#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

MARIA FABELA, ALFONSO BALADEZ,	§
AMELIA BALADEZ, MARIA BALADEZ,	§
MARIA JACOBO, ANTONIO REYES,	§
MARIA REYES, DIANA ROSAS,	§
LETICIA TORRES, AND JOSE	§
VILLANEDA,	§
	§
Plaintiffs	§
	§
<b>v.</b>	<b>§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:10-cv-01425-D</b>
v.	<b>§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:10-cv-01425-D §</b>
v. CITY OF FARMERS BRANCH, TEXAS,	<pre>\$ CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:10-cv-01425-D \$ \$</pre>
	<pre>\$ CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:10-cv-01425-D \$ \$ \$ \$</pre>
CITY OF FARMERS BRANCH, TEXAS,	<pre>\$ CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:10-cv-01425-D \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$</pre>
CITY OF FARMERS BRANCH, TEXAS, AND TIM O'HARE, HAROLD	<pre>\$ CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:10-cv-01425-D \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$</pre>
CITY OF FARMERS BRANCH, TEXAS, AND TIM O'HARE, HAROLD FROELICH, MICHELLE HOLMES,	\$ \$ \$ \$
CITY OF FARMERS BRANCH, TEXAS, AND TIM O'HARE, HAROLD FROELICH, MICHELLE HOLMES, DAVID KOCH, BEN ROBINSON, AND	\$ \$ \$ \$

#### PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED REMEDY BY CITY OF FARMERS BRANCH

Pursuant to this Court's Order of August 2, 2012, the City of Farmers Branch submits its plan to remedy the violation found by the Court in that Memorandum Opinion and Order. By submitting its proposed remedy, the City does not waive its contention that the existing at-large system complies with the Voting Rights Act or its right to challenge any ultimate judgment in this case.

The proposed remedy is set out in a resolution adopted by the City Council of the City of Farmers Branch on October 2, 2012, and is attached as Exhibit A. Hispanics constitute 54.39 percent of the proposed District 1's citizen-voting-age population. As indicated in the demographic charts attached to the council's resolution, Hispanics constitute 79.13 percent of

District 1's total population and 74.84 percent of its voting-age population. Tables providing this information for the city's proposed District 1 and the four districts submitted by the plaintiffs during the trial are found at Exhibit B.

Although the task before the Court is not to choose among potential competing remedies, e.g., Upham v. Seamon, 456 U.S. 37 (1982); United States v. Euclid City School Board, 632 F. Supp. 2d 740, 750 (N.D. Ohio 2009) ("When evaluating a defendant's proposal, a court in not to inquire whether the defendants have proposed the very best available remedy, or even whether the defendants have proposed an appealing one"), it may be of assistance to the Court to know how the city's plan compares to the predominantly Hispanic districts presented by the plaintiffs in the course of the litigation. While the city's proposed District 1 has 54.39 percent Hispanic citizen-voting-age population, the four plans submitted by the plaintiffs during the trial of this cause had Hispanic citizen-voting-age population percentages of 53.05, 52.86, 54.9, and 53.68, respectively. Thus, the city's plan has a higher Hispanic citizen-voting-age population percentage than all but one of the plans submitted by the plaintiffs, and that plan was within about one-half of one percentage point of the Hispanic citizen-voting-age population of the city's plan. All calculations of Hispanic citizen-voting-age population are performed using the methodology used during the trial by the plaintiff's expert except that they are computed using the Department of Justice special tabulation based on the 2006-2010 American Community Survey. The plaintiff's expert's computations at trial were performed using the special tabulation based on the 2005-2009 American Community Survey, which is no longer the most current one.1

00644351;1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> At trial the city challenged the accuracy of the methodology and data used in computing the citizen-voting-age population percentages. The city does not abandon those contentions but uses the methodology and data in its most current form to permit comparison. Computations using the Ely methodology and the 2006-2010 data were

The Spanish-surname-voter percentage in the city's plan is slightly lower than in the plaintiffs' proposals (43.07% compared to a range of 45.37%-49.89%). So long as the citizenvoting-age population is present, though, the potential exists to increase the percentage of Spanish-surname registered voters. Further, since Spanish-surname data do not necessarily correspond to Hispanic status, it is afforded reduced weight and may not accurately reflect actual Hispanic percentages. Rodriguez v. Bexar County, 385 F.3d 853, 866, n. 18 (5th Cir. 2004); see also, Fabela, 2012 WL at \*7, n.18 (Court does not rely solely or even primarily on SSRV data).

The city notes that given the staggered nature of the city council elections, District 1 would first be on the ballot in May 2013 so long as a final order is in place in time for that election cycle. If so, the district would be subject to election at the first available opportunity. The districts in the plan correspond to the existing council place numbers. Neither the incumbent in the current Place 1 nor any other incumbent member of the council resides in the proposed District 1, which means, assuming a residency requirement for candidate eligibility, that there will be no incumbent on the District 1 ballot in 2013.

Respectfully submitted,

C. ROBERT HEATH State Bar No. 09347500 **BICKERSTAFF HEATH** DELGADO ACOSTA LLP 3711 S. MoPac Expressway Building One, Suite 300 Austin, Texas 78746

Telephone: (512) 472-8021 Facsimile: (512) 320-5638

Email: bheath@bickerstaff.com

presented at trial by Dr. Rives and appear in the Court's Memorandum Opinion. Fabela v. City of Farmers Branch, 2012 WL 3135545 (N.D. Tex. Aug. 2, 2012), at \*6.

3 00644351:1

JOHN CLARK LONG IV State Bar No. 12520500 BICKERSTAFF HEATH DELGADO ACOSTA LLP

1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4501

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 397-0390 Facsimile: (214) 397-0389 Email: ilong@bickerstaff.com

By: s/ C. Robert Heath
C. ROBERT HEATH

Attorneys for Defendants

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 5, 2012, I electronically submitted the foregoing document with the clerk of court for the U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, using the electronic case filing system of the Court. I have served counsel of record listed below via electronic transmission through the Court's ECF system:

William A. Brewer III
wab@bickelbrewer.com
Nathan D. Pearman
ndp@bickelbrewer.com
Bickel & Brewer
1717 Main Street, Suite 4800
Dallas, Texas 75201

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Greggory A. Teeter <a href="mailto:gteeter@teeterlawfirm.com">gteeter@teeterlawfirm.com</a>
Jennine R. Lunceford
<a href="mailto:jlunceford@teeterlawfirm.com">jlunceford@teeterlawfirm.com</a>
The Teeter Law Firm
<a href="mailto:Republic Center">Republic Center</a>, Suite 2240
325 North St. Paul
<a href="mailto:Dallas">Dallas</a>, Texas 75201

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

s/ C. Robert Heath

C. Robert Heath

00644351:1 4

## **EXHIBIT A**

#### RESOLUTION NO. 2012-071

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF FARMERS BRANCH, TEXAS, COMPLYING WITH THE ORDER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN FABELA v. CITY OF FARMERS BRANCH, CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:10-CV-1425-D.

WHEREAS, the City of Farmers Branch is a defendant in the case of Fabela v. City of Farmers Branch, No. 3:10-CV-1425-D in the United States District Court of the Northern District of Texas, which suit contends that the city's at-large election system violates section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973, and

WHEREAS, the City contended and continues to contend that its election system does not violate the requirements of the Voting Rights Act, and

WHEREAS, the Court, in its Memorandum Opinion and Order dated August 2, 2012, held that "the at-large system of electing members of the Farmers Branch City Council violates § 2 of the Voting Rights Act" and further ordered the City "to submit . . . a plan to remedy the violation." Fabela v. City of Farmers Branch, 2012 WL 3135545, \*15 (N.D. Tex. Aug. 2, 2012), and

WHEREAS, this resolution is designed to approve a plan to be submitted to the Court in compliance with its order, and

WHEREAS, by approving a proposed remedy as ordered by the Court, the City does not waive its contention that its existing system is permissible under the Voting Rights Act or its right to challenge any ultimate judgment that its existing at-large election system violates the Voting Rights Act; now, therefore, be it

**RESOLVED**, that the City Council of the City of Farmers Branch, Texas, hereby approves the single-member districting plan set out in Exhibit A and reflected by the map, demographic tables, and block assignment file contained in that Exhibit, as its proposed remedy contemplated by the District Court's August 2, 2012, Order, and directs its attorneys to submit the plan to the District Court; and, be it further

**RESOLVED**, that in the plan existing council places will correspond to the single-member districts bearing the same number as the council place; and, be it further

**RESOLVED**, that, under the plan to be proposed, existing members of the City Council will continue to serve the terms for which they were elected, so that the voters will elect councilmembers from Districts 1 and 4 in May 2013, will elect the Mayor and the councilmember from District 2 in May 2014, and will elect councilmembers from Districts 3 and 5 in May 2015.


DULY ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FARMERS BRANCH, TEXAS, ON THIS DAY, THE  $2^{\rm ND}$  OF OCTOBER 2012.

ATTEST:

Angela Kelly, City Secretary

APPROVED:/

William P. Glancy, Mayor



## **City of Farmers Branch**

#### Proposed Single Member District Plan

Summary 2010 Census Total and Voting Age Population

District	Persons	Deviation	Hispanic % of Total Population	Non-Hispanic Anglo % of Total Population	Non-Hispanic Black % of Total Population	Non-Hispanic Asian % of Total Population	Non-Hispanic Other % of Total Population
1	5,617	-1.85%	79.13%	16.09%	2.17%	1.57%	1.03%
2	5,871	2.59%	44.00%	51.12%	1.70%	1.65%	1.53%
3	5,582	-2.46%	36.55%	52.01%	4.05%	5.75%	1.65%
4	5,784	1.07%	26.37%	52.23%	9.30%	9.80%	2.30%
5	5,762	0.68%	41.50%	48.92%	5.10%	2.83%	1.65%
Totals	28,616		45.37%	44.20%	4.47%	4.32%	1.64%

Ideal Size = 28,616 / 5 = 5,723 per district.

Total Maximum Deviation = 2.59% - (-2.46%) = 5.05%

Some percentages may be subject to rounding error. Includes split block allocation.

District	Total VAP*	Hispanic % of Total VAP	Non-Hispanic Anglo % of Total VAP	Non-Hispanic Black % of Total VAP	Non-Hispanic Asian % of Total VAP	Non-Hispanic Other % of Total VAP
1	3,716	 74.84%	20.53%	1.91%	1.83%	0.89%
2	4,468	36.44%	59.04%	1.50%	1.75%	1.28%
3	4,440	30.52%	57.39%	4.30%	6.40%	1.40%
4	4,793	21.78%	56.83%	9.26%	10.08%	2.04%
5	4,359	34.23%	56.46%	4.66%	3.12%	1.54%
Totals	21,776	38.12%	51.13%	4.48%	4.82%	1.46%
				·		

\*Voting Age Population

Some percentages may be subject to rounding error.

_
$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$
<u>a</u>
$\mathbf{\omega}$
40
ស
<u>a</u>
_
ē
ıï
0
•
二
O

# Proposed Single Member District Plan

Detailed 2010 Census Total and Voting Age Population

District	Persons	Ideal Size	Deviation	Hispanic	% of Total Hispanic Population	Anglo	% of Total Anglo Population	Black	% of Total Black Population	American Indian	% of Total American Indian Population	Asian	% of Total Asian Population	Hawaiian- Pacific Islander	% of Total Hawaiian Pacific Islander Population	Other	% of Total Other Population	Two or Mare	% of Total Two or More Population
1	5,617	5,723	-1.85%	4,445	79.13%	904	16.09%	122	2.17%	19	0.34%	88	1.57%	0	%00'0	4	0.07%	35	0.62%
2	5,871	5,723	2.59%	2,583	44.00%	3,001	51.12%	100	1.70%	23	0.39%	26	1.65%	0	%00.0	10	0.17%	22	0.97%
ဗ	5,582	5,723	-2.46%	2,040	36.55%	2,903	52.01%	226	4.05%	17.	0.30%	321	5.75%	0	%00°0	11	0.20%	64	1.15%
4	5,784	5,723	1.07%	1,525	26.37%	3,021	52.23%	538	9.30%	14	0.24%	295	9.80%	2	%E0'0	10	0.17%	107	1.85%
5	5,762	5,723	0.68%	2,391	41.50%	2,819	48.92%	294	5.10%	20	0.35%	163	2.83%	0	0.00%	2	0.03%	73	1.27%
Totals	28,616			12,984	45.37%	12,648	44.20%	1,280	4.47%	93	0.32%	1,236	4.32%	7	0.01%	37	0.13%	336	1.17%
Ideal Size = 2	deal Size = 28,616 / 5 = 5,723 per district.	23 per distric		•															
some percentag	Some percentages may be subject to rounding error. Includes split block allocation.	to rounding error	r. Includes spiri	Stock allocation.															
District	Total VAP			Hispanic VAP	% of Total Hispanic VAP	Anglo VAP	% of Total Anglo VAP	Black VAP	% of Total Black VAP	American Indian VAP	% of Total American Indian VAP	Asian VAP	% of Total Asian VAP	Hawaiian- Pacific Islander VAP	% of Total Hawaiian- Pacific Islander VAP	Other VAP	% of Total Other VAP	Two or More VAP	% of Total Two or More VAP
-	3,716			2,781	74.84%	763	20.53%	7.1	1.91%	14	0.38%	69	1.83%	0	0.00%	1	0.03%	18	0.48%
2	4,468			1,628	36.44%	2,638	59.04%	29	1.50%	20	0.45%	78	1.75%	0	0.00%	9	0.13%	31	0.69%
ဗ	4,440			1,355	30.52%	2,548	57.39%	191	4.30%	12	0.27%	284	6.40%	0	0.00%	æ	0.18%	42	0.95%
4	4,793			1,044	21.78%	2,724	56.83%	444	9.26%	13	0.27%	483	10.08%	2	0.04%	9	0.13%	77	1.61%
2	4,359			1,492	34.23%	2,461	56.46%	203	4.66%	18	0.41%	136	3.12%	0	0.00%	2	0.05%	47	1.08%
Totals	21,776			8,300	38.12%	11,134	51.13%	976	4.48%	7.7	0.35%	1,049	4.82%	2	%10.0	23	0.11%	215	0.99%
"Voting Age Population	Population																		
Some percentag	Some percentages may be subject to rounding error.	to rounding error																	

Plan Name: Proposed Single Member District Plan

Adopted on: October 2, 2012 10/1/2012

Total Population: 5,617 5,723

District: 1 Ideal: Deviation:-1.9%

3 Precincts Dallas County (Part) 481131400 (1400) 481131502 (1502) 481131509 (1509)	481131400 (1400)	Population 3,477 0 3,443 34
53		Population
Dallas County (Part)	481130137112000 (Block 2000)	2,140
1500	<b>,</b>	,
481130137112000 (Blo	ock 2000)	80
481130137112001 (Blo	ock 2001)	39
481130137112002 (Blo	ock 2002)	1,210
481130137112003 (Blo		504
481130137112004 (Blo	ock 2004)	0
481130137112005 (Blo	ock 2005)	81
481130137112006 (Blo	ock 2006)	0
481130137112007 (Blo	ock 2007)	0
1503		
481130139021013 (Blo	ock 1013)	93
481130139021016 (Blo	•	0
481130139021017 (Blo		0
481 130 13902 10 18 (Blo	,	0
481130139021019 (Blo		0
481130139021020 (Blo		0
481130139021021 (Blo	,	0
481130139021022 (Blo		0
481130139021023 (Blo	,	0
481130139021024 (Blo		133
481130139021025 (Blo		0
481130139021026 (Blo	,	0
481130139021027 (Blo	ock 1027)	0
1504		
481130140021027 (Blo	ock 1027)	0
481130140021037 (Blo		0
481130140021041 (Blo		0
481130140021043 (Blo	ock 1043)	0
481130140021044 (Blo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
481130140021045 (Blo	,	0
481130140021046 (Blo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
481130140021048 (Blo	•	0
481130140021049 (Blo		0
481130140021050 (Blo		0
481130140021051 (Blo		0
401120140001075	1.1066	•

Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.



481130140021055 (Block 1055)

**Plan Name: Proposed Single Member District Plan** 

Adopted on: October 2, 2012	10/1/2012
481130140021056 (Block 1056)	0
481130140021057 (Block 1057)	0
481130140021058 (Block 1058)	0
481130140021059 (Block 1059)	0
481130140021060 (Block 1060)	0
481130140021061 (Block 1061)	0
481130140021062 (Block 1062)	0
481130140021063 (Block 1063)	0
481130140021068 (Block 1068)	0
481130140021069 (Block 1069)	0
481130140021070 (Block 1070)	0
481130140021071 (Block 1071)	0
481130140021072 (Block 1072)	0
481130140021073 (Block 1073)	0
481130140021074 (Block 1074)	0
481130140021075 (Block 1075)	0
481130140021076 (Block 1076)	0
481130140021096 (Block 1096)	0
481130140021097 (Block 1097)	0
481130140021099 (Block 1099)	0

Total Population: 5,871 5,723

District: 2 Ideal: Deviation: 2.59%

118		Population
Dallas County (Part)	481130137122011 (Block 2011)	5,836
1500	•	
481130137122011 (E	Block 2011)	90
481130137122012 (E	Block 2012)	86
1501		
481130137121010 (E	Block 1010)	0
481130137121011 (E		0
481130137121014 (E		0
481130137122000 (E	Block 2000)	0
481130137122007 (E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
481130137122008 (E		0
481130137122013 (E		0
481130138033006 (B	Block 3006)	159
481130138033007 (E		20
481130138034000 (E	Block 4000)	0
481130138034001 (B		0
481130138034002 (B		44
481130138034003 (B		37
481130138034004 (B		155
481130138034005 (B	Block 4005)	38
481130138034006 (B	lock 4006)	0
481130138034011 (B		0
481130139023000 (B		0
481130139023005 (B	slock 3005)	0

Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.

GEOID: 481130138035008



Plan Name: Proposed Single Member District Plan

Adopted on: October 2, 2012	10/1/2012
481130139023006 (Block 3006)	0
481130139023009 (Block 3009)	ŏ
481130139023010 (Block 3010)	ő
481130139023012 (Block 3012)	0
481130139024000 (Block 4000)	0
1503	
481130139021000 (Block 1000)	0
481130139021001 (Block 1001)	o O
481130139021002 (Block 1002)	0
481130139021003 (Block 1003)	0
481130139021003 (Block 1003)	0
481130139021004 (Block 1004) 481130139021005 (Block 1005)	168
481130139021005 (Block 1005) 481130139021006 (Block 1006)	0
481130139021000 (Block 1000) 481130139021007 (Block 1007)	0
481130139021007 (Block 1007) 481130139021008 (Block 1008)	103
481130139021000 (Block 1008) 481130139021009 (Block 1009)	94
481130139021010 (Block 1010)	68
481130139021011 (Block 1011)	99
481130139021012 (Block 1012)	109
481130139021014 (Block 1014)	65
481130139021015 (Block 1015)	0
481130139021031 (Block 1031)	91
481130139021032 (Block 1032)	108
481130139023001 (Block 3001)	7
481130139023002 (Block 3002)	0
481130139023003 (Block 3003)	133
481130139023004 (Block 3004)	121
481130139023007 (Block 3007)	129
481130139023008 (Block 3008)	138
481130139023011 (Block 3011)	125
481130139023013 (Block 3013)	0
481130139023014 (Block 3014)	32
481130139023015 (Block 3015)	89
481130139023016 (Block 3016)	79
481130139023017 (Block 3017)	110
481130139023018 (Block 3018)	74
481130139023019 (Block 3019)	66
481130139023020 (Block 3020)	39
481130139023025 (Block 3025)	0
481130139024001 (Block 4001)	0
481130139024002 (Block 4002)	0
481130139024003 (Block 4003)	26
481130139024004 (Block 4004)	47
481130139024005 (Block 4005)	29
481130139024009 (Block 4009) 481130139024010 (Block 4010)	258
481130139024010 (Block 4010) 481130139024011 (Block 4011)	0 35
481130139024011 (Block 4011) 481130139024012 (Block 4012)	54
481130139024012 (Block 4012) 481130139024013 (Block 4013)	93
481130139024013 (Block 4013) 481130139024014 (Block 4014)	117
10.1.30137021011 (DIOON TOTT)	117

Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.



Plan Name: Proposed Single Member District Plan

Adopted on: October 2, 2012	10/1/2012
481130139024019 (Block 4019)	60
481130139024024 (Block 4024)	47
1506	
481130138034007 (Block 4007)	0
481130138034008 (Block 4008)	74
481130138034009 (Block 4009)	6
481130138034010 (Block 4010)	41
481130138034012 (Block 4012)	12
481130138034013 (Block 4013)	31
481130138034014 (Block 4014)	62
481130138034015 (Block 4015)	8
481130138034016 (Block 4016)	27
481130138034017 (Block 4017)	4
481130138034018 (Block 4018)	11
481130138034019 (Block 4019)	58
481130138035004 (Block 5004)	26
481130138035005 (Block 5005)	45
481130138035008 (Block 5008)	90
481130138035009 (Block 5009)	136
481130138035010 (Block 5010)	23
481130138035011 (Block 5011)	16
481130138035012 (Block 5012)	28
481130138035013 (Block 5013)	44
481130138035014 (Block 5014)	42
481130138035015 (Block 5015)	45 73
481130138035016 (Block 5016) 481130138035017 (Block 5017)	62
481130138035017 (Block 5017) 481130138035018 (Block 5018)	87
481130138035019 (Block 5019)	20
481130138035020 (Block 5020)	51
481130138035021 (Block 5021)	32
481130138036000 (Block 6000)	97
481130138036001 (Block 6001)	133
481130138036002 (Block 6002)	113
481130138036003 (Block 6003)	215
481130138036004 (Block 6004)	130
481130138036005 (Block 6005)	113
481130138036006 (Block 6006)	125
481130138036007 (Block 6007)	98
481130138036008 (Block 6008)	63
481130138036009 (Block 6009)	84
481130138036010 (Block 6010)	69
481130139024006 (Block 4006)	0
481130139024007 (Block 4007)	0
481130139024008 (Block 4008)	0
481130139024020 (Block 4020)	0
481130139024021 (Block 4021)	0
481130139024022 (Block 4022)	0
481130139024023 (Block 4023)	0

Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.



2

Plan Name: Proposed Single Member District Plan

Adopted on: October 2, 2012 10/1/2012

Total Population: 5,582 5,723

District: 3 Ideal: Deviation:-2.5%

2 Precincts		Population
Dallas County (Part)	481131505 (1505)	4,285
481131505 (1505)		3,551
481131511 (1511)		734

119		Population
Dallas County (Part)	481130139021028 (Block 1028)	1,297
1503	,	- <b>-</b>
481130139021028 (E	Block 1028)	0
481130139021029 (E		0
481130139021030 (E		0
481130139021033 (E	Block 1033)	0
481130139022000 (E	Block 2000)	138
481130139022001 (E	Block 2001)	140
481130139022002 (E	Block 2002)	112
481130139022003 (E	Plant 2002)	97
481130139022003 (E		95
481130139022004 (E		93
481130139022005 (E		117
481130139022000 (E		0
481130139022008 (E	•	0
481130139022009 (E	•	50
481130139022010 (E		46
481130139022011 (E		0
481130139022012 (E		1
481130139022013 (E	•	57
481130139023021 (E		0
481130139023022 (E		0
481130139023023 (E	Block 3023)	0
481130139023024 (E	Block 3024)	0
481130139024015 (E	Block 4015)	38
481130139024016 (E	Block 4016)	0
481130139024017 (E	Block 4017)	0
481130139024018 (E	,	0
481130139024025 (E		119
481130139024026 (E	Block 4026)	42
1504		
481130140011028 (E	Block 1028)	0
481130140011029 (E		0
481130140011030 (E		0
481130140011031 (E		0
481130140011032 (E	Block 1032)	0
481130140011033 (E	Block 1033)	0
481130140011034 (E	Block 1034)	0

Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.



Plan Name: Proposed Single Member District Plan

Adopted on: October 2, 2012	10/1/2012
491120140011027 (Dlock 1027)	0
481130140011037 (Block 1037) 481130140011050 (Block 1050)	0 0
481130140013000 (Block 3000)	0
481130140013000 (Block 3000) 481130140013001 (Block 3001)	0
481130140013001 (Block 3001) 481130140013002 (Block 3002)	0
481130140013002 (Block 3002)	0
481130140013003 (Block 3003)	0
481130140013004 (Block 3005)	0
481130140013006 (Block 3006)	0
481130140013007 (Block 3007)	0
481130140013008 (Block 3008)	0
481130140013009 (Block 3009)	0
481130140013010 (Block 3010)	Ö
481130140013011 (Block 3011)	Ö
481130140013012 (Block 3012)	6
481130140013013 (Block 3013)	27
481130140013014 (Block 3014)	65
481130140013021 (Block 3021)	41
481130140013022 (Block 3022)	11
481130140013023 (Block 3023)	0
481130140013024 (Block 3024)	0
481130140013025 (Block 3025)	0
481130140013026 (Block 3026)	0
481130140013027 (Block 3027)	0
481130140013028 (Block 3028)	2
481130140013029 (Block 3029)	0
491120140012020 (Plack 2020)	0
481130140013030 (Block 3030)	0
481130140013031 (Block 3031)	0
481130140013032 (Block 3032)	0
481130140013033 (Block 3033) 481130140013034 (Block 3034)	0 0
481130140013034 (Block 3035)	0
481130140013035 (Block 3035)	0
481130140013037 (Block 3037)	0
481130140013037 (Block 3037)	0
481130140013039 (Block 3039)	0
481130140013040 (Block 3040)	0
481130140021036 (Block 1036)	0
481130140021038 (Block 1038)	0
481130140021039 (Block 1039)	ő
481130140021040 (Block 1040)	ő
481130140021042 (Block 1042)	ŏ
481130140021047 (Block 1047)	Ö
481130140021077 (Block 1077)	ő
481130140021081 (Block 1081)	Ö
481130140021082 (Block 1082)	0
481130140021083 (Block 1083)	0
481130140021084 (Block 1084)	0
481130140021085 (Block 1085)	0
481130140021086 (Block 1086)	0
481130140021087 (Block 1087)	0

Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.



Plan Name: Proposed Single Member District Plan

### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	Adopted on: Octo	ober 2, 2012			10/1/2	012
### ### ### ### #### #### ############						
### ### ### ### #### #### ############	1507					
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##		0011000 (Block 1000)			0	
### ### ### #### #####################						
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##		-				
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##						
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##						
##1130140014000 (Block 4002) ##1130140014012 (Block 4012) ##1130140014013 (Block 4013) ##1130140014023 (Block 4023) ##1130140014024 (Block 4024) ##1130140014025 (Block 4024) ##1130140014025 (Block 4030) ##1130140014036 (Block 4030) ##1130140014036 (Block 4030) ##1130140014036 (Block 4037) ##1130140011006 (Block 1006) ##1130140011006 (Block 1006) ##1130140011006 (Block 1006) ##1130140011006 (Block 1060) ##1130140011007 (Block 1070) ##1130140011007 (Block 1070)  ##1130140011007 (Block 1070)  ##113014001107 (Block 1073) ##113014001107 (Block 1073) ##113014001107 (Block 1075) ##13014001107 (Block 1075) ##113014001107 (Block 1075) ##113	48113014	0011068 (Block 1068)			0	
##1130140014012 (Block 4013) ##1130140014023 (Block 4023) ##1130140014023 (Block 4023) ##1130140014023 (Block 4029) ##1130140014023 (Block 4029) ##1130140014030 (Block 4036) ##1130140014030 (Block 4036) ##1130140014037 (Block 4036) ##1130140011006 (Block 4036) ##1130140011006 (Block 1006) ##1130140011006 (Block 1006) ##1130140011006 (Block 1056) ##1130140011006 (Block 1056) ##1130140011006 (Block 1060) ##1130140011006 (Block 1060) ##1130140011006 (Block 1060) ##1130140011006 (Block 1060) ##1130140011006 (Block 1061) ##1130140011006 (Block 1063) ##1130140011006 (Block 1063) ##1130140011006 (Block 1065) ##1130140011006 (Block 1065) ##1130140011007 (Block 1070) ##113014001107 (Block 1070) ##113014001107 (Block 1070) ##113014001107 (Block 1072) ##1130140011073 (Block 1072) ##1130140011073 (Block 1075) ##1130140011075 (Block 1075) ##1130140011076 (Block 1076)  **Total Population: 5,784					0	
##1130140014013 (Block 4023) ##1130140014023 (Block 4023) ##1130140014023 (Block 4029) ##1130140014023 (Block 4030) ##1130140014035 (Block 4030) ##1130140014035 (Block 4030) ##1130140014036 (Block 4037)  1510  ##1130140011006 (Block 1006) ##1130140011006 (Block 1006) ##1130140011056 (Block 1056) ##1130140011056 (Block 1066) ##1130140011056 (Block 1066) ##1130140011056 (Block 1061) ##1130140011056 (Block 1062) ##1130140011056 (Block 1063) ##1130140011056 (Block 1064) ##1130140011056 (Block 1065) ##1130140011056 (Block 1065) ##1130140011056 (Block 1065) ##1130140011057 (Block 1065) ##1130140011071 (Block 1070)  ##1130140011071 (Block 1070)  ##1130140011071 (Block 1073) ##1130140011073 (Block 1073) ##1130140011073 (Block 1075) ##1130140011075 (Block 1075) ##1130140011075 (Block 1075) ##1130140011075 (Block 1075) ##1130140011075 (Block 1075) ##1130140011076 (Block 1076)  ##1130140011076 (Block 1075) ##1130140011076 (Block 1076)  ##1130140011076 (Block 1076)  ##1130140011076 (Block 1076)  ##1130140011076 (Block 1075) ##1130140011076 (Block 1076)  ##1130140011076 (Block 1076)  ##1130140011076 (Block 1076)  ##1130140011076 (Block 3014)						
#\$# \$# \$# \$# \$# \$# \$# \$# \$# \$# \$# \$# \$#		•				
## # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #						
## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##		,				
### 130140014030 (Block 4036) ### 130140014037 (Block 4037)  1510  ### 130140011006 (Block 1006) ### 130140011006 (Block 1056) ### 130140011060 (Block 1056) ### 130140011060 (Block 1060) ### 130140011060 (Block 1061) ### 130140011061 (Block 1061) ### 130140011062 (Block 1063) ### 130140011063 (Block 1063) ### 130140011064 (Block 1064) ### 130140011064 (Block 1065) ### 130140011064 (Block 1065) ### 130140011070 (Block 1070) ### 130140011070 (Block 1070) ### 130140011070 (Block 1071) ### 130140011073 (Block 1073) ### 130140011074 (Block 1073) ### 130140011074 (Block 1074) ### 130140011076 (Block 1075) ### 130140011076 (Block 1076)  ### 1504  **Preciacts**  **Dallas County (Part)**  ### 13131508 (1508)  **Total Population: 5,784		,				
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##		•				
1510						
1510						
48 130140011006 (Block 1056)		(			v	
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	1510					
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##					0	
481130140011061 (Block 1061)						
481130140011062 (Block 1062)						
481130140011063 (Block 1064)						
481130140011064 (Block 1064)		•				
481130140011065 (Block 1055)   0   481130140011070 (Block 1070)   0   0						
481130140011070 (Block 1071)						
481130140011071 (Block 1071)						
## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	40115014	0011070 (Block 1070)			U	
A81130140011073 (Block 1073)   0   0						
## 481130140011074 (Block 1074) ## 481130140011075 (Block 1075) ## 481130140011076 (Block 1076)  ## 5,723  ## 1		, ,				
### 130140011075 (Block 1075) ### 130140011076 (Block 1076)    Total Population: 5,784   5,723						
Total Population: 5,784   5,723						
Total Population: 5,784 5,723  District: 4 Ideal: Deviation: 1.1%  1 Precincts Dallas County (Part) 481131508 (1508) 1,181 481131508 (1508) 481130136163014 (Block 3014) 4,603  Dallas County (Part) 481130136163014 (Block 3014) 4,603  1506 481130136163014 (Block 3014) 0 Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEOID: 481130138035008						
District:  1 Precincts Dallas County (Part) 481131508 (1508) 481131508 (1508)  Population 1,181 1,181  153 Dallas County (Part) 1506 481130136163014 (Block 3014) Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 3 above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEOID: 481130138035008  Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP	40113014	oortovo (Block 1070)			Ū	
1 Precincts Dallas County (Part) 481131508 (1508)  153 Dallas County (Part) 481130136163014 (Block 3014) 1506 481130136163014 (Block 3014) Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEOID: 481130138035008  Population 4,603  0  0  Electropic Bound Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP	Tot	al Population: 5,784	5,723			
Dallas County (Part) 481131508 (1508) 1,181  153  Dallas County (Part) 481130136163014 (Block 3014) 4,603  1506  481130136163014 (Block 3014) 0  Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5.  The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEOID: 481130138035008  Repulation  4,603  0  0  Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP	District:		4	ldeal:	Deviation: 1.1%	
Dallas County (Part) 481131508 (1508)  153  Dallas County (Part) 481130136163014 (Block 3014)  1506  481130136163014 (Block 3014)  Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5.  The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEOID: 481130138035008  Repulation  4,603  0  0  Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP						
153 Dallas County (Part) 481130136163014 (Block 3014) 1506 481130136163014 (Block 3014) Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEOID: 481130138035008  Population 4,603  0  0  Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP		4044044	200 (4500)		-	
Dallas County (Part) 481130136163014 (Block 3014) 4,603  1506 481130136163014 (Block 3014) 0 Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEO/D: 481130138035008  Population 4,603  0  Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP			008 (1508)		•	
Dallas County (Part) 481130136163014 (Block 3014) 4,603  1506  481130136163014 (Block 3014) 0  Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5.  The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEO/D: 481130138035008  4,603  0  Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5.  The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEO/D: 481130138035008	481131300	3 (1308)			1,181	
Dallas County (Part) 481130136163014 (Block 3014) 4,603  1506  481130136163014 (Block 3014) 0  Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5.  The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEO/D: 481130138035008  4,603  0  Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5.  The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEO/D: 481130138035008	152				D	
481 130 1361630 14 (Block 30 14)  Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5.  The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEO/D: 481130138035008  0  autoBound  bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP		rt) 4811301	36163014 (Block 3014)			
481130136163014 (Block 3014)  Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5.  The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEO/D: 481130138035008  0  autoBound  bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP		401100	100 1000 14 (Block 30 14)		4,003	
Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEO/D: 481130138035008  Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP		5163014 (Block 3014)			0	
The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEOID: 481130138035008  Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP			split between Districts 2	2 and 5.	Ū	
2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEOID: 481130138035008  Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP						
above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.  GEOID: 481130138035008  Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP						
GEOID: 481130138035008 Bickerstaff Heath Delgado Acosta LLP	above re	flect those adjustment	s. The block was split			autoBound
,,,,	upon the	area proportion within				Reliablishing and Reapportantment Software
Page 7	GEOID: 48	31130138035008			Bickerstaff Heath	Delgado Acosta LLP
	Page 7					

Plan Name: Proposed Single Member District Plan

Adams of an Orbert 2 2012	10/1/2012
Adopted on: October 2, 2012	10/1/2012
10.100.10(1.100.10(DL   1.00.10)	
481130136163015 (Block 3015)	0
481130136163016 (Block 3016)	0
481130136163017 (Block 3017)	0
481130136163018 (Block 3018)	0
481130136163019 (Block 3019)	0 0
481130136163020 (Block 3020) 481130136163021 (Block 3021)	0
481130136163021 (Block 3021) 481130136163022 (Block 3022)	0
481130136163022 (Block 3022) 481130136163023 (Block 3023)	0
481130136163025 (Block 3025)	0
481130136163026 (Block 3026)	0
481130136163028 (Block 3028)	ő
481130136163029 (Block 3029)	o 0
481130138035003 (Block 5003)	Ö
481130138035022 (Block 5022)	123
481130138035023 (Block 5023)	24
481130138035024 (Block 5024)	57
481130138035025 (Block 5025)	18
481130138035026 (Block 5026)	0
481130138061000 (Block 1000)	0
481130138061001 (Block 1001)	0
481130138061002 (Block 1002)	0
481130138061003 (Block 1003)	0
481130138061004 (Block 1004)	0
481130138061005 (Block 1005)	1,245
481130138061006 (Block 1006)	145
481130138061007 (Block 1007)	0
481130138061008 (Block 1008)	0
481130138061009 (Block 1009)	611
481130138061010 (Block 1010)	1
481130138061011 (Block 1011)	0
481130138061012 (Block 1012)	0
481130138061016 (Block 1016)	0
481130138061017 (Block 1017)	1
481130138061018 (Block 1018)	0
481130138061019 (Block 1019)	0
481130138061020 (Block 1020)	0
481130138061021 (Block 1021)	0
481130138061022 (Block 1022)	0
481130138061023 (Block 1023)	0
481130138061024 (Block 1024)	0
481130138061025 (Block 1025)	0
481130138061026 (Block 1026)	0
481130138061027 (Block 1027)	0
481130138061028 (Block 1028)	0
481130138062006 (Block 2006)	0
481130138062007 (Block 2007)	0
481130138062008 (Block 2008)	0
481130138062009 (Block 2009)	0
481130138062010 (Block 2010)	0
481130138062011 (Block 2011)	0

Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.



Plan Name: Proposed Single Member District Plan

Adopted on: October 2, 2012	10/1/2012
	10/1/2012
481130138062014 (Block 2014)	0
481130138062015 (Block 2015)	0
481130138062016 (Block 2016)	0
481130138062018 (Block 2018)	0
481130138062019 (Block 2019)	0
481130138062020 (Block 2020)	0
481130138062021 (Block 2021)	0
481130138062022 (Block 2022)	0
481130138062023 (Block 2023)	0
481130138062024 (Block 2024)	0
481130138062025 (Block 2025)	0
481130138062026 (Block 2026)	0
481130138062027 (Block 2027)	0
481130138062028 (Block 2028)	0
481130138062029 (Block 2029)	0
481130138062030 (Block 2030)	0
481130138062031 (Block 2031)	0
481130138062032 (Block 2032)	0
481130138062033 (Block 2033)	0
481130138062034 (Block 2034)	0
481130138062035 (Block 2035)	0
481130138062036 (Block 2036)	0
481130138062037 (Block 2037)	0
481130138062038 (Block 2038)	0
481130138062039 (Block 2039)	0
481130138062040 (Block 2040)	0
481130138062041 (Block 2041)	0 0
481130138062042 (Block 2042) 481130138062043 (Block 2043)	0
481130138062044 (Block 2044)	0
481130138062045 (Block 2045)	0
481130138062046 (Block 2046)	0
481130138062047 (Block 2047)	ő
481130138062048 (Block 2048)	ő
481130138062049 (Block 2049)	0
481130138062050 (Block 2050)	0
481130138062051 (Block 2051)	0
481130138062052 (Block 2052)	390
481130138062053 (Block 2053)	0
481130138062054 (Block 2054)	0
481130138062055 (Block 2055)	0
481130138062056 (Block 2056)	0
481130138062057 (Block 2057)	ő
481130138062058 (Block 2058)	Ö
481130138062059 (Block 2059)	0
481130138062060 (Block 2060)	0
481130138062061 (Block 2061)	0
481130138062062 (Block 2062)	0
481130138062063 (Block 2063)	0
481130138062064 (Block 2064)	0
481130138062067 (Block 2067)	0

Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.

GEOID: 481130138035008

Plan Name: Proposed Single Member District Plan

Adopted on: October 2, 2012	10/1/2012
491120129042049 (Dlask 2049)	0
481130138062068 (Block 2068)	0
481130138062072 (Block 2072)	0 0
481130138062073 (Block 2073) 481130138062078 (Block 2078)	0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
481130138062079 (Block 2079) 481130138062080 (Block 2080)	0
1507	
481130138041000 (Block 1000)	0
481130138041001 (Block 1001)	0
481130138041002 (Block 1002)	0
481130138041003 (Block 1003)	33
481130138041004 (Block 1004)	0
481130138041005 (Block 1005)	0
481130138041006 (Block 1006)	0
481130138041007 (Block 1007)	216
481130138041008 (Block 1008)	44
481130138041009 (Block 1009)	0
481130138041010 (Block 1010)	16
481130138041011 (Block 1011)	31
481130138041012 (Block 1012)	17
481130138041013 (Block 1013)	112
481130138041014 (Block 1014)	65
481130138041015 (Block 1015)	126
481130138041016 (Block 1016)	164
481130138041017 (Block 1017)	0
481130138041018 (Block 1018)	0
481130138041019 (Block 1019)	0
481130138041020 (Block 1020)	0
481130138041021 (Block 1021)	0
481130138041022 (Block 1022)	0
481130138041023 (Block 1023)	0
481130138042000 (Block 2000)	0
481130138042001 (Block 2001)	32
481130138042002 (Block 2002)	64
481130138042003 (Block 2003)	0
481130138042004 (Block 2004)	24
481130138042005 (Block 2005)	58
481130138042006 (Block 2006)	117
481130138042007 (Block 2007)	61
481130138042008 (Block 2008) 481130138042009 (Block 2009)	58
481130138042010 (Block 2010)	80 97
481130138042010 (Block 2010) 481130138042011 (Block 2011)	60
481130138042011 (Block 2011) 481130138042012 (Block 2012)	54
481130138042012 (Block 2012) 481130138042013 (Block 2013)	51
481130138042014 (Block 2014)	79
481130138042015 (Block 2015)	91
481130138042016 (Block 2016)	39
481130138042017 (Block 2017)	121
481130138042018 (Block 2018)	78

Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.

GEOID: 481130138035008



**Plan Name: Proposed Single Member District Plan** 

Adopted on: October 2, 2012 10/1/2012

1510

481130138041024 (Block 1024)

Total Population: 5,762 5,723

District: 5 Ideal: Deviation: 0.68%

85		Population
Dallas County (Part)	481130137111000 (Block 1000)	5,797
1500	1000	
481130137111000 (Block		72
481130137111001 (Block		57
481130137111002 (Block		38
481130137111003 (Block	•	534
481130137111004 (Block		0
481130137111005 (Block		0
481130137111006 (Block		0
481130137111007 (Block		98
481130137111008 (Block 481130137111009 (Block		78 76
481130137111009 (Block		76 66
481130137121000 (Block		89
481130137121002 (Block	,	71
481130137121003 (Block	•	80
481130137121004 (Block		80
481130137121005 (Block		116
481130137121007 (Block		92
481130137121008 (Block	•	74
481130137121009 (Block	,	27
481 130 137 12 10 12 (Block		119
481130137121013 (Block		77
481130137121015 (Block		47
481130137121016 (Block		131
481130137122001 (Block:	2001)	196
481130137122002 (Block :	2002)	104
481130137122003 (Block)	2003)	149
481130137122004 (Block :	2004)	112
481130137122005 (Block)	2005)	145
481130137122006 (Block)	2006)	178
481130137122009 (Block 2	2009)	84
481130137122010 (Block 2	,	70
481130137122014 (Block 2	2014)	28
*1501		
1501	1001)	
481130137121001 (Block		0
481130138031000 (Block		155
481130138031001 (Block		0
481130138031002 (Block		0
481130138031003 (Block : 481130138031004 (Block :		2
401130130031004 (Block	1004)	0

Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.



0

Plan Name: Proposed Single Member District Plan

Adopted on: October 2, 2012

lopted on: October 2, 2012		10/1/2012
481130138031005 (Block 1005)		186
481130138031006 (Block 1006)		1
481130138031007 (Block 1007)		3
481130138031008 (Block 1008)		0
481130138031009 (Block 1009)		7
481130138031010 (Block 1010)		5
481130138031011 (Block 1011)		339
481130138031012 (Block 1012)		0
481130138031013 (Block 1013)		0
481130138031014 (Block 1014)		0
481130138031015 (Block 1015)		0
481130138031016 (Block 1016)		0
481130138031017 (Block 1017)		62
481130138031018 (Block 1018)		88
481130138031019 (Block 1019)		0
481130138031020 (Block 1020)		0
481130138032000 (Block 2000)		392
481130138032001 (Block 2001)		0
481130138032002 (Block 2002)		44
481130138032003 (Block 2003)		0
481130138032004 (Block 2004)		67
481130138032005 (Block 2005)		26
481130138032006 (Block 2006)		0
481130138032007 (Block 2007)		337
481130138032008 (Block 2008)		31
481130138032009 (Block 2009)		65
481130138032010 (Block 2010)		45
481130138032011 (Block 2011)		3
481130138032012 (Block 2012)		95
481130138032013 (Block 2013)		41
481130138033000 (Block 3000)		33
481130138033001 (Block 3001)		270
481130138033002 (Block 3002)		21
481130138033003 (Block 3003)		37
481130138033004 (Block 3004)		114
481130138033005 (Block 3005)		0
481130138033008 (Block 3008)		11
481130138033009 (Block 3009)		12
481130138033010 (Block 3010)		16
1506		
481130138035000 (Block 5000)		0
481130138035001 (Block 5001)		0
481130138035002 (Block 5002)	This block is split with District 2	80
481130138035006 (Block 5006)		0
481130138035007 (Block 5007)		0
481130138061013 (Block 1013)		100
481130138061014 (Block 1014)		21
481130138061015 (Block 1015)		0

Census block number 5002 is split between Districts 2 and 5. The block has 80 persons. 35 persons were allocated to District 2 and 45 persons were allocated to District 5. The district totals above reflect those adjustments. The block was split based upon the area proportion within each District.



10/1/2012

## **EXHIBIT B**

# City of Farmers Branch Proposed Single Member District Plan

Total, Voting Age and Citizen Voting Age Population with 2012 Spanish and Non-Spanish Surname Registered Voters

Proposed Single Member District Plan		Percent
Total Population	5,617	
Hispanic Population	4,445	79.13%
Non-Hispanic Population	1,172	20.87%
Total Voting Age Population	3,716	
Hispanic Voting Age Population	2,781	74.84%
Non-Hispanic Voting Age Population	935	25.16%
Total Citizen Voting Age Population	1,914	
Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population	1,041	54.39%
Non-Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population	873	45.61%
Total Registered Voters - April 2012	1,927	
Spanish Surname Registered Voters - April 2012	830	43.07%
Non-Spanish Surname Registered Voters - April 2012	1,097	56.93%
Number of Children (Under 18 )	1,901	
Number of Adult Non-Citizens	1,802	

Total, Voting Age and Citizen Voting Age Population with 2012 Spanish and Non-Spanish Surname Registered Voters Plaintiffs' Districts 1 Through 4 City of Farmers Branch

Illustrative District 1		Percent
Total Population	5,724	
Hispanic Population	4,477	78.21%
Non-Hispanic Population	1,247	21.79%
Total Voting Age Population	3,786	
Hispanic Voting Age Population	2,788	73.64%
Non-Hispanic Voting Age Population	998	76.36%
Total Citizen Voting Age Population	2,128	
Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population	1,129	53.05%
Non-Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population	999	46.95%
Total Registered Voters - April 2012	1,777	
Spanish Surname Registered Voters - April 2012	832	46.82%
Non-Spanish Surname Registered Voters - April 2012	945	53.18%
Number of Children (Under 18)	1,938	
Number of Adult Non-Citizens	1,658	

Case 3:10-cv-01425-D

25.84%

696

74.16%

2,781

52.86% 47.14%

1,138

2,153

78.57% 21.43%

4,494

5,720

Total Population Hispanic Population

Illustrative District 2

1,226

Non-Hispanic Population

3,750

Total Voting Age Population Hispanic Voting Age Population Non-Hispanic Voting Age Population Total Citizen Voting Age Population Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population Non-Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population

Percent

Illustrative District 3		Percent
Total Population 5	5,722	
Hispanic Population 4	4,613	80.62%
Non-Hispanic Population	1,109	19.38%
Total Voting Age Population	3,710	
Hispanic Voting Age Population	2,840	76.55%
Non-Hispanic Voting Age Population	870	23.45%
	Dec 14	
Total Citizen Voting Age Population	2,073	
Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population	1,138	54.90%
Non-Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population	935	45.10%
Total Registered Voters - April 2012	1571	
Spanish Surname Registered Voters - April 2012	756	48.12%
Non-Spanish Surname Registered Voters -April 2012	815	51.88%
Number of Children (Under 18)	2,012	
Number of Adult Non-Citizens	1,637	

Do	cu	me	ent	56	5-2	File	ed :	10	/05	5/12	2	P	age	e 3	of	3	Pa	age	eID	36	66
47.14%		45.37%	54.63%				Percent		79.12%	20.88%		74.62%	25.38%		53.68%	46.32%		49.89%	50.11%		
1,015	1,642	745	897	1,970	1,597			5,767	4,563	1,204	3,830	2,858	972	2,010	1,079	931	1,794	895	899	1,937	1,820
Non-Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population	Total Registered Voters - April 2012	Spanish Surname Registered Voters - April 2012	Non-Spanish Surname Registered Voters - April 2012	Number of Children (Under 18)	Number of Adult Non-Citizens		Illustrative District 4	Total Population	Hispanic Population	Non-Hispanic Population	Total Voting Age Population	Hispanic Voting Age Population	Non-Hispanic Voting Age Population	Total Citizen Voting Age Population	Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population	Non-Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population	Total Registered Voters - April 2012	Spanish Surname Registered Voters - April 2012	Non-Spanish Surname Registered Voters - April 2012	Number of Children (Under 18)	Number of Adult Non-Citizens