruction to local managers that they  
20 must pay tipped employees a cash wage as low as applicable law allows.

21 21. Darden enforces its policy by training local managers not to pay  
22 tipped employees a cash wage greater than legally permitted, prohibiting  
23 managers from doing the same (e.g., in its handbook), auditing tipped  
24 employees’ cash wage rates, and investigating and potentially disciplining  
25 managers who violate the corporate policy and pay tipped employees more than  
26 the company-imposed cash wage ceiling.

1           22. Although in 35 states the wage floor for tipped employees is  
2 higher than \$2.13 per hour, and in 7 states there is no distinction for large  
3 employers between the minimum wage for tipped employees and non-tipped  
4 employees, Darden's policy of paying the lowest legally-permissible cash wage  
5 to tipped employees is applicable nationally to all tipped employees at Darden  
6 restaurants across the United States.

7           23. For example, from July 2020 to December 2020, and absent any  
8 deviations applicable to local jurisdictions, the policy caused Darden to pay  
9 tipped employees working in South Carolina a cash wage of \$2.13 per hour and  
10 those working in New York City a cash wage of \$10.00 per hour. In South  
11 Carolina, Darden thus took the maximum tip credit of \$5.12 per hour (i.e., the  
12 difference between the federal minimum wage of \$7.25 per hour and \$2.13 per  
13 hour in cash wages), and Darden was required to pay additional cash wages to  
14 its employees if their tips were insufficient to make up that difference. In New  
15 York City, the legally-imposed wage floor is higher, but the calculation is the  
16 same: Darden paid employees a cash wage of \$10.00 per hour and took the  
17 maximum tip credit of \$5.00 per hour (i.e., the difference between the statewide  
18 minimum wage of \$15.00 per hour and \$10.00 per hour in cash wages). As in  
19 South Carolina, Darden was required to directly pay its employees additional  
20 wages if their cash wages and tips did not sum to \$15.00 per hour.

21           24. In total, Darden pays roughly 20% of its entire workforce a cash  
22 wage of \$2.13 per hour. That is the lowest legally-permissible cash wage  
23 nationwide, and it applies in 15 states.

24           25. The majority of Darden's tipped employees are paid a  
25 subminimum wage that is lower than \$7.25 per hour.

26           26. Darden encourages employees who are paid a subminimum wage  
27 to try to earn enough tips to make up the difference between the tipped  
28



1 minimum wage and the minimum wage, and it facilitates this through tracking  
2 and reporting tips, so Darden will not need to make up this difference in  
3 additional cash wages.

4 27. Darden has fought to preserve its alleged right to maintain its  
5 cash wage policy by lobbying to preserve the subminimum wage of \$2.13 per  
6 hour under federal law. Restaurant Opportunities Centers United, *Darden: At*  
7 *the Drop of a Dime* 1 (2020).<sup>1</sup>

### 8 9 ***Darden's Tipping Policy***

10 28. Darden maintains a policy or practice of actively encouraging and  
11 facilitating, and essentially tacitly requiring, its customers to tip its tipped  
12 employees, including all servers and bartenders at all of its restaurants,  
13 without mediating that process in any way. In other words, Darden's policy  
14 encourages and facilitates tipping without interposing between customers  
15 tipping and employees taking those tips home as wages. The intent and effect  
16 of Darden's policy is that Darden's customers directly determine a large portion  
17 of the wages paid to Darden's tipped employees.

18 29. Darden's active encouragement and facilitation of customer  
19 tipping as a form of employee compensation takes several forms. For instance,  
20 it is corporate policy that restaurants print a "tip" line on customers' bills; that  
21 restaurants recommend tip percentages on bills for perceived good service  
22 (although Darden does not audit this recommendation to confirm whether  
23 customers actually tip based on employee merit); and that restaurants post  
24 signage on menus, bills, and elsewhere encouraging customers to tip. Darden  
25 also actively facilitates its tipping policy by, for instance, maintaining the Tip  
26

27 <sup>1</sup> [https://rocunited.org/wp-](https://rocunited.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/7/2020/02/DardenAtTheDropOfADime.pdf)  
28 [content/uploads/sites/7/2020/02/DardenAtTheDropOfADime.pdf](https://rocunited.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/7/2020/02/DardenAtTheDropOfADime.pdf).

1 Reporting Alternative Commitment with the IRS, which is a voluntary  
2 agreement that requires employees to track and report tips to Darden and the  
3 IRS, and by disciplining employees who fail to accurately track and report tips  
4 to Darden and managers who acquiesce in the same.

5 30. In the employee handbook described above, Darden acknowledges  
6 that it encourages and facilitates customers directly making compensation  
7 decisions for tipped employees and that Darden does not mediate that process.  
8 The handbook, which applies across all of Darden restaurants nationwide,  
9 states that, “[i]f you are a tipped team member, tips will account for a large  
10 portion of your earnings. The Internal Revenue Service requires that you  
11 accurately report the amount of tips you receive and keep. Under federal law,  
12 YOU MUST REPORT AND PAY INCOME TAXES ON 100% OF YOUR TIP  
13 INCOME.” The handbook also advises Darden’s tipped employees that “you  
14 should keep a daily record of the cash and charge tips you receive (many of the  
15 restaurants have tip logs available for you—ask a manager).” Hence, Darden’s  
16 corporate-level tipping policy encourages and facilitates tipping for all tipped  
17 employees at all of its restaurants, even those employees who are paid a cash  
18 wage greater than a subminimum wage (e.g., tipped employees who work in  
19 states that require a cash wage for tipped employees equal to the minimum  
20 wage for non-tipped employees), without mediating that process.

21 31. The poll referenced above confirms the existence of Darden’s  
22 tipping policy. The overwhelming majority of respondents who identified their  
23 job as server or bartender reported receiving at least some tips in connection  
24 with working for Darden. This Darden-specific empirical data demonstrates  
25 the existence of a national, corporate-level tipping policy.

26 32. Darden’s tipping policy is a corporate-level restriction on local  
27 manager discretion that requires local managers to allow customers to tip  
28

1 employees without any mediation of that process and to help facilitate that  
 2 tipping. At the same time, the corporate policy does not permit local managers  
 3 the discretion to use alternative systems, even if local managers know or  
 4 suspect that those alternatives would better match employees' wages to  
 5 employees' performance and would minimize any consideration of  
 6 discriminatory factors (e.g., employee race) in pay received.

### 8 **Darden's Cash Wage Policy Increases Sexual Harassment**

9 33. Darden employees paid a subminimum wage pursuant to the cash  
 10 wage policy suffered more and worse sexual harassment than Darden  
 11 employees paid at least the minimum wage. The cash wage policy is the direct  
 12 cause, or at least a motivating cause, of this disparate impact. That is, Darden's  
 13 cash wage policy caused sex to play more of a role in the jobs of all employees  
 14 subject to the policy, regardless of the employees' sexes.

15 34. The poll referenced above showed that Darden employees paid a  
 16 subminimum wage pursuant to the policy suffered more and worse sexual  
 17 harassment than Darden employees paid the minimum wage. For example,  
 18 Darden employees working where Darden's policy causes servers and  
 19 bartenders to be paid a subminimum wage reported the following sexual  
 20 harassment (emphasis added to highlight the significant frequency and  
 21 severity of the sexual harassment):<sup>2</sup>

- 22 a. "Manage[r]s . . . would *typically* make comments on female  
 23 workers bodies and their looks, weight, or how f\*\*kable  
 24 they were";

---

26 <sup>2</sup> Not included in this list is one report of sexual harassment against a line cook.  
 27 Although this was reported by an employee working where servers and bartenders  
 28 are paid a subminimum wage, line cooks are entitled to the minimum wage.

- 1           b.     “A married kitchen manager described *almost daily*, in  
2           great detail, what he’d like to do to me if we were ever  
3           alone”;
- 4           c.     “The head cook would *always* make very suggestive  
5           comments to me about my body and a manager would  
6           openly talk abo[u]t women working for Darden as well as  
7           customers”;
- 8           d.     “I *often* hear male team members talking sexually about  
9           other team members and guests as well. It[']s just  
10          dis[g]usting. About their fantasies or specific body parts.”;
- 11          e.     “As a woman, I got hit on *plenty*, and sexually harassed by  
12          *everybody* from coworkers to patrons/guests”;
- 13          f.     “There were *frequent* incidents of men sexually harassing  
14          me”;
- 15          g.     “Sexual jokes are *too common*, [and] people are too touchy”;
- 16          h.     “I’m a homosexual and I [got] sexually harassed *a lot*”;
- 17          i.     “There was *a lot* of sexual harassment going on in my  
18          store”;
- 19          j.     “[There] was a ma[l]e server that *always* made *very*  
20          personal, sexual remarks”;
- 21          k.     “Male [employees] *pretty regularly* made females feel  
22          uncomfortable and management did not do a whole lot  
23          about it”;
- 24          l.     “As a bartender it’s just part of the job, *many* customers  
25          make sexualized comments”;
- 26          m.     “*Always* making fun of the way I talked, that it was  
27          typically how a homosexual sounds”;
- 28

- 1 n. “Unwanted remarks and touching from cooks”;
- 2 o. “Being sexually objectified by male coworkers”;
- 3 p. “A manager got my address without permission and called
- 4 me drunk at 2 am trying to come see me as he was drunk”;
- 5 q. “[M]ale manager likes to hug but only female employees”;
- 6 r. “During training I was ‘fought’ over by male trainers[,] both
- 7 of whom made sexual advances to me that same day after
- 8 the shift off site”;
- 9 s. “Sexual harassment”; and
- 10 t. “[S]exist jokes.”

11 35. In contrast, Darden employees working where Darden’s policy  
12 causes servers and bartenders to be paid at least the minimum wage still  
13 reported sexual harassment in response to the poll, but not nearly the same  
14 amount or severity (emphasis added to highlight the limited frequency and  
15 severity compared to the sexual harassment reported above):

- 16 a. “I’ve had couples give me their hotel room keys, men try to
- 17 coerce me to drink with them on the job, also *constantly*
- 18 being called ‘sweetie’ or ‘honey’ and putting their hands on
- 19 me while serving them”;
- 20 b. “*Lots* of sexual harassment”;
- 21 c. “Had a manager pull me aside and ask me the sexual
- 22 orientation of a fellow server”; and
- 23 d. “Witness [s]exual harassment.”

24 36. Another specific example demonstrates how Darden’s policy  
25 causes Darden employees paid a subminimum wage to suffer more and worse  
26 sexual harassment than Darden employees paid a minimum wage. In her  
27 recent Charge of Discrimination filed against Darden with the U.S. Equal  
28

1 Employment Opportunity Commission (“EEOC”), Brooklynn Brunner (who  
2 was paid a subminimum wage pursuant to Darden’s cash wage policy when  
3 she worked for Darden in New York City from March 2018 to March 2020)  
4 stated that she “and other similarly situated servers are put in a position to be  
5 compliant and allow sexual harassment by customers, based on our sex as  
6 female servers, because we are dependent on customers for tips” and,  
7 “[b]ecause of my increased vulnerability to sexual harassment due to my sex  
8 and reliance on tips for my livelihood, Darden’s policy of paying the  
9 subminimum tipped wage has a disparate impact on me and similarly situated  
10 servers.” Brunner also stated that she suffered “frequent sexual harassment  
11 by customers while working as a server. This includes inappropriate  
12 comments, being hit on, and more,” including being “screamed and yelled at”  
13 by a male customer. She also gives examples of coworkers sexually harassing  
14 her, including one who was “grabbing my butt, telling me his genital size and  
15 asking me to grab it, saying sexually charged statements to me in passing, and  
16 more” and a second who was “forcibly unzipping my pants, grabbing my butt,  
17 and more.”

18 37. Empirical evidence confirms that paying a subminimum wage  
19 increases sexual harassment. For example, in Restaurant Opportunities  
20 Centers United & Forward Together, *The Glass Floor: Sexual Harassment in*  
21 *the Restaurant Industry* 14, 16 (2017),<sup>3</sup> Figures 3.4, 3.5, & 3.6 show an increase  
22 in the amount of sexual harassment that employees suffer because they are  
23 paid a subminimum wage (as per Figure 3.4, increasing the mean total sexual  
24 harassment from roughly 9% for employees in states prohibiting subminimum  
25 wages to over 16% for employees in states allowing a subminimum wage).

---

26  
27 <sup>3</sup> [https://chapters.rocunited.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/REPORT\\_The-Glass-Floor-Sexual-Harassment-in-the-Restaurant-Industry2.pdf](https://chapters.rocunited.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/REPORT_The-Glass-Floor-Sexual-Harassment-in-the-Restaurant-Industry2.pdf).

1 Another report similarly found that “[w]omen workers earning their state’s full  
2 minimum wage before tips reported half the rate of sexual harassment as  
3 women in the states that pay \$2.13 per hour.” Lisa Baertlein, *U.S. Restaurant*  
4 *Workers Target Low Wages in Campaign Against Sexual Harassment*, Reuters  
5 (Feb. 13, 2018). Finally, a recent empirical study by One Fair Wage itself shows  
6 that “[t]ipped workers who receive a subminimum wage . . . experience sexual  
7 harassment at a rate far higher than their non-tipped counterparts”; “[t]ipped  
8 workers were sexually harassed significantly more frequently, in every way  
9 measured, than their non-tipped counterparts”; “[t]hese experiences  
10 represented not one-time harassment, but often persisted over days, weeks,  
11 and in some cases, months”; and “[w]hen workers reported the sexual  
12 harassment, tipped workers were less likely to say that the situation was  
13 corrected than their non-tipped counterparts.” One Fair Wage, *The Tipping*  
14 *Point: How the Subminimum Wage Keeps Incomes Low and Harassment High*  
15 2–3 (2021).<sup>4</sup> As explained in the following paragraphs, this increased sexual  
16 harassment is attributable to managers, customers, and coworkers.

17 38. First, empirical data shows that employees paid a subminimum  
18 wage see an increase in management sexually harassing employees. To that  
19 end, *The Glass Floor* report found that female employees paid a subminimum  
20 wage were “three times more likely to be told by management to alter their  
21 appearance and to wear sexier, more revealing clothing” than female  
22 employees paid at least the minimum wage. *The Glass Floor*, *supra* ¶ 37, at 2–  
23 4. This is due, at least in part, to the perverse incentives created by the policy.  
24 Employers have a legal obligation to pay more cash wages if the tips received  
25 by an employee do not sum to the full tip credit, so managers are incentivized  
26

---

27 <sup>4</sup> [https://onefairwage.site/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/OFW\\_TheTippingPoint\\_3-](https://onefairwage.site/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/OFW_TheTippingPoint_3-1.pdf)  
28 [1.pdf](https://onefairwage.site/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/OFW_TheTippingPoint_3-1.pdf).

1 to encourage tipped employees to try to earn more in tips. Furthermore, “[d]ue  
2 to [management’s] desire to keep customers happy, management can be  
3 unresponsive to, or even indulgent of customer misbehavior,” including by  
4 “encourag[ing] sexual harassment from customers and co-workers by requiring  
5 employees to flirt and dress suggestively.” *Id.* at 8. Once a worker is objectified  
6 in this way, the worker is much more vulnerable to being sexually harassed by  
7 everyone at work, not just customers. For example, managers sexually harass  
8 subminimum wage workers more than other workers because subminimum  
9 wage workers protest less out of a well-founded fear that managers will  
10 retaliate by assigning them worse shifts or less-desirable sections of the  
11 restaurant, thereby leading to less tips. Similarly, cooks and other “back-of-  
12 the-house” workers sexually harass subminimum wage workers more than  
13 other workers because subminimum wage workers protest less out of a well-  
14 founded fear that these coworkers will retaliate by preparing food in a way that  
15 doesn’t match customers’ demands, again leading to less tips. One Fair Wage  
16 has confirmed from many discussions with Darden employees that this  
17 incentive exists at Darden and plays out in Darden restaurants in precisely  
18 this way.

19 39. Second, empirical data also shows that another repercussion of  
20 subminimum wages is an increase in customers sexually harassing employees.  
21 For example, *The Glass Floor* report found that, because employees depend on  
22 receiving enough tips to survive, “customers can feel entitled to treat servers  
23 inappropriately.” *Id.* at 8. In another empirical study proving this point,  
24 customers viewed sexual harassment against a female employee as “more  
25 legitimate when she received tips.” Oliver Klein et al., *Does Tipping Facilitate*  
26 *Sexual Objectification? The Effect of Tips on Sexual Harassment of Bar and*  
27  
28



1 *Restaurant Servers, Equality, Diversity & Inclusion* (2020).<sup>5</sup> Moreover, once a  
2 subminimum wage worker is objectified by managers and customers alike by  
3 being forced or encouraged to dress suggestively or flirt to get more tips, that  
4 worker is more vulnerable to sexual harassment from customers.

5 40. Third, empirical data further shows that another repercussion of  
6 employees being paid a subminimum wage, and thus being pressured by  
7 management to flirt with customers and dress suggestively to try to earn  
8 enough tips to survive, is an increase in coworkers sexually harassing  
9 employees who are now flirting more and dressing more suggestively at work  
10 and holding subminimum wage workers' tips over their heads (e.g., refusing to  
11 prepare food in the way the customer wants it unless the server acquiesces to  
12 sexual harassment). For example, *The Glass Floor* report found that "[t]ipped  
13 workers experienced higher rates of sexual harassment from co-workers in  
14 nearly every category than non-tipped workers." *The Glass Floor, supra* ¶ 37,  
15 at 18.

16 41. Finally, empirical data also shows that another repercussion of  
17 employees being paid a subminimum wage is an increase in those employees  
18 begrudgingly allowing sexual harassment to persist. Employees may acquiesce  
19 in, or even reluctantly espouse consent to, such unwelcome conduct under the  
20 threat of receiving less in tips, suffering retaliation by managers by being  
21 assigned unfavorable shifts or tables, being humiliated publicly or privately by  
22 their managers or coworkers, or even being fired. Dana Yagil, *When the*  
23 *Customer Is Wrong: A Review of Research on Aggression and Sexual*  
24 *Harassment in Service Encounters*, 13(2) *Aggression & Violent Behavior* 141,  
25 144 (2008) ("Managers tend to exclude waitresses who complain about  
26

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>5</sup> <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/EDI-04-2019-0127/full/html>.

1 harassment from the best tipping shifts and service stations.”). To that end, “it  
2 becomes difficult for workers to effectively draw lines between providing good  
3 service and tolerating inappropriate behavior from customers,” “[w]omen  
4 workers are often required or feel the need to dress or act in a sexualized  
5 manner in order to secure larger checks and tips from customers,” and  
6 “[w]omen restaurant workers often have to tolerate inappropriate comments  
7 and sexual harassment while at work in order to ensure their earnings are not  
8 impacted negatively and to maintain job security.” Indeed, “over 50% of tipped  
9 women workers agreed that depending on tips had led them to tolerate  
10 inappropriate behaviors that made them nervous or uncomfortable.” *The Glass*  
11 *Floor, supra* ¶ 37, at 8.

12 42. Anecdotal evidence confirms that employees paid a subminimum  
13 wage suffer more and worse sexual harassment than employees paid at least  
14 the minimum wage. Michelle Alexander, *Tipping Is a Legacy of Slavery*, N.Y.  
15 Times (Feb. 5, 2021); Catrin Einhorn & Rachel Abrams, *The Tipping Equation*,  
16 N.Y. Times (Mar. 12, 2018); Fatima Hussein, *How Low Wages for Tipped*  
17 *Workers May Invite Sexual Harassment*, Indystar (Feb. 23, 2018).

18 43. Because of the disproportionate quantity and quality of sexual  
19 harassment suffered by the Darden employees subject to the policy, those  
20 employees report and complain about sexual harassment to management and  
21 rebuff sexual harassment from customers more than Darden employees who  
22 are not subject to the policy, causing employees subject to the policy to suffer  
23 more financial retaliation from managers and customers. For example, Darden  
24 employees subject to the policy receive less in tips from rebuffed sexual  
25 harassers and are assigned worse tables or sections of the restaurant by the  
26 managers that they accused of sexual harassment.

1           44. Darden's cash wage policy perpetuates a cycle of systemic sexual  
2 harassment. Darden imposes a subminimum wage ceiling on tipped employees  
3 in 43 states. That leads to employees paid pursuant to the policy suffering more  
4 and worse sexual harassment than their coworkers who are not subject to the  
5 policy, which causes them to receive less pay because of the increased levels  
6 and severity of sexual harassment, forcing them to rely even more on tips and  
7 keeping their job just to make ends meet, which leads them to suffer even more  
8 and worse sexual harassment, and so on.

9  
10           **Darden's Tipping Policy Causes Darden's Employees of Color to Earn**  
11           **Less in Tips Than Darden's White Employees**

12           45. Because of Darden's tipping policy, Darden's employees of color  
13 receive less in tips, all else being equal, than Darden's white employees  
14 because of employees' races. That, in turn, means that Darden causes  
15 employees of color to be paid substantially less than white employees because  
16 of their race.

17           46. In particular, Darden has adopted a corporate policy or practice  
18 of encouraging and facilitating tipping for jobs like servers and bartenders,  
19 which results in customers directly determining a substantial part of these  
20 tipped employees' total wages. But Darden has failed to mediate that process  
21 (e.g., ensure that customers do not consider race or any other prohibited  
22 characteristic in deciding what amount to tip, ensure that employees' take-  
23 home pay is untainted by such considerations). It is thus unsurprising that  
24 Darden's customers regularly consider race in setting compensation for Darden  
25 employees and that tipped employees see the impact of those considerations on  
26 their paychecks. Darden does nothing to stop the practice of customers setting  
27  
28

1 wages by caprice rather than merit; rather, it affirmatively encourages and  
2 facilitates such disparities to thrive.

3 47. The facts are stark. One Fair Wage’s poll of Darden workers  
4 mentioned above demonstrated that Darden’s servers of color who received any  
5 tips in 2020 received roughly 82.04% of the tips per hour that Darden’s white  
6 servers who received any tips in 2020 received (this Darden-specific sample  
7 data approximates the empirical data discussed below, *infra* ¶ 52, suggesting  
8 that Darden-wide population data would likewise demonstrate a similar, race-  
9 based tip disparity). Specifically, Darden’s servers of color who reported  
10 receiving any tips in 2020 received a median of roughly \$7.31 in tips per hour,  
11 whereas Darden’s white servers who reported receiving any tips in 2020  
12 reported receiving a median of roughly \$8.91 in tips per hour. This Darden-  
13 specific, empirical evidence demonstrates that Darden’s tipping policy causes  
14 race to be at least a motivating factor in how much money Darden employees  
15 receive and significantly harms servers of color. To that end, one Darden  
16 employee told One Fair Wage that “black servers are tipped lower” than  
17 servers of other races/ethnicities, and another told One Fair Wage, “[b]ecause  
18 I was black I would mainly [g]et all the black people. I over heard guest not  
19 liking Mexican and black people. My white co workers made more money than  
20 me.”

21 48. Darden’s corporate-level tipping policy also allows local manager  
22 biases to affect employees’ wages. Forcing employees to be paid in tips without  
23 mediating that process places great importance on the shifts worked and  
24 sections covered for at least two reasons: dinner shifts and shifts on prime  
25 nights like weekends generally result in higher tips than lunch shifts and  
26 shifts on weekdays; and prime seating sections within each restaurant  
27 generally result in higher tips than non-prime sections because customers in  
28

1 prime sections may be wealthier, spend more money, or be used to paying  
2 higher tips. But Darden’s tipping policy has the effect of local managers  
3 relegating servers of color and bartenders of color to less-lucrative shifts and  
4 less-prime sections, which results in them receiving less in tips based on their  
5 race.

6 49. Specific examples highlight the effects of Darden’s tipping policy.  
7 For example, in their recent charges filed with the EEOC, Chanta Hunter (a  
8 Black employee who has worked for Darden in New York City since October  
9 2006), Luna St Furcy (a Caribbean-American employee who worked for Darden  
10 in the same location from June 2018 to March 2020), and Adam Jones (a Black  
11 employee who has worked for Darden at the same location since September  
12 2008) stated that they, as well as other servers of color and bartenders or color,  
13 received less in tips based on their race because of this policy. Moreover, in her  
14 recent charge filed with the EEOC, Pam Araiza (a Latina employee who  
15 worked for Darden in Naples, FL from 2007 until May 2017 and Washington,  
16 DC from May 2017 until 2020) alleged that she was “consistently assigned to  
17 sections of the restaurant known to generate less in tips, which management  
18 referred to as ‘Section 8’ or ‘my low-income world.’”

19 50. Darden’s employees of color have suffered, and continue to suffer,  
20 significant harm because of Darden’s tipping policy. Using the median tips per  
21 hour data from the poll referenced above, if all tipped Darden employees were  
22 to work full-time (i.e., 2,080 hours per year), tipped white Darden employees  
23 would each receive roughly \$18,536.70 in tips annually, whereas tipped  
24 Darden employees of color would each receive roughly \$15,206.59 in tips  
25 annually—a race-based difference of \$3,330.11 in tips per year per worker. For  
26 essential workers already receiving a subminimum wage and living paycheck  
27 to paycheck just to try to make ends meet, that difference is significant. To  
28

1 put into context how significant this Darden-imposed pay differential is, one  
2 African American server told One Fair Wage that he “had to get a therapist  
3 because of the lack of money I was receiving at the job,” that he “would make  
4 half the amount of coworkers” because of race, and that he has “depression and  
5 ptsd and suffer[s] from anxiety/ panic attacks” because of his job at Darden.

6 51. Darden employs roughly 167,000 hourly employees, roughly 51%  
7 of whom identify as racial or ethnic minorities. The majority of Darden’s hourly  
8 employees are tipped employees. Thus, Darden employs tens of thousands of  
9 tipped employees of color, upon each of whom it is inflicting at least several  
10 thousands of dollars of entirely-preventable, race-based pay discrimination  
11 every year due to its tipping policy. That adds up to hundreds of millions of  
12 dollars that Darden’s tipping policy illegally wrests from its employees of color.

13 52. Empirical research confirms these Darden-specific results and  
14 shows that this disparity is a predictable consequence of maintaining a policy  
15 or practice of encouraging and facilitating tips without any mediation. For  
16 example, one empirical study found that black servers receive about 78% of the  
17 tips that white servers receive. Zachary W. Brewster & Gerald Roman Nowak  
18 III, *Racial Prejudices, Racialized Workplaces, and Restaurant Servers’*  
19 *Hyperbolic Perceptions of Black–White Tipping Differences*, 60(2) *Cornell*  
20 *Hospitality Quarterly* 159, 163 tbl. 1 (2019) (the average tip percent for black  
21 servers was 14.05%; the average tip percent for white servers was 18.00%); *see*  
22 *also* Zachary W. Brewster & Michael Lynn, *Black–White Earnings Gap Among*  
23 *Restaurant Servers: A Replication, Extension, and Exploration of Consumer*  
24 *Racial Discrimination in Tipping*, 84 *Sociological Inquiry* 545, 557 (2014);  
25 Michael Lynn et al., *Consumer Racial Discrimination in Tipping: A Replication*  
26 *and Extension*, 38 *J. Applied Soc. Psych.* 1045, 1055–56 (2008); Gabriela  
27 Quintana, *I’m Going to Tip Minority Servers More – and Whites Less*, *Econ.*  
28

1 Opportunity Inst. (Oct. 16, 2018),  
2 [http://www.opportunityinstitute.org/blog/post/im-going-to-tip-minority-](http://www.opportunityinstitute.org/blog/post/im-going-to-tip-minority-servers-more-and-white-servers-less/)  
3 [servers-more-and-white-servers-less/](http://www.opportunityinstitute.org/blog/post/im-going-to-tip-minority-servers-more-and-white-servers-less/).

4 53. Anecdotal evidence also confirms that customers generally tip  
5 white servers more than servers of color. Alexander, *supra* ¶ 42; Casey  
6 Quinlan, *D.C. Servers and Bartenders Say the Tipped Wage System Isn't*  
7 *Working for Them*, Think Progress (June 12, 2018),  
8 [https://archive.thinkprogress.org/should-dc-restaurants-pay-minimum-wage-](https://archive.thinkprogress.org/should-dc-restaurants-pay-minimum-wage-these-servers-and-bartenders-think-so-c560d2269e7f//)  
9 [these-servers-and-bartenders-think-so-c560d2269e7f//](https://archive.thinkprogress.org/should-dc-restaurants-pay-minimum-wage-these-servers-and-bartenders-think-so-c560d2269e7f//); Restaurant  
10 Opportunities Centers United, *Ending Jim Crow in America's Restaurants:*  
11 *Racial and Gender Occupational Segregation in the Restaurant Industry* 26  
12 (2015), [https://chapters.rocunited.org/wp-](https://chapters.rocunited.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/RaceGender_Report_LR.pdf)  
13 [content/uploads/2015/10/RaceGender\\_Report\\_LR.pdf](https://chapters.rocunited.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/RaceGender_Report_LR.pdf); Kimberly Freeman  
14 Brown & Marc Bayardon, *When Tipping Doesn't Make the Difference*, *Ebony*  
15 (Feb. 15, 2016), [https://www.ebony.com/news/restaurant-women-tipping-](https://www.ebony.com/news/restaurant-women-tipping-wage/)  
16 [wage/](https://www.ebony.com/news/restaurant-women-tipping-wage/).

17 54. Anecdotal evidence confirms that restaurant managers (or those  
18 with similar authority) assign customers to servers based on servers' races,  
19 assigning black customers who the manager suspects will not tip well to  
20 servers of color and assigning white customers who the manager suspects will  
21 tip well to white servers. Alexander, *supra* ¶ 41; Vince Dixon, *The Case Against*  
22 *Tipping in America*, <https://www.eater.com/a/case-against-tipping> (citing  
23 Zachary Brewster et al., *Consumer Racial Profiling in U.S. Restaurants:*  
24 *Exploring Subtle Forms of Service Discrimination Against Black Diners*, 29  
25 *Sociological Forum* 476 (2014)).

26 55. Darden has tacitly admitted that its managers have assigned  
27 customers to servers based on servers' races when it fired a manager who did  
28

1 so. Meryl Kornfield, *An Olive Garden Customer Demanded a Non-Black Server.*  
2 *The Manager Who Complied Has Been Fired.*, Wash. Post (Mar. 5, 2020),  
3 [https://www.washingtonpost.com/food/2020/03/05/olive-garden-manager-](https://www.washingtonpost.com/food/2020/03/05/olive-garden-manager-black-server/)  
4 [black-server/](https://www.washingtonpost.com/food/2020/03/05/olive-garden-manager-black-server/).

5 56. The race-based wage gap in tips received by Darden employees of  
6 color and their similarly-situated white coworkers is exacerbated by Darden's  
7 cash wage policy which causes the overwhelming majority of tipped Darden  
8 workers to be paid a subminimum wage. To that end, Darden employees of  
9 color, to whom Darden already pays the lowest legally-permissible cash wages  
10 in most cases, are subjected to even-greater poverty by being denied more in  
11 tips because of their race, causing employees of color subject to the policy to  
12 receive less total pay (i.e., cash wages and tips) than their similarly-situated  
13 white coworkers because of their race.

14 57. Darden's tipping policy perpetuates a cycle of systemic race  
15 discrimination against people of color: Darden encourages customers to tip and  
16 facilitates tipping without any mediation, which leads to Darden's employees  
17 of color receiving less in tips than their white coworkers because of their race,  
18 which forces Darden's employees of color to rely even more on tips just to make  
19 ends meet, which causes those employees of color to receive even less in tips  
20 than their white coworkers because of their race, and so forth.

21  
22 **Darden's Cash Wage Policy Is Neither Consistent with Business**  
23 **Necessity nor Related to Darden Employees' Jobs**

24 58. Many of Darden's competitors, such as other restaurants and food  
25 service and hospitality businesses, profitably operate restaurants and other  
26 similar businesses without maintaining a cash wage policy like Darden's and  
27  
28



1 without forcing their local managers to pay employees a subminimum wage,  
2 showing that the cash wage policy is not consistent with business necessity.

3 59. Darden would continue to remain profitable if it rescinded the  
4 policy and maintained a cash wage ceiling equal to the non-tipped minimum  
5 wage or allowed local management the discretion to do the same, proving again  
6 that the policy is not consistent with business necessity.

7 60. Nothing about positions like servers and bartenders at Darden  
8 suggests that those positions must receive the lowest legally-permissible pay,  
9 whereas other positions at Darden can be paid a higher cash wage rate at the  
10 discretion of local managers. As such, the policy is not a legitimate measure or  
11 qualification of the specific jobs that it applies to, demonstrating that the policy  
12 is not job related.

13  
14 **There Are Less Discriminatory Alternatives to the Cash Wage Policy**

15 61. Darden could reduce or eliminate the more severe and pervasive  
16 sexual harassment suffered by its employees who are paid a subminimum  
17 wage pursuant to the policy by adopting a less-discriminatory alternative. For  
18 example, Darden could adopt a practice that sets and enforces a cash wage  
19 ceiling equal to the non-tipped minimum wage, and doing so would not cause  
20 Darden to become unprofitable.

21  
22 **Darden's Tipping Policy Is Neither Consistent with Business**  
23 **Necessity nor Related to Darden Employees' Jobs**

24 62. Many of Darden's competitors, such as other restaurants and food  
25 service and hospitality businesses, profitably operate restaurants and similar  
26 businesses without maintaining a tipping policy like Darden's—for example,  
27 requiring employees to pool their tips to mitigate against any race-based  
28

1 disparities in tips received or; providing effective rules or standards for  
2 customers that minimize race-based differences in tips received; or minimizing  
3 the effects of tipping by adding a standard service charge to all bills to be paid  
4 to employees and letting customers tip on top (which very few do, thereby  
5 bypassing most customers' tip choices)—proving that Darden's tipping policy  
6 is not consistent with business necessity.

7 63. Darden would continue to remain profitable if it rescinded the  
8 tipping policy and adopted any of these less-discriminatory alternatives,  
9 demonstrating that its tipping policy is not consistent with business necessity.

10 64. The tipping policy is not a legitimate measure or qualification of  
11 the specific jobs that it applies to (e.g., servers, bartenders), proving that it is  
12 not job related. Indeed, the tipping policy encourages customers to directly  
13 compensate employees based on factors whose consideration is illegal and  
14 irrelevant (e.g., race), and nothing about positions like servers and bartenders  
15 suggests that they must be subjected to the policy whereas other positions are  
16 not. Thus, Darden's tipping policy actively untethers pay from legitimate job  
17 performance metrics.

18  
19 **There Are Less Discriminatory Alternatives to the Tipping Policy**

20 65. Darden could ensure that its employees of color receiving tips do  
21 not receive less in tips than white employees receiving tips by adopting any of  
22 the less-discriminatory alternatives referenced above. These alternatives  
23 would not cause Darden to become unprofitable, but Darden chooses instead  
24 to maintain its tipping policy.

1                    **Darden’s Policies Have Adversely Affected One Fair Wage**

2                    66.        Darden’s maintenance of the policies has caused monetary and  
3 non-monetary harm to One Fair Wage. One Fair Wage is not filing this action  
4 on behalf of any Darden employees or representing any Darden employees  
5 here; rather, One Fair Wage is seeking redress only for the harm that Darden’s  
6 policies have caused to One Fair Wage as an organization.

7                    67.        The policies have caused, and continue to cause, One Fair Wage  
8 to divert its resources. For example, One Fair Wage has been forced to address  
9 complaints from Darden employees subject to the cash wage policy that they  
10 have suffered more and worse sexual harassment because of their sex than  
11 Darden employees not subject to that policy, as well as complaints from Darden  
12 tipped employees of color that they have received less in tips than Darden  
13 tipped white employees because of their race (the vast majority of whom suffer  
14 substantially because Darden already pays them a subminimum wage).

15                    68.        For example, Saru Jayaraman, One Fair Wage’s President who  
16 works for One Fair Wage in, and directs One Fair Wage’s actions from,  
17 Oakland, California, spends a significant amount of her time dealing with  
18 complaints from such Darden employees. Julia Sebastian, One Fair Wage’s  
19 Research Director who works in Oakland, California, spends roughly one-  
20 fourth of her time working for One Fair Wage dealing with such complaints  
21 from Darden employees and otherwise responding to the effects of the policies  
22 on those employees.

23                    69.        Many other One Fair Wage employees and consultants have  
24 spent at least a portion of their work time dealing with such complaints and  
25 otherwise responding to the effects of the policies on Darden employees, costing  
26 One Fair Wage significant financial resources and time and energy it would  
27 not have expended otherwise. As such, because of Darden’s policies, One Fair  
28

1 Wage spends tens of thousands of dollars annually, if not more, in salary and  
2 consultant payments, employees' benefits, office supplies, digital engagement  
3 fees, and other expenses, as well as hundreds of hours of staff and consultant  
4 time, all of which could have been spent on other matters but for Darden  
5 maintaining its unlawful policies.

6 70. Because Darden maintains the policies, One Fair Wage has  
7 diverted significant staff time to conducting the Survey to determine whether  
8 Darden employees suffered more and worse sexual harassment because of  
9 their sex because of the cash wage policy or received less in tips due to their  
10 race because of the tipping policy.

11 71. In response to the coronavirus pandemic, One Fair Wage  
12 established the Emergency Coronavirus Relief Fund, which provides cash  
13 assistance to restaurant workers who have had their hours reduced or have  
14 been furloughed or laid off and apply for cash relief from One Fair Wage.

15 72. To date, One Fair Wage has paid cash relief from the fund of \$500  
16 per employee to more than 350 Darden employees nationwide (including more  
17 than 30 Darden employees in California), for a total of over \$175,000 in cash  
18 assistance paid to Darden employees affected by the coronavirus pandemic  
19 (over \$15,000 of which was paid to Darden employees in California). One Fair  
20 Wage paid essentially all of that cash relief to Darden's tipped employees who  
21 are (or were, prior to being laid off or furloughed) paid a subminimum wage.

22 73. Because of the policies, Darden's employees have suffered more  
23 financially during the pandemic than their similarly situated coworkers who  
24 are not subject to the policies. As such, more Darden employees subject to the  
25 policies requested and received cash assistance payments from One Fair  
26 Wage's Emergency Coronavirus Relief Fund than would have requested and  
27 received such cash assistance payments but for the policies, depleting One Fair  
28

1 Wage's funds more than they would have been depleted but for the policies and  
2 denying One Fair Wage the opportunity to pay more cash assistance to other  
3 restaurant workers during the pandemic.

4 74. All of these adverse effects on One Fair Wage were foreseeable  
5 repercussions of Darden maintaining the policies. Darden's maintenance of the  
6 policies was a proximate cause and a but-for cause of all of these adverse effects  
7 on One Fair Wage.

8  
9 **Timeliness and Administrative Exhaustion**

10 75. Darden has maintained the policies at all times relevant to this  
11 action and continues to maintain the policies today.

12 76. On or about September 29, 2020, One Fair Wage filed a Charge  
13 of Discrimination with the EEOC Oakland Local Office alleging race- and sex-  
14 based disparate impact that materially tracks the allegations in this  
15 Complaint.

16 77. On March 4, 2021 the EEOC dismissed that charge at One Fair  
17 Wage's request and issued One Fair Wage a right to sue notice.

18 78. One Fair Wage has filed this action within the 90-day timeframe  
19 permitted pursuant to that right to sue notice.

20  
21 **COUNT ONE: SEXUAL HARASSMENT**

22 **Darden's Policies Disparately Impact Employees Paid a**  
23 **Subminimum Wage by Causing Them to Suffer More and Worse**  
24 **Sexual Harassment in Violation of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)**

25 79. One Fair Wage incorporates the preceding paragraphs by  
26 reference.

1           80. With respect to employees paid a subminimum wage, the policies  
2 are not capable of separation for the purpose of disparate impact analysis, so  
3 they constitute a particular employment policy or practice under Title VII.

4           81. Darden’s policies cause a disparate impact against all Darden  
5 employees—regardless of whether their sex is male, female, intersex, non-  
6 binary, or anything else—by causing them to suffer more and worse sexual  
7 harassment than Darden employees of their same sex who are not subject to  
8 the policies due to their sex. The policies cause sex to be a motivating factor in  
9 the quantity and quality of sexual harassment suffered by Darden employees.  
10 In other words, because of the policies, sex plays more of a role in all Darden  
11 employees’ work.

12           82. In the alternative, Darden’s cash wage policy alone causes  
13 disparate impact against Darden employees by causing them to suffer more  
14 and worse sexual harassment than Darden employees of their same sex who  
15 are not subject to the cash wage policy due to their sex, and the cash wage  
16 policy alone causes sex to be a motivating factor in the quantity and quality of  
17 sexual harassment suffered by Darden employees.

18           83. Neither of the policies is job related.

19           84. Neither of the policies is consistent with business necessity.

20           85. At least one alternative, less-discriminatory employment practice  
21 would be as effective as the policies at achieving Darden’s lawful aims, but  
22 Darden refuses to adopt such an alternative employment practice.

23           86. Accordingly, Darden’s policies constitute an unlawful  
24 employment practice.

25           87. In the alternative, Darden’s cash wage policy alone constitutes an  
26 unlawful employment practice.

1           88. Darden’s maintenance of the policies or, in the alternative, the  
2 cash wage policy alone, has damaged One Fair Wage and forced it to divert  
3 resources.

4           89. One Fair Wage is a person aggrieved by Darden’s unlawful  
5 employment practice.

6           90. The foregoing constitutes unlawful disparate impact because of  
7 sex in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-  
8 2(a).

9  
10                           **COUNT TWO: RACE DISCRIMINATION**

11           **Darden’s Tipping Policy Disparately Impacts Tipped Employees of**  
12           **Color Based on Race with Respect to the Total Pay they Receive in**  
13           **Violation of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)**

14           91. One Fair Wage incorporates the preceding paragraphs by  
15 reference.

16           92. Darden’s tipping policy causes disparate impact against Darden  
17 employees of color who receive tips based on their race by causing them to  
18 receive less in tips than white Darden employees who receive tips. The tipping  
19 policy causes race to be a motivating factor in the dollar amount of tips received  
20 by Darden employees of color.

21           93. Darden’s tipping policy is not job related.

22           94. Darden’s tipping policy is not consistent with business necessity.

23           95. At least one alternative, less-discriminatory employment practice  
24 would be as effective as Darden’s tipping policy at achieving Darden’s lawful  
25 aims, but Darden refuses to adopt such an alternative employment practice.

26           96. Accordingly, Darden’s tipping policy constitutes an unlawful  
27 employment practice.





1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Charles Gerstein  
*(Pro hac vice application forthcoming)*  
Gerstein Harrow LLP  
611 Pennsylvania Ave SE, No. 317  
Washington, DC 20003  
charlie@gerstein-harrow.com  
(202) 670-4809

Chris Williams  
Sheila Maddali  
Ryan H. Nelson  
National Legal Advocacy Network  
1 N LaSalle St., Suite 1275  
Chicago, IL 60602

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*