Case: 4:15-cv-00207-RLW Doc. #: 10 Filed: 02/11/15 Page: 1 of 2 PageID #: 107

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

CONSTITUTION PARTY OF MISSOURI, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 4:15-cv-207

v.

ST. LOUIS COUNTY, et al.,

Defendants.

## **CONSENT JUDGMENT**

The parties having stipulated and consented to the relief set forth below and the entry of this Consent Judgment,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant St. Louis County Board of Election Commissioners, its officers, employees, or agents, and those acting on its behalf are preliminarily enjoined from enforcing St. Louis County Charter § 2.150, which only permits the Board of Election Commissioners to accept nominees selected by committees of the "the two parties casting the highest vote for governor in the last election" as candidates in a special election to fill a vacancy on the St. Louis County Council.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant St. Louis County Board of Election Commissioners, its officers, employees, or agents, and/or those acting on its behalf shall accept from Plaintiff Cynthia Redburn her Declaration of Candidacy, Missouri Department of Revenue

Case: 4:15-cv-00207-RLW Doc. #: 10 Filed: 02/11/15 Page: 2 of 2 PageID #: 108

Form 5120, and her letter indicating the Constitution Party of Missouri's nomination for the Sixth

County Council District seat on the St. Louis County Council. Defendant St. Louis County Board

of Election Commissioners shall certify Redburn as the Constitution Party's nominee for the April

7, 2015, special election to fill the vacant Sixth County Council District seat on the St. Louis

County Council.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Consent Judgment does not fully resolve the

issues in the case, as it leaves open the question of whether St. Louis County Charter § 2.150, on

its face, violates the First and Fourteenth Amendments by completely excluding minor parties

and independent candidates from future special elections to fill vacancies on the St. Louis

County Council. This issue is not moot and is still before the court for resolution because it is

capable of repetition while evading review; the case shall proceed accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

RONNIE L. WHITE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated this // day of February, 2015.