

÷

÷

• •

...

		ENDORSED
· 1		FILED
1	MARK I. SOLER	Sen Francisco County Superior Court
	YOUTH LAW CENTER	
2 ·	1663 Mission Street	FEB 8 1990
	Fifth Floor	
3	San Francisco, California 94103	DONALD W. DICKINSON, Clerk
	Telephone: (415) 543-3378	BY: MARIA JUSTINIANO
4		Deputy Clark
	BRUCE W. LAIDLAW	
5	MATTHEW J. GEYER	
	L. JOANNE SAKAI	
6	LANDELS, RIPLEY & DIAMOND	
. .	450 Pacific Avenue	
/	San Francisco, California 94133	
	Telephone: (415) 788-5000	
. 8		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,	
9	RONAYNE SHAW and MICHAEL SHAW	
10		
10		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF	
		RANCISCO 915763
12	COUNTY OF SAN F	RANCISCO ATETRO
16		41060-
13		
	RONAYNE SHAW and MICHAEL SHAW,)	Case No.
14	residents and taxpayers of the)	
	City and Gounty of San Francisco,)	
15	Plaintiffs,)	
	Flaincilla, J	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
16	v.)	
		AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR
17	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,)	
	CALIFORNIA, a local governmental)	VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS
18	entity; FRED JORDAN, Chief Juvenile)	
	Probation Officer; STEVEN)	AND WASTE OF PUBLIC FUNDS
19	LA PLANTE, Director of Juvenile)	
	Hall; SAN FRANCISCO JUVENILE)	
20	PROBATION COMMISSION, a local)	
	governmental agency; SAN FRANCISCO)	
21	UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, a local)	
22	public school district; and DOES 1)	
22	through 20,)	
23		
25	Defendants.)	
24	//	
• •		
25	Plaintiffs, RONAYNE SHAW and MICHAEL SHAW, complain and	
/		
26	allege as follows:	
andels. Ripley		
& Diamond		
San Francisco		
-		

•

\$

This is a civil rights action challenging various
 illegal policies, practices and conditions at the San Francisco
 Juvenile Hall (also known as the Youth Guidance Center), and
 seeking to stop them because they are illegal, unnecessary,
 injurious and degrading to the troubled children confined there.

PARTIES

9 Plaintiffs RONAYNE SHAW and MICHAEL SHAW are married 2. 10 citizens of California and the United States, and residents of the 11 City and County of San Francisco. Mr. and Mrs. Shaw are assessed 12 and liable to pay, and within one year prior to the commencement 13 of this lawsuit have paid, taxes to the City and County of San 14 Francisco and to the State of California. The Shaws work in San 15 Francisco and are active in their community. Both are members of 16 the Black Leadership Forum and participate in activities at the 17 Buchanan Street YMCA. Mr. and Mrs. Shaw are concerned about 18 conditions at the Juvenile Hall partly because one of their 19 children was recently confined there. The Shaws bring this action 20 pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure 526a to enjoin the 21 illegal and wasteful policies and practices of defendants.

3. Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, is a local governmental entity, duly authorized and formed under the laws of the State of California. Pursuant, <u>inter alia</u>, to Welfare and Institutions Code § 850, its authority and duty

andels, Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

22

23

24

25

26

7

8

-2-

•

-

3

1 include operating the Juvenile Hall for confinement of children in the custody or control of the county.

3 Defendant FRED JORDAN is the Chief Juvenile Probation 4. 4 Officer of San Francisco County, duly appointed and authorized 5 under the laws of the State of California. Pursuant to Welfare 6 and Institutions Code § 852, he is responsible for the supervision 7 and operation of the Juvenile Hall on behalf of the county, and 8 the direction of the staff working in the facility. He is the 9 legal custodian of all children confined in the facility. Said 10 defendant is sued in his official capacity only.

11 Defendant STEPHEN LA PLANTE is the Director of the 5. 12 Juvenile Hall, having been duly appointed and authorized under the 13 laws of the State of California. Pursuant to Welfare and 14 Institutions Code § 853, and as an agent of the Chief Probation 15 Officer and an employee of the County, his authority and duties 16 include directly supervising the Juvenile Hall and directing its 17 staff. Said defendant is sued in his official capacity only.

18 6. Defendant SAN FRANCISCO JUVENILE PROBATION COMMISSION 19 is a local governmental agency, duly organized under the laws of 20 the City and County of San Francisco, which has the authority to supervise and oversee the Department of Juvenile Probation, which includes the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.

Defendant SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT is the 7. local governmental agency charged with administering the public schools in San Francisco, and providing the educational services in Juvenile Hall.

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

21

22

23

24

25

26

2

-3-

8. Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and capacities
of defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, and
therefore sue these defendants by such fictitious names.
Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege their true names
and capacities when ascertained.

9. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis
allege that each DOE defendant was at all relevant times the
agent, employee, or representative of each other defendant, and,
in doing all of the acts alleged herein, acted within the scope of
such agency, employment, or representation. Allegations
hereinafter against "defendants" refer to all specifically named
and fictitiously named defendants.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. Defendants use tax revenues collected from plaintiffs and others by the City and County of San Francisco and the State of California to administer, operate and maintain the Juvenile Hall, which is located at 375 Woodside in the City and County of San Francisco.

22 The Juvenile Hall

.**

11. In the Juvenile Hall, defendants detain children who
 have been charged with or adjudged guilty of delinquent acts. The
 children range in age from 10 to 18 years, approximately 10 %
 being between 10 and 13 years of age. There are seven living

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

•

•

¹ units, each of which generally consists of a common area dayroom,
 ² a staff station, approximately 20 individual rooms for housing one
 ³ or two youths, and a toilet and showering area.

⁴ 12. Units B-1 through B-4 house boys awaiting adjudication ⁵ of their criminal cases, boys awaiting placement in a community ⁶ facility, boys waiting for a responsible adult to take custody of ⁷ them, and boys sentenced to serve time in Juvenile Hall. Unit B-1 ⁸ has 19 individual rooms and Units B-2 through B-4 have 20 rooms.

9 13. Generally, younger and smaller boys are housed in Units 10 B-1 and B-2, and older and larger boys are housed in Units B-3 and 11 B-4.

12 14. Unit B-5 houses primarily boys who are being tried for 13 major felonies as adults, and boys who are unable to adjust to 14 living in the other units. It also houses, from time to time, 15 boys who live in the other units but are temporarily on 16 discipline. This unit has 25 isolation or solitary confinement-17 type rooms, each of which measures approximately eight feet by ten 18 feet and includes a bed, toilet and washbasin.

15. Girls are detained in Unit G-2, which has 20 rooms. The Reception Unit is a 14-room coed unit designed to be the intake center, which also serves as an overflow unit when the population in other units rises. Finally, a new unit called W-3 houses "honor" youth, who are awaiting placement in the community.

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

-5-

·

1 Long History of Reported Deficiencies in the Juvenile Hall

16. The Juvenile Hall was built in 1950. In its 39 years
of operation at least 19 reports, studies and investigations of
it have been conducted, all of which have repeatedly documented
inadequacies in the physical plant, provision of services and
treatment of detained children.

7 Shortly after the Juvenile Hall opened its doors in 17. 8 1951, the California Youth Authority and the National Probation 9 and Parole Association investigated overcrowding at the facility. 10 In 1957, the grand jury requested another review on overcrowding. 11 In 1968, the Juvenile Justice Commission authorized another study 12 of Juvenile Hall by a citizens committee appointed by the San 13 Francisco Council of the Bay Area Social Planning Council. The 14 committee made 67 specific recommendations for improvements in the 15 Police Juvenile Bureau, Department of Social Services, and in 16 probation, detention, educational and health services at Juvenile 17 Hall.

18 18. More recently, eight separate reports have detailed 19 inadequacies in the facilities and treatment at Juvenile Hall. 20 In 1982, for example, both the Juvenile Justice Commission and a 21 civil grand jury issued reports criticizing the facility for 22 inadequate staff training and low staff morale. The Juvenile 23 Justice Commission also criticized the school program and medical 24 and psychiatric services. In 1983, Allen Breed, the former 25 director of the California Youth Authority, and Robert Smith 26 issued another report critical of the Juvenile Hall. Breed and

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco .

-6-

• • .

Smith also found that staff training was inadequate and that the psychiatric, medical and educational services were seriously deficient.

4 19. In 1984, after a child committed suicide in the Juvenile 5 Hall, the Mayor appointed a Juvenile Hall Committee to report on 6 conditions at the facility. The Committee made 36 7 recommendations, which included, among other things: 8 (1) establishing adequate training for staff; (2) seeking 9 alternative facilities for seriously emotionally disturbed 10 children; (3) establishing consistent outdoor recreation; (4) 11 establishing grievance policies; and (5) developing community 12 alternatives to detention.

13 20. Most recently, in 1987 the Juvenile Court commissioned
14 Jefferson Associates to do a needs assessment of the Juvenile
15 Hall. The study found many of the same deficiencies in the
16 facility's plant and program, including inadequate heat, light,
17 plumbing and ventilation; inadequate staff training; and
18 deficient medical, psychiatric and educational services.

<u>Current Deficiencies In Juvenile Hall</u>

The Facility

21. Physically, the Juvenile Hall is antiquated and in need of substantial repair and increased maintenance. The walls in the living quarters are filthy. Many rooms are extremely cold, while the floors in others are uncomfortably hot. The plumbing periodically backs up, spilling foul water or even raw sewage

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

-7-

•

¹ over the floors of the living quarters, which the children are not ² allowed to leave. Many of the living quarters, particularly the ³ solitary confinement rooms in Unit B-5, are routinely foul ⁴ smelling. The stench of living in close quarters with inadequate ⁵ plumbing persists because of inadequate ventilation.

Fire Danger

8 The facility and defendants' operation of it fail to 22. 9 ensure the adequate safety of resident children in the event of a 10 fire. There is no central notification panel to detect fires or 11 to notify the City Fire Department, as required by the Uniform 12 Fire Code. There are no periodic fire drills. In the event of a 13 fire, all children presumably would have to exit through the 14 common areas, resulting in a dangerously crowded situation. The 15 lack of adequate means of egress in the event of a fire, and the 16 defendants' failure to mark exits with illuminated signs, also 17 violate the Uniform Fire Code.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

6

7

1

Failure to Provide Clean Clothes

23. As a result of dilapidated laundry equipment that is not properly maintained and is ill-suited for the facility's needs, defendants do not provide children clean underwear daily, nor do they provide children a clean change of outer clothing twice weekly. The children are also required to wear the same clothing before, during, and after daily indoor exercise periods in the gymnasium.

andels, Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

-8-

24. As a result, several cases of lice and similar
 infestations have been reported in the recent past in children who
 did not have these conditions when they entered the facility.

Food

6 25. The food served in the institution is grossly 7 inadequate. Meals are often unhealthful, unappetizing and small 8 (especially for growing adolescents). No snacks of any kind are 9 provided between dinner at approximately 5:00 p.m. and breakfast 10 at approximately 7:00 a.m. the next morning. As a result, the 11 children go hungry at night unless visitors bring them food. 12 Often, even this food brought by family members is denied them or 13 eaten by staff.

.....

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

14

5

Educational Services

16 26. The Court Schools Division of the San Francisco Unified
 17 School District operates an educational program in the units at
 18 Juvenile Hall on school days.

27. Defendants fail to provide adequate general or special educational services for the children. The current educational program fails to adequately assess children upon entrance to determine if they have special educational needs, to place them in appropriate educational programs, or to provide them with a comprehensive education program equivalent to that available to children outside Juvenile Hall. Children in the reception unit

-9-

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco •

¹ and children on discipline often are not provided any educational ² services.

3 28. Additionally, the current educational program fails to
 4 provide special education services, including full evaluations,
 5 individualized education programs, and related special education
 6 services for children.

Outdoor Recreation

9 29. Defendants do not allow children outdoors for recreation
10 on a daily basis. Children in most or all units are allowed
11 outdoors for recreation only once each week, for at most a one12 hour period. Thus, the children do not regularly have access to
13 fresh air and sunshine, which they so desperately need because of
14 the inadequate ventilation in the facility.

¹⁵ 30. Defendants deny children on discipline any outdoor
 ¹⁶ recreation.

17

7

8

18

Other Programs and Activities

19 31. Defendants exacerbate the problem of inadequate 20 educational and outdoor recreation programs by failing to provide 21 other significant programming or activities. Indoor recreation in 22 the common area dayroom in each unit generally consists of 23 watching television. Although some books and box-games are 24 sometimes available, children are rarely encouraged to make any 25 use of them. Moreover, the only organized activities for the 26 children are provided, not by the institution, but by a private

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco -10-

•

· · · ·

•

1. boys club - the Omega Boys' Club, which comes to one of the units once each week --- and by an outside religious organization.

3 32. As a result of defendants' failure to organize any 4 programming, the children spend their days in the only activity 5 encouraged by the staff - loitering in the dayroom and watching 6 television.

- 7
- 8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Disciplinary Policies

9 33. Defendants do not have a disciplinary policy that 10 includes a clear set of prohibited behaviors and due process 11 protections governing the imposition of discipline. Defendants 12 change the disciplinary policies and procedures frequently, often 13 without advance notice. For example, in the last year there have 14 been four different sets of disciplinary policies. As a result, 15 staff and children do not know, and cannot know, the conduct for 16 which children can or will be disciplined or the nature of the 17 appropriate discipline.

18 Defendants routinely impose discipline on children in 34. the Juvenile Hall arbitrarily, without adequate due process, and without just cause. Prior to imposing discipline on children, defendants fail to provide them with even minimal due process protections. Children do not receive written notice of the allegations against them. Children do not have an opportunity to present their version of the events at issue. Disciplinary decisions are not made by an impartial fact finder. Finally, there is no process for appealing a disciplinary process.

andels. Ripley & Diamond An Francisco

-11-

Instead, members of the Juvenile Hall staff who are personally
 involved in the children's alleged infractions decide whether
 discipline should be imposed on the children.

⁴ 35. As a result of defendants' failure to provide adequate ⁵ due process, children have been confined in their rooms for as ⁶ many as seven days without notice or hearing. Many times children ⁷ must serve their disciplinary time before a supervising counselor ⁸ determines if the discipline was validly imposed.

9 36. Defendants confine children on discipline to their 10 individual rooms, often even requiring them to take their meals in 11 their rooms. Their time out of their individual rooms is limited 12 to two 30-minute indoor recreation periods in the common area 13 dayroom. Children on discipline are often deprived of one or both 14 of these periods.

37. Defendants' policy and professional standards require a formal review of the appropriateness of isolation every 24 hours. However, many children in Juvenile Hall, and particularly those in Unit B-5, are forced to remain in their rooms for up to five days, without adequate review of the need for continued confinement.

26

15

16

17

18

19

20

Use Of Body Slamming And Other Forms Of Unnecessary Force

38. Juvenile Hall staff counselors use "body slamming" as a means of behavior control. "Body slamming" consists of grabbing a child and wrapping that child's arms around his body and throwing him against the floor, a door, or a wall. The use of this technique is unnecessary — the children can be moved, even

indels. Ripley & Diamond ian Francisco

1 forcibly, without deliberately throwing them against walls, for 2 example, and the safety of counselors is not advanced by this 3 technique. The sole reason for the use of the technique is 4 punitive, and it thus constitutes corporal punishment.

5 39. Juvenile Hall staff counselors also abuse restraints -6 handcuffs and leg-shackles, for example - using them 7 unnecessarily and excessively, not merely to forcibly move or 8 restrain a child, but to punish him or her, when any danger to the 9 counselor or others is not present or has abated. At least one 10 child has recently been handcuffed to his bed. This abuse of 11 restraints often results in physical injury to the children.

12 40. This physical abuse occurs because Juvenile Hall staff 13 are inadequately trained in behavior management and crisis 14 intervention techniques, or do not implement the training they 15 receive.

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

25

Inadequate Staffing And Training

18 41. Defendants employ insufficient numbers of staff at the Juvenile Hall to assure the safety of or provide services to the 20 children. Defendants also fail to adequately train the staff.

Frequently, because of insufficient numbers of permanent 42. staff, defendants use temporary staff --- who are less experienced and lack any significant training --- where permanent staff are needed to assure the safety of children, for example, in Unit B-5. Also, defendants often call psychiatric staff away from the

26

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

-13-

1 clinic as a result of the low staffing levels, and the clinic is 2 thus left effectively inoperative.

3 43. Gymnasium privileges are often affected by low staff 4 levels. Defendants often do not allow children to participate in 5 large muscle exercise because there are insufficient staff to 6 supervise both the activities in the gymnasium and the activities 7 in the units.

8 Because there are no toilets in the individual rooms in 44. 9 Units B-1 through B-4, the children must request staff to allow 10 them out of their rooms to use the unit's toilet. Staff often 11 take long periods of time to respond to these requests. This 12 failure to timely respond to such requests is often intentional 13 and unwarranted by any exigent circumstance, and at other times is 14 the result, of the chronic understaffing of the units. As a 15 result, some children have urinated on the floors of their rooms.

16 45. Also as a result of chronic staff shortages, staff are frequently overstressed and short-tempered. Poor working conditions for staff result in brutality to children, and 19 arbitrary and inappropriate imposition of discipline. 20 Additionally, the poor working conditions that result from chronic staff shortages result in high absentee rates among permanent workers, necessitating the use of temporary workers, who, as noted above, have less training than permanent staff, and in some cases no training at all.

There are staff at the Juvenile Hall who are talented, 46. experienced and dedicated to helping the children in the facility.

indels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

-14-

•

1 However, as a result of the inadequate numbers and training of 2 other staff, and other conditions and practices described in this 3 complaint, even these staff are unable to adequately care for the 4 children at the Juvenile Hall.

- 5
- 6

Mental Health Services

7 The Forensic Services Division of the Department of 47. 8 Public Health provides for the medical and mental health needs of 9 the children in Juvenile Hall. The Forensic Services unit at the 10 Juvenile Hall is understaffed and therefore unable to provide 11 adequate counselling for the children. Forensic staff are also 12 often called to ameliorate tension-filled situations created by 13 the detention staff's handling and treatment of the children, thus 14 unnecessar'ily further overextending the mental health professional 15 staff.

16

17

22

23

24

25

Grievance Procedure

18 48. Defendants have failed to develop and implement adequate 19 procedures by which the children in Juvenile Hall may lodge 20 grievances against policies, practices, conditions, or staff at 21 Juvenile Hall.

49. Defendants fail to follow the most basic initial steps essential to an adequate grievance procedure. Staff often will not provide grievance forms to the children. At other times, children are unable to fill in the forms for lack of a pen or 26 pencil, which are not provided. When both a form and a pencil are

indels. Ripley Diamond San Francisco

¹ provided, forms are often merely thrown away by staff or for some ² other reason elicit no response or hearing whatever.

³ 50. When a grievance form actually does result in a hearing
 ⁴ by the grievance coordinator, he is powerless to remedy even the
 ⁵ most legitimate complaint.

Visitation

6

7

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

8 Defendants fail to provide children with adequate and 51. 9 varied times for visitation. Defendants limit visits to the hour 10 between 4:30-5:30 p.m., to be cleared through the 11 probation officers. This time is inconvenient for many working 12 parents, and others are not given adequate notice of the scheduled 13 visiting hours. The right to visit at another time can only be 14 obtained from a probation officer. Because parents are not 15 routinely informed how to request alternate visiting times, they 16 are unable to visit. In addition, probation officers arbitrarily 17 refuse to permit parents to visit at alternate times based on 18 their personal feelings about the parent or child.

The Need for Judicial Intervention

52. The policies, procedures and conditions in the Juvenile Hall that are complained of here are continuous and ongoing, resulting in continued injury to the children and continued waste of public funds. Plaintiffs have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law. Unless and until the defendants are enjoined by the Court from continuing their illegal and wasteful actions,

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

-16-

. •

•

•

ir.

1 children will continue to be confined in the Juvenile Hall under 2 the conditions complained of here, and public funds will continue 3 to be wasted, causing plaintiffs to suffer substantial and 4 irreparable harm.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of State Civil and Constitutional Rights and Waste of Public Funds -Against All Defendants)

9 53. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by this reference 10 the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 52, above, as if fully set forth here.

12 54. The above-described policies, practices and conditions 13 in the Juvenile Hall violate the rights of children detained in 14 the Juvenile Hall under Article I of the Constitution of the State 15 of California, including Section 1 (right to enjoy life, liberty, 16 and safety), Section 3 (freedom of association), and Section 7 and 17 15 (right to due process of law). These policies, practices and 18 conditions also violate state statutes and regulations, including 19 but not limited to California Welfare and Institutions Code 20 sections 850 et. seq.; and California Administrative Code, Title 21 15, Division 4, Chapter 2, Subchapter 3 ("Minimum Standards for 22 Juvenile Halls").

The confinement of children in the Juvenile Hall 55. pursuant to these illegal policies, practices, and conditions thus constitutes a waste of public funds within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 526a.

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

23

24

25

26

5

6

7

8

11

-17-

·

•

•

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Federal Civil and Constitutional Rights and Waste of Public Funds ----Against All Defendants)

4 56. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by this reference 5 the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 52, above, as if fully set 6 forth here.

7 57. The above-described policies, practices and conditions in the Juvenile Hall violate the rights of children detained in the Juvenile Hall under the United States Constitution, including the First Amendment (freedom of speech and association) and the Fourteenth Amendment (right to due process of law).

12 The confinement of children in the Juvenile Hall 58. pursuant to these illegal policies, practices, and conditions thus constitutes a waste of public funds within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 526a.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Federal Civil and Constitutional Rights and Waste of Public Funds -Against All Defendants)

59. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 52, above, as if fully set forth herein.

60. The above-described policies, practices and conditions in the Juvenile Hall violate the rights of children detained in Juvenile Hall under the Education of the Handicapped Act (EHA), 20 U.S.C. **\$\$** 1401 et seq. and its implementing regulations at 34

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

¹ C.F.R. **§§** 300 <u>et seg</u>., and the Fourteenth Amendment of the United ² States Constitution (right to due process of law).

³ 61. The confinement of children in the Juvenile Hall
 ⁴ pursuant to these illegal policies, practices, and conditions thus
 ⁵ constitutes a waste of public funds within the meaning of
 ⁶ California Code of Civil Procedure Section 526a.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for relief as follows:
 1. For a declaration that the policies, practices and
 conditions described above and made the subject of the injunction
 prayed for in Paragraph 2 of this Prayer violate the civil rights
 of the children detained in the Juvenile Hall and are illegal
 under federal and California constitutions, statutes and
 regulations;

For an order enjoining defendants and each of them, and
 their agents, servants and employees, and all persons acting
 under, in concert with, or for them, from:

A. Operating the Juvenile Hall in its antiguated and
 filthy condition without substantially cleaning, repairing and
 maintaining the facility;

B. Operating the Juvenile Hall without bringing the
 facility into compliance with the Uniform Fire Code, conducting
 periodic fire drills, or otherwise operating the facility in an
 unsafe manner relative to the risk of fire;

Landels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

7

8

9

-19-

· .

· · · · · ·

•

1 Operating the Juvenile Hall without providing the с. 2 children detained there with clean clothes, including a change of 3 clean underclothing daily, a change of clean outerclothing twice 4 weekly, and a separate set of gymnasium clothing, changed or 5 laundered with reasonable regularity;

6 D. Operating the Juvenile Hall without providing the 7 children detained there with adequate food, including sufficient 8 portions of healthful and nutritious food at the three daily 9 meals, and a nutritious evening snack whenever the time between 10 dinner and breakfast exceeds 12 hours;

11 E. Operating the Juvenile Hall without providing 12 adequate educational services to the children detained there, 13 including assessing and addressing the general and special 14 educational' requirements of each child, and providing both general 15 and special educational services equivalent to those provided to 16 children in the community at large;

17 F. Detaining children in the Juvenile Hall without allowing them access to fresh air outdoors for at least one hour 19 each day, weather permitting;

20 Operating the Juvenile Hall without providing and G. 21 encouraging indoor recreational programs or activities other than 22 watching television;

H. Imposing discipline on the children detained in Juvenile Hall without just cause or pursuant to policies that are ill-defined, transitory, or lacking in fundamentals of due process, including notice and an opportunity to be heard by an

indels. Ripley & Diamond an Francisco

18

23

24

25

26

• .

4

1 impartial fact-finder; or depriving youths on discipline of at 2 least two 30-minute indoor recreation periods in the common area 3 dayroom in their unit; or failing to formally review at least 4 daily the appropriateness of any child's isolation;

5 I. Subjecting the children detained in the Juvenile 6 Hall to body-slamming or other forms of corporal punishment, or 7 : using restraints except as necessary and only so long as is necessary to ensure the physical safety of staff or children;

9 Ј. Operating the Juvenile Hall without employing 10 adequate numbers of staff, or without adequately training staff 11 both before they begin to discharge their duties and thereafter 12 on-the-job;

13 K. Operating the Juvenile Hall without hiring adequate 14 numbers of, mental health professional staff and ensuring that 15 they are available to service the mental health needs of the 16 children:

17 Detaining children in the Juvenile Hall, or L. 18 disciplining them, without developing and implementing adequate 19 grievance procedures; or

20 Detaining children in the Juvenile Hall without Μ. 21 providing them reasonable visitation rights, including alternative 22 hours for visitation for potential visitors who can not visit 23 during the designated hours without suffering significant 24 hardship.

For an order appointing a Special Master to monitor 3. 26 defendants' compliance with the Court's orders;

andels Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

25

-21-

•

ł For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and 4. 2 For such other and further relief as the Court deems 5. 3 just and proper.

i

4

5

6

7

8

9

Dated: February 8, 1990

MARK I. SOLER YOUTH LAW CENTER

MARK I. SOLER

BRUCE W. LAIDLAW MATTHEW J. GEYER L. JOANNE SAKAI

RONAYNE SHAW and MICHAEL SHAW

10 11 LANDELS, RIPLEY & DIAMOND 12 13 MATTHEW J 1,13 GZ 14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 dels. Ripley -22-Diamond n Francisco

•

·