

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CITY OF LOS ANGELES,

Plaintiff,

v.

WILLIAM P. BARR, Attorney General of
the United States, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:18-cv-07347-JLS-JC

FINAL JUDGMENT

1 **FINAL JUDGMENT**

2 **I. BACKGROUND**

3 On February 15, 2019, this Court granted partial summary judgment to Plaintiff
4 City of Los Angeles (the “City”) as to Count One in its First Amended Complaint for
5 Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (the “Complaint”), holding that the Defendants’
6 imposition of the immigration-related Conditions¹ on the Fiscal Year (“FY”) 2018
7 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistant Grant (“Byrne JAG”) program were “ultra
8 vires as a matter of law and a violation of separation of powers.” ECF No. 62 at 5-8.
9 Because summary judgment was granted as to Count One (Plaintiff’s ultra vires claim),
10 this Court did not reach the City’s alternative grounds for partial summary judgment on
11 the FY 2018 Byrne JAG conditions (Counts Two, Three, and Four), and these were
12 rendered moot. *Id.* at 8.² Determining that the requisites for entry of a permanent
13 injunction were met, this Court issued a permanent injunction that enjoined Defendants
14 “from imposing the Conditions on FY 2018 Byrne JAG awards and [Gang Suppression
15 Planning Grants Program (“Gang Suppression Grant Program”).” *Id.* at 9-10.

16 On July 1, 2019, this Court vacated part of its February 15, 2019 order and
17 injunction (ECF No. 62), “solely to the extent” that it related to the FY 2018 Gang
18 Suppression Grant Program, without affecting any part of “the Court’s injunction that
19 concerns the Byrne JAG Program.” ECF No. 79.

20
21
22

¹ These are the Notice Condition, the Access Condition, the 1373 and 1644
23 Condition, the Harboring Condition, the Questionnaire Condition, and the 1366
24 Condition, as set forth at ECF No. 62 at 5-8.

25 ² Counts Two, Three, and Four alleged, respectively, that imposition of the
26 challenged Conditions on the FY 2018 Byrne JAG program violated the Spending Clause
27 of the U.S. Constitution, violated the anti-commandeering principles of the Tenth
28 Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, and constituted arbitrary and capricious agency
action under the Administrative Procedure Act (“APA”). *See* ECF No. 40 at 38-41.

1 previously entered by the Court (ECF Nos. 62 and 105) are incorporated into this final
2 judgment.

3 **III. PRESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

4 The City preserves its rights to seek reasonable costs, including attorney's fees, for
5 this matter and any future related proceeding. Defendants preserve their rights to object
6 to or to oppose any attempt by the City to recover costs or attorney's fees.

7
8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9 Dated: July 09, 2020



HON. JOSEPHINE L. STATON
United States District Judge