EXHIBIT A

Objection to Proposed Settlement in *Gautreaux v. Chicago Housing Authority*
Case No. 66-1459 (N.D. Ill.)

The undersigned object to the proposed settlement in *Gautreaux v. Chicago Housing Authority*, Case No., 66-1459 (N.D. Ill.) on the following grounds:

1. The proposed settlement would give Class Counsel new power to make proposals and request court intervention regarding the CHA's Housing Choice Voucher ("HCV") program. In particular, the settlement would allow Class Counsel to make proposals and request court intervention on virtually all aspects of the voucher program, including the "administration of the rental process, unit inspections, lease provisions[, and] . . . administration of the HCV program, including but not limited to, payment standards, targeted vouchers, and funding availability and adequacy." Under the terms of the proposed settlement, if CHA does not agree to Class Counsel's proposals, then the Court may order CHA to adopt the proposal if "[a]doption of the proposal is likely to increase the number of families who are realistically able to choose to move into lower poverty, racially diverse areas" and "CHA's unwillingness to adopt the proposal is arbitrary and capricious."

This section of the settlement should be eliminated. HCV residents are not included in the present class definition, and they are not adequately represented by the current class representatives, as required by Rule 23(a)(4). HCV residents have received no notice of the proposed settlement, and they have not been given an opportunity to object.[1] If the Court were to enter orders affecting HCV residents' rights without providing them notice and without class representatives looking out for their best interests, then that would raise significant due process concerns. The undersigned oppose allowing Class Counsel unfettered discretion to make suggestions regarding the HCV program, particularly without adequate class representation and without adequate notice.

Signed,

Name	Address	Signature
Clarice Warren	5020 S. Lake Shore Dr. Apt 1508	Clarice Warren

[1] The notice is addressed to "All African-American individuals who live in public housing in Chicago or are applicants for public housing in Chicago."

Objection to Proposed Settlement in *Gautreaux v. Chicago Housing Authority*
Case No. 66-1459 (N.D. Ill.)

The undersigned object to the proposed settlement in *Gautreaux v. Chicago Housing Authority*, Case No., 66-1459 (N.D. Ill.) on the following grounds:

1. The proposed settlement would give Class Counsel new power to make proposals and request court intervention regarding the CHA's Housing Choice Voucher ("HCV") program. In particular, the settlement would allow Class Counsel to make proposals and request court intervention on virtually all aspects of the voucher program, including the "administration of the rental process, unit inspections, lease provisions[, and] . . . administration of the HCV program, including but not limited to, payment standards, targeted vouchers, and funding availability and adequacy." Under the terms of the proposed settlement, if CHA does not agree to Class Counsel's proposals, then the Court may order CHA to adopt the proposal if "[a]doption of the proposal is likely to increase the number of families who are realistically able to choose to move into lower poverty, racially diverse areas" and "CHA's unwillingness to adopt the proposal is arbitrary and capricious."

This section of the settlement should be eliminated. HCV residents are not included in the present class definition, and they are not adequately represented by the current class representatives, as required by Rule 23(a)(4). HCV residents have received no notice of the proposed settlement, and they have not been given an opportunity to object.[1] If the Court were to enter orders affecting HCV residents' rights without providing them notice and without class representatives looking out for their best interests, then that would raise significant due process concerns. The undersigned oppose allowing Class Counsel unfettered discretion to make suggestions regarding the HCV program, particularly without adequate class representation and without adequate notice.

Signed,

Name	Address	Signature
Juan de Rosa	7500 S. Shore Dr.	Juan de Rosa

[1] The notice is addressed to "All African-American individuals who live in public housing in Chicago or are applicants for public housing in Chicago."

Objection to Proposed Settlement in *Gautreaux v. Chicago Housing Authority*
Case No. 66-1459 (N.D. Ill.)

The undersigned object to the proposed settlement in *Gautreaux v. Chicago Housing Authority*, Case No., 66-1459 (N.D. Ill.) on the following grounds:

1. The proposed settlement would give Class Counsel new power to make proposals and request court intervention regarding the CHA's Housing Choice Voucher ("HCV") program. In particular, the settlement would allow Class Counsel to make proposals and request court intervention on virtually all aspects of the voucher program, including the "administration of the rental process, unit inspections, lease provisions[, and] . . . administration of the HCV program, including but not limited to, payment standards, targeted vouchers, and funding availability and adequacy." Under the terms of the proposed settlement, if CHA does not agree to Class Counsel's proposals, then the Court may order CHA to adopt the proposal if "[a]doption of the proposal is likely to increase the number of families who are realistically able to choose to move into lower poverty, racially diverse areas" and "CHA's unwillingness to adopt the proposal is arbitrary and capricious."

This section of the settlement should be eliminated. HCV residents are not included in the present class definition, and they are not adequately represented by the current class representatives, as required by Rule 23(a)(4). HCV residents have received no notice of the proposed settlement, and they have not been given an opportunity to object.[1] If the Court were to enter orders affecting HCV residents' rights without providing them notice and without class representatives looking out for their best interests, then that would raise significant due process concerns. The undersigned oppose allowing Class Counsel unfettered discretion to make suggestions regarding the HCV program, particularly without adequate class representation and without adequate notice.

Signed,

Name	Address	Signature
Charlotte Clark	120 E. 44th St.	Charlotte Clark

[1] The notice is addressed to "All African-American individuals who live in public housing in Chicago or are applicants for public housing in Chicago."

Objection to Proposed Settlement in *Gautreaux v. Chicago Housing Authority*
Case No. 66-1459 (N.D. Ill.)

The undersigned object to the proposed settlement in *Gautreaux v. Chicago Housing Authority*, Case No., 66-1459 (N.D. Ill.) on the following grounds:

1. The proposed settlement would give Class Counsel new power to make proposals and request court intervention regarding the CHA's Housing Choice Voucher ("HCV") program. In particular, the settlement would allow Class Counsel to make proposals and request court intervention on virtually all aspects of the voucher program, including the "administration of the rental process, unit inspections, lease provisions[, and] . . . administration of the HCV program, including but not limited to, payment standards, targeted vouchers, and funding availability and adequacy." Under the terms of the proposed settlement, if CHA does not agree to Class Counsel's proposals, then the Court may order CHA to adopt the proposal if "[a]doption of the proposal is likely to increase the number of families who are realistically able to choose to move into lower poverty, racially diverse areas" and "CHA's unwillingness to adopt the proposal is arbitrary and capricious."

This section of the settlement should be eliminated. HCV residents are not included in the present class definition, and they are not adequately represented by the current class representatives, as required by Rule 23(a)(4). HCV residents have received no notice of the proposed settlement, and they have not been given an opportunity to object.[1] If the Court were to enter orders affecting HCV residents' rights without providing them notice and without class representatives looking out for their best interests, then that would raise significant due process concerns. The undersigned oppose allowing Class Counsel unfettered discretion to make suggestions regarding the HCV program, particularly without adequate class representation and without adequate notice.

Signed,

Name	Address	Signature
LAURA MARTIN	7334 N RIDGE #403 CHICO IL 60645	

[1] The notice is addressed to "All African-American individuals who live in public housing in Chicago or are applicants for public housing in Chicago."

Objection to Proposed Settlement in *Gautreaux v. Chicago Housing Authority*
Case No. 66-1459 (N.D. Ill.)

The undersigned object to the proposed settlement in *Gautreaux v. Chicago Housing Authority*, Case No., 66-1459 (N.D. Ill.) on the following grounds:

1. The proposed settlement would give Class Counsel new power to make proposals and request court intervention regarding the CHA's Housing Choice Voucher ("HCV") program. In particular, the settlement would allow Class Counsel to make proposals and request court intervention on virtually all aspects of the voucher program, including the "administration of the rental process, unit inspections, lease provisions[, and] . . . administration of the HCV program, including but not limited to, payment standards, targeted vouchers, and funding availability and adequacy." Under the terms of the proposed settlement, if CHA does not agree to Class Counsel's proposals, then the Court may order CHA to adopt the proposal if "[a]doption of the proposal is likely to increase the number of families who are realistically able to choose to move into lower poverty, racially diverse areas" and "CHA's unwillingness to adopt the proposal is arbitrary and capricious."

This section of the settlement should be eliminated. HCV residents are not included in the present class definition, and they are not adequately represented by the current class representatives, as required by Rule 23(a)(4). HCV residents have received no notice of the proposed settlement, and they have not been given an opportunity to object.[1] If the Court were to enter orders affecting HCV residents' rights without providing them notice and without class representatives looking out for their best interests, then that would raise significant due process concerns. The undersigned oppose allowing Class Counsel unfettered discretion to make suggestions regarding the HCV program, particularly without adequate class representation and without adequate notice.

Signed,

Name	Address	Signature
DARolyn Lee	4613 S. Drexel #3b	Darolyn Lee

[1] The notice is addressed to "All African-American individuals who live in public housing in Chicago or are applicants for public housing in Chicago."