

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate	ASPEN	Sitting Judge/Mag. If Other Than Assigned Judge/Mag.	
Case Number	66 C 1459/1460	Date	December 22, 1988
Case Title	Gautreaux, et al. v. Samuel R. Pierce, etc., et al.		

**MOTION:** [In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3d-party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented]

Joint Motion for Agreed Order

**DOCKET ENTRY:** (The balance of this form is reserved for notations by court staff.)

(1)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Judgment is entered as follows:	(2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	[Other docket entry:]
<b>Enter agreed order: It is hereby ordered that notwithstanding the provisions of this Court's orders of May 18, 1979 and August 14, 1987, nothing in this Court's previous orders shall preclude the receiver from developing cluster housing for not more than 100 elderly units, utilizing reservations for elderly units from CHA Development Programs 2-99 and 2-101, provided that both the family and elderly components, respectively, of individual cluster developments be limited to no more than 50 units.</b>					
(3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Filed motion of [use listing in "MOTION" box above].			
(4)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brief in support of motion due _____.			
(5)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Answer brief to motion due _____ Reply to answer brief due _____.			
(6)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Hearing on _____ set for _____ at _____.			
(7)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Ruling _____ set for _____ at _____.			
(8)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Status hearing <input type="checkbox"/> held <input type="checkbox"/> continued to <input type="checkbox"/> set for <input type="checkbox"/> reset for _____ at _____.			
(9)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pretrial conference <input type="checkbox"/> held <input type="checkbox"/> continued to <input type="checkbox"/> set for <input type="checkbox"/> reset for _____ at _____.			
(10)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Trial <input type="checkbox"/> set for <input type="checkbox"/> reset for _____ at _____.			
(11)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Bench trial <input type="checkbox"/> Jury trial <input type="checkbox"/> Hearing held and continued to _____ at _____.			
(12)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This case is dismissed <input type="checkbox"/> without <input type="checkbox"/> with prejudice and without costs <input type="checkbox"/> by agreement <input type="checkbox"/> pursuant to <input type="checkbox"/> FRCP 4(j) (failure to serve) <input type="checkbox"/> General Rule 21 (want of prosecution) <input type="checkbox"/> FRCP 41(a) (1) <input type="checkbox"/> FRCP 41(a)(2)			
(13)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(For further detail see <input type="checkbox"/> order on the reverse of <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> order attached to the original minute order form.)			
(14)	<input type="checkbox"/>	No notices required.	21 AVJA		number of notices
(15)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Notices mailed by judge's staff.			date docketed
(16)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Notified counsel by telephone.			docketing dpty. initials
(17)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Docketing to mail notices.			date mld. notices
(18)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mail AO 450 form.	DEC 29 1988		mailing dpty. initials
(19)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Copy to judge/magistrate.			
(20)	<input type="checkbox"/>	courtroom deputy's initials	Date/time received in central Clerk's Office		

RECEIVED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

056 19 1988  
Judge Marvin E. Aspen  
U. S. District Court

DOROTHY GAUTREAUX, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-v-

SAMUEL R. PIERCE, JR., Secretary  
of the Department of Housing and  
Urban Development, and CHICAGO  
HOUSING AUTHORITY,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 66C1459  
66C1460

(Consolidated)


AGREED ORDER

THIS MATTER COMING ON TO BE HEARD on the joint motion of Plaintiffs, Defendant CHICAGO HOUSING AUTHORITY, and the Receiver heretofore appointed by the Court, the Defendant UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT having no objection thereto, and the Court having retained jurisdiction of this matter for all purposes and having determined that the provisions of this order are appropriate and consistent with the interests of the plaintiff class,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that notwithstanding the provisions of this Court's Orders of May 18, 1979 and August 14, 1987, nothing in this Court's previous orders shall preclude the Receiver from developing cluster housing for not more than 100 elderly units, utilizing reservations for elderly units from CHA Development Programs 2-99 and 2-101, provided that both the family and

elderly components, respectively, of individual cluster developments be limited to no more than 50 units.

ENTER:

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Man G. L.", written over a horizontal line.

JUDGE

United States District Court

December 22, 1988