1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS		
2	EASTERN DIVISION		
3	DOROTHY GAUTREAUX, et al.,) Docket No. 66 C 1459		
4	Plaintiffs,) Chicago Illinois		
5	vs.) Chicago, Illinois vs.) July 23, 2009) 10:30 o'clock a.m.		
6	CHICAGO HOUSING AUTHORITY,		
7	et al.,		
8	Defendants.)		
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - Motion Hearing		
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARVIN E. ASPEN		
11	APPEARANCES:		
12	For the Plaintiffs: BUSINESS & PROFESSIONAL PEOPLE FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST		
13	BY: MR. ALEXANDER L. POLIKOFF 25 East Washington Street		
14	Suite 1515 Chicago, Illinois 60602		
15	TOWNSON TOWNS ON THE TWO S CIT DEDM		
16	For Defendant CHA: JOHNSON, JONES, SNELLING & GILBERT BY: MR. THOMAS EDWARD JOHNSON		
17	36 South Wabash Street Suite 1310		
18	Chicago, Illinois 60603		
19	For Defendant Habitat: MILLER, SHAKMAN & BEEM, LLP		
20	BY: MR. EDWARD W. FELDMAN 180 North LaSalle Street		
21	Suite 3600 Chicago, Illinois 60601		
22			
23	LAURA LACIEN, CSR, RMR, FCRR, CRR		
24	Official Court Reporter 219 South Dearborn Street, Suite 1902		
25	Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 408-5032		

1		
2	Also Present:	CHICAGO HOUSING AUTHORITY
. 3		BY: MR. SCOTT AMMARRELL 60 East Van Buren Street
4		12th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606
5		chicago, fiffinois occor
6		Mr. Lawrence Grisham,
7		Senior VP, The Habitat Company
8		Ms. Whitney Weller, Brinshore-Michaels Development
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
1
         (The following proceedings were had in open court:)
 2
             COURTROOM DEPUTY: 66 C 1459, Gautreaux versus CHA,
 3
    et al.
             MR. POLIKOFF: Good morning, your Honor. Alexander
 4
 5
    Polikoff for the plaintiffs.
 6
             THE COURT: I have one unopposed motion and a joint
 7
    motion.
             MR. JOHNSON: Yes, Judge. Tom Johnson --
 8
             THE COURT: I'm sorry. I should let you introduce
 9
10
    vourselves.
11
             MR. JOHNSON: Tom Johnson on behalf of the CHA. And
    I want to introduce Scott Ammarell who is the General Counsel
12
13
    of the CHA --
             MR. AMMARELL: Good morning, your Honor.
14
             MR. JOHNSON: -- who is here with us this morning.
15
16
    Yes. One of the motions -- I'm sorry.
17
             THE COURT: Mr. Feldman.
18
             MR. FELDMAN: Yes. Thank you.
             Edward Feldman on behalf of the Receiver. Also with
19
    me in court is Lawrence Grisham, a Senior Vice President of
20
21
    Habitat.
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
22
             I have an unopposed motion and I have a joint motion
23
    and you want to argue it so go ahead. Something new every
24
25
    day, right?
```

MR. POLIKOFF: Yes, your Honor.

Well, we don't want to take up a lot of your time but this Taylor matter, the Robert Taylor Development has been before you several times before, as I'm sure you'll recall.

And as the affidavits and the motion disclose in great detail, it's been a struggle compounded now by the recent economic calamity that settled on the country.

The judgment of all of us, however, is that it's best to continue to plow ahead, that leaving vacant land is not conducive to the kind of development that we hope to foresee in the entire Robert Taylor area eventually and we've made a lot of progress in a lot of respects, including the agreement among CHA and the plaintiffs with the developers' undertakings that are a part of the documents before you.

On balance -- and this is a judgment of your receiver as well -- we think that even though there are factors for concern that are laid out in the papers, it's best to go forward. The reason we wanted to take your time today was to make sure you understand the pluses and the minuses in this situation.

THE COURT: Well, did you revise your book -
MR. POLIKOFF: No, your Honor; not planning to do

that. I've been waiting for suggestions from you in that

regard and haven't received them yet.

1 THE COURT: You will at the end of this session. 2 ahead. 3 MR. POLIKOFF: Thank you. THE COURT: I do have something I want to talk to 4 5 you about. MR. POLIKOFF: That's essentially what I wanted to 6 7 say. 8 MR. FELDMAN: Judge, I introduced a moment ago 9 Mr. Grisham and he has some boards which are basically blowups of some of the exhibits to the affidavit. 10 11 basically going to serve as his Sherpa and work the boards and he'll give a brief synopsis of what's in the affidavit 12 13 and why the receiver supports the motion before the Court. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want me to move down there 15 or --16 MR. POLIKOFF: No. 17 MR. FELDMAN: We can just set it up so that --18 MR. GRISHAM: In fact, your Honor, just for convenience, I just made a copy of it so you can follow 19 20 along. THE COURT: Thank you. 21 MR. GRISHAM: Thank you again, your Honor. 22 Again, I'm going to repeat, the receiver supports 23 the motion and we ask the Court to grant this order regarding 24 Robert Taylor Redevelopment. 25

Let me first present what's gone on before. This board represents the beginning of the Robert Taylor Redevelopment and also gives you an idea of the area. This is State Street coming down right next to MetCalfe Park.

MetCalfe Park is a great amenity for the area.

This is our first -- our first two off-site developments, Phase C-1 and C-2, which you gave us orders for, and as you can see nicely concentrated in that area so that there's good support. There's also very good private units in that area.

A-1, the first on-site phase, was right here and that has been very successful. And Phase A-1 consisted of 181 total rental units. Let me -- one more thing I want to point out.

A very important part -- it's later on in the affidavit; but here at 39th and State, things are moving forward now for the first full-scale grocery store in this neighborhood, Roundy's, which is actually establishing one of its first stores in the city in this community and that will happen very soon. And this land was set aside by both CHA and the city in order to help make that commercial development happen.

Just very shortly, this is again a site of A-1 showing the redevelopment of A-1 which started just south of that commercial site going down to Ruth Street.

What is before us today is Phase A-2 and Phase A-2 starts at 43rd Street, goes down to 45th Street. Again, State over to Federal. I'll get to the unit mix in just a minute. But one of the important things I want to point out is just like in Phase A-1, the developer plans for this site a combined management office community center which we think is very important to adding both property management services, social services and a focal point for the community to come to and that has worked very well in A-1 and we're planning a second one in A-2.

In the affidavit since there is a change and in order to point out the change, your Honor, I included both the previous unit mix and the current proposed unit mix for both of the on-site phases, Phase A-1 and A-2. The difference is in the for-sale units. The rental units are essentially the same. The for-sale units, however, from our previous unit mix to our current proposed unit mix reduced by 56 for both phases. This reduction was in response to current market conditions and the fact that it has been very difficult to get for-sale in this market.

THE COURT: The newspaper article you had a few weeks ago didn't help, I suppose.

MR. GRISHAM: It did not help. And, in fact, that newspaper article was the -- really points out one of the, I think, advantages and one of the reasons why we think this is

positive going forward. Those statistics were done at the time when the Robert Taylor Buildings were still up on-site. And, in fact, that particular area close to 55th was the site of the most notorious three or four buildings in the entire redevelopment and it was when those buildings were up that those statistics and that data was gathered.

Now with all those buildings down, it's made a tremendous difference in terms of the area so -- but, yes, it does not help to have articles like that helping in this area.

The developer has been very active for several years attempting to move the for-sale. They were not getting as good a response as they wanted earlier. They since went back, did market studies, identified the types of building types that would be attractive to families who would want to live here. They include single-family homes and two flats and the two flats would be single -- you know, owner where they would either rent out or sell that second flat to family members or something like that. So that -- so the single family and the two flats, they took the condo product out of the equation. The condo product across the city has not been selling very well and so that has been one of the primary reductions in the total amount of homeowner units because that reduced the homeowner density, if you will, on the site using the same sites. We think it's a totally responsible

way to address the issue of the for-sale in this area, more attractive. They also lowered. They are lowering -- it allows them to lower the price of the units to a more attractive sales price.

Depending upon what happens with the larger market which is still in difficulty, the developer is ready to begin re-marketing the site again this fall and is ready to actually build a model unit to again help sales, so.

THE COURT: Interesting. I was just curious. The units that have been occupied, have there been any problems with the people who moved in because of the economic situation here?

MR. GRISHAM: Not that I'm aware of. However, I would defer to both the developer -- there is a representative developer here today -- and to CHA who spend more immediate time dealing with issues. However, in meetings I have been in, in conversations I have been in, it has been a very successful redevelopment just having the rental there. I mean, we're very concerned that the home ownership hasn't started yet. But because of the attention paid to the property management, attention paid to the social services, again the fact that the combined management office community centers have become focal points for the redeveloped communities we think have been very positive.

MR. JOHNSON: Judge, we have with us Whitney Weller

who is from Brinshore and Michaels --2 MS. WELLER: Good morning. 3 MR. JOHNSON: -- who is the developer actually doing all the work. 4 5 THE COURT: Yeah. I was concerned about people who got in when the prices were up and how they're fairing in the 6 7 declining economy. 8 MS. WELLER: Well, I'm not sure if it's being made 9 clear but we do not have any for sale yet at Legends South. But on the rental side, the only thing that we have seen 10 11 recently in the past --THE COURT: Well, the rentals, I imagine, have 12 13 dropped. 14 MS. WELLER: No. Our rents have not dropped. As a 15 matter of fact, our rents continually -16 THE COURT: All right. Well, then I'm operating under a false assumption. I'm sure you'll --17 18 MS. WELLER: Okay. So our rents continually go up. 19 As we renew leases, we raise rents approximately three percent. We've had no one say they'd like not to renew their 20 21 lease because of that. The only impact that we have seen on the market is a handful of our one-bedroom units. We're not 22 23 able to continue paying their rent because they were the sole

supporter and sole rent provider and they lost their jobs so

they were not able to continue to pay the rent so I would say

24

25

that was four or five families out of our 400.

THE COURT: And what kind of renewals are you getting?

MS. WELLER: Renewals?

THE COURT: Percentage wise.

MS. WELLER: Approximately three percent -- Oh, renewels. I would say 90-plus percent renewals on leases. It's been a very successful.

MR. GRISHAM: And our occupancy has been good.

MS. WELLER: Yes. Our occupancy is 97 percent approximately. We have an extensive wait list. We just recently leased up -- completed leasing out the third phase, Coleman Place. Very successful, on time, actually under budget, ahead of schedule. And we have approximately 700 families on our wait list for all three properties.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GRISHAM: I'm just going to put up just one more board so we can move along and that's the last one, I think.

There are renderings in the -- there are renderings in the affidavit, your Honor, but I wanted to just point out this particular rendering because it shows the management office community center that is part of the site. And again, we just consider this to be a very important amenity and is really crucial to the both management of the site and the provision of social services.

So for those reasons, you know, we believe that even with the rental, we would like the for-sale to go forward but we understand current market conditions. The rental even on its own has been a positive and stabilizing force, we believe, in this community and again we ask the Court to grant the order.

THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone else have anything they wish to say?

MS. AMMARELL: Your Honor, if I might. Good morning. Again, my name is Scott Ammarell and I am the general counsel at CHA. I've been in that position for approximately one year. Our CEO, Lewis Jordan, has been at the CHA for about 18 months now and he had actually hoped to be here this morning but the Mayor had asked him to attend another event and wasn't able to be here.

Given the fact that we are new leadership at the CHA, we thought it would be very important to address the Court and affirm our commitment not only to what we believe is our historic plan for transformation but also the goals and the remedies of the Gautreaux order. We have had many consultations and discussions over the course of the past 18 months with the Gautreaux plaintiff's counsel, with Habitat and with the developer about all of the various projects, including the project at Legends South. And we also concur that going forward with the project in the manner that we are

proposing is an appropriate and positive, beneficial move towards completing the goals of the Gautreaux order and the plan for transformation.

Part of what we are agreeing to provide, as is indicated in the papers that are before you, are some additional commitments to both social services for the residents at this particular property as well as some very, I think, involved and detailed reporting and management requirements because we believe that proper management is essential to the success of the program.

We are also recommitting to use our offices to further discussions with appropriate stakeholders regarding economic development in the area, development of additional recreational activities involved with Metcalfe Park -- and I believe there's another park in the area -- and also discussions with the Chicago Public School system to foster and increase educational opportunities for children of the residents in this area.

And last, I'd just like to say that Lewis Jordan has a mantra, if you will, about how he -- about his leadership at the CHA and he calls it his three Rs -- residents, reputation and relocation. The number one R is for residents. We are committed to doing what is necessary to improve the lives and advance the progress of all of our residents. And as a former member or former resident of

public housing, Mr. Jordan is absolutely committed to that.

Part of what we have to do in order to accomplish all of the goals of the transformation plan is to relocate the residents temporarily and then move them back into the redeveloped and rehabilitative properties.

And then last, your Honor, we very definitely believe that our reputation is paramount. We are attempting to act and proceed on all of these issues, including the issues that are before the Court today, and the remaining issues in the plan for transformation in an open and transparent manner in order to enhance our reputation. Thank you.

THE COURT: Well, thank you. That dovetails what I want to talk to you about.

If anyone else has anything to say to these two motions, let's take care of that first.

Okay. Thank you. This has been very helpful. I appreciate you coming in and I will allow both motions before me.

I've been thinking for some time about how everybody has been on the same page for sometime and especially with the new administration at CHA, I think we really ought to think about where we're going in terms of the whole structure of this receivership and I don't want to talk about it now.

I want to set a date where we can all come in and, you know,

spend about an hour. I want to get your views.

What we have here is kind of an extraordinary order. It was extraordinary when I entered it. It's even more extraordinary now that everybody is playing nicely with it so we really ought to think about what the future should be for scattered-site housing and what role, perhaps if any, receivership we have in the future. And I've made no judgment of my own and I'm not going to, although I've been thinking about it for some time, until I hear the views of everybody.

So I thought perhaps a good time for me, if it works for you, would be the afternoon of August 27th. It would give you a chance to think about some of these issues. And I'll issue an order today which, you know, indicates some of the things that I've talked about very briefly right now. And if that works, why don't we plan to spend at least an hour here. You give me your views as to where we ought to be going and I'll share some of mine with you in more detail.

Will that work?

MR. GRISHAM: On a personal reason because I will not be in town --

THE COURT: We'll try to give you another day.

MR. GRISHAM: And there may be others. Maybe the beginning of September.

THE COURT: Sure. The only problem -- Well, I'll do

```
it, Mr. Feldman --
 2
             MR. GRISHAM: I'm not sure what my personal
 3
    involvement is but --
 4
             MR. FELDMAN: We want you; we want you.
 5
             THE COURT: No. Let's find a date where everybody
 6
    can be here. Do you prefer a September date?
 7
             MR. GRISHAM: Anytime in September.
 8
             THE COURT: Okay. Is September 11th or 12th okay?
 9
             MR. FELDMAN:
                           I think the 12th is a Saturday.
10
             MR. JOHNSON: 11th is good.
11
             THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Take it all back. I'm
12
    looking at August. Okay. I'm sorry.
13
             How about the 15th or the 16th? They don't fall on
14
    any holidays, does it?
15
             COURTROOM DEPUTY: No.
16
             MR. JOHNSON: 15th would be great.
17
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MR. POLIKOFF: It's agreeable.
18
19
             THE COURT: Okay. I'm not hitting Rosh Hashanah
20
    which my Blackberry doesn't tell me.
21
             MR. FELDMAN: According to this -- see, the iPod, I
22
    think, is a little more advanced than the Blackberry.
23
             It looks like Rosh Hashanah is Saturday the 19th.
24
             THE COURT: Okay. How about the 15th at 2:00 p.m.?
25
             MR. JOHNSON: Great.
```

1	MR. AMMARELL: Very good.	
2	THE COURT: Okay. I'll see you then.	
3	MR. AMMARELL: Thank you, your Honor.	
4	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you, your Honor.	
5	MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, your Honor.	
6	MR. POLIKOFF: Have a happy Labor Day.	
7	THE COURT: Thank you. Same to you.	
8	(Which concluded the proceedings in the above-entitled	
9	matter.)	
10	CERTIFICATE	
11	I hereby certify that the foregoing is a transcript	
12	of proceedings before the Honorable Marvin E. Aspen on July	
13	23, 2009.	
14		
15	/s/Laura LaCien	
16	Damo or Cu Laura LaCien August 19, 2009 Date	
17	Official Court Reporter	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		