		ELECTRONICALLY FILED 12/22/2020 8:41 AM Kern County Superior Court By Ana Hinojosa, Deputy	
1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	(Exempt from Filing Fees Pursuant to Gov. Code, § 6103(a))	
2	MICHAEL L. NEWMAN Senior Assistant Attorney General		
3	NANCY A. BENINATI (SBN 177999) Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
4	Anthony V. Seferian (SBN 142741) Marisol León (SBN 298707)		
5	Deputy Attorneys General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702		
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6048		
7	Fax: (916) 731-2129 E-mail: anthony.seferian@doj.ca.gov		
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff, The People of the State of California		
10			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	COUNTY OF KERN		
13			
14	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	CASE NO: BCV-20-102971	
15	CALIFORNIA EX REL. XAVIER BECERRA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE	(Unlimited Civil Case)	
16	OF CALIFORNIA,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE	
17	Plaintiff,	RELIEF (Civ. Code, § 52.3)	
18	V.		
19	COUNTY OF KERN and		
20	THE KERN COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE,		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23		•	
24			
25	Plaintiff the People of the State of California, by and through Xavier Becerra, Attorney		
26	General of the State of California, alleges as follow	s:	
27			
28	1		
	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		

7. In December 2016, the Attorney General began a civil investigation of KCSO, to determine whether KCSO had engaged in a pattern or practice of violating state or federal law.

27

28

California Department of Justice attorneys and investigators focused on allegations involving police practices and accountability, among other related issues, within the Kern County Sheriff's Office. The Attorney General's decision to investigate this law enforcement agency was informed by complaints by individuals and community organizations, as well as by media reports, which alleged use of excessive force and other serious misconduct. Publically available data sources concerning officer-involved shootings and deaths in custody were also reviewed and considered over the course of more than a year prior to the announcement.

- 8. After a comprehensive investigation, the Attorney General's Office concluded that because of defective or inadequate policies, practices, and procedures, KCSO has failed to uniformly and adequately enforce the law. Such failure has led the Attorney General's Office to conclude that KCSO has engaged in a pattern or practice of conduct that deprived persons of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States or the Constitution or laws of the State of California. Such conduct includes, but is not limited, to: using unreasonable force, especially with respect to use of its canines, in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, and California Constitution, article I, section 13; engaging in unreasonable stops, searches, arrests, and seizures in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and California Constitution, article I, section 13; and failure to exercise appropriate management and supervision of its law enforcement officers that resulted in the deprivation of constitutional rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, and California Constitution, article I, section 13.
- 9. The investigation also identified other legal violations in the use of deadly force against individuals with a mental health disability and those in crisis; failure to provide meaningful access to limited English proficient individuals under 42 United States Code section 2000d, Government Code section 11135 subdivision (a), and Government Code section 7290 et seq.; failure to provide equal employment opportunities to KCSO applicants and employees under Government Code section 12940; failure to adequately maintain a meaningful program for receipt and investigation of civilian complaints under Penal Code section 832.5; and lack of a

comprehensive community policing program. Accordingly, the Attorney General's Office finds and alleges that KCSO has engaged in a pattern or practice of conduct that deprives persons of rights, privileges, or immunities, secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States or the Constitution or laws of the State of California.

- 10. KCSO has taken a number of constructive actions in its effort to improve the law enforcement services it provides to Kern County residents, including reforming policies and training; supplying deputies with new equipment, including body-worn cameras; investing in additional technology and infrastructure; undertaking a comprehensive assessment of the operations of its various divisions; providing additional training to deputies; and other actions. But the issues identified in this complaint warrant permanent and widespread changes. To that end, the parties worked cooperatively to agree on a remedial plan that includes new and revised KCSO's policies and procedures, training of deputies and supervisors on the policies, frameworks for assessments of KCSO's performance in each of the areas, and oversight and evaluation, by an outside monitor, of KCSO's compliance with the reforms delineated in the stipulated judgment.
- 11. Plaintiff now seeks an order requiring KCSO to implement the agreed-upon reforms, and respectfully requests that the court enter judgment as set forth in the proposed stipulated judgment, concurrently filed with this complaint. The parties have negotiated in good faith on numerous policy and procedure changes, and have reached agreement to address the findings of the Attorney General's investigation. And KCSO has already begun to make changes to its policies, procedures, and practices, and is in the process of implementing several of the terms agreed upon by the parties.
- 12. In light of the foregoing, and by the nature of the allegations, there exists no alternative adequate remedy at law. Further, the various violations of law as alleged result in irreparable harm to the People of the State of California, and the balance of the harms weighs in favor of the People. Therefore, equitable relief in the form of an injunction is the appropriate remedy here.

1	CAUSES OF ACTION		
2	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
3	(Violation of Civil Code Section 52.3)		
4	13.	Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates herein by reference the preceding	
5	paragraphs	s of this complaint as though they were fully set forth herein.	
6	14.	Civil Code section 52.3 prohibits governmental authorities, an agent of a	
7	governme	ntal authority, and persons acting on behalf of governmental authorities, from engaging	
8	in a pattern or practice of conduct by law enforcement officers that deprives any person of rights		
9	privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States of		
10	the Constitution or laws of the State of California.		
11	15.	Defendants have violated Civil Code section 52.3 by engaging in the actions	
12	described in this complaint.		
13	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION		
14	(Violation of the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution)		
15	16.	Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates herein by reference the preceding	
16	paragraphs of this complaint as though they were fully set forth herein.		
17	17.	The Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution protects individuals from	
18	unreasonal	ble searches and seizures.	
19	18.	Defendants have violated the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution by	
20	engaging i	n the conduct described in this complaint.	
21		THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	
22		(Violation of Article I, Section 13 of the California Constitution)	
23	19.	Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates herein by reference the preceding	
24	paragraphs of this complaint as though they were fully set forth herein.		
25	20.	The California Constitution guarantees the right to be free from unreasonable	
26	searches a	nd seizures. (Cal. Cont. art. I, § 13.)	
27	21.	Defendants have violated article I, section 13 of the California Constitution by	
28	engaging in the conduct described in this complaint.		

1	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
2	(Violation of Article I, Section 15 of the California Constitution)		
3	22. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates herein by reference the preceding		
4	paragraphs of this complaint as though they were fully set forth herein.		
5	23. The California Constitution guarantees the right to not be deprived of liberty and		
6	property without due process of law. (Cal. Cont. art. I, § 15.)		
7	24. Defendants have violated article I, section 15 of the California Constitution by		
8	engaging in the conduct described in this complaint.		
9	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
10	(Violation of Article I, Section 7 of the California Constitution)		
11	25. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates herein by reference the preceding		
12	paragraphs of this complaint as though they were fully set forth herein.		
13	26. The California Constitution guarantees the right to equal protection of the laws. (Cal		
14	Cont. art. I, § 7.)		
15	27. Defendants have violated article I, section 7 of the California Constitution by		
16	engaging in the conduct described in this complaint.		
17	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
18	WHEREFORE, the People of the State of California respectfully pray for the court to		
19	enter judgment as follows:		
20	1. For the court to issue an order enjoining defendants from engaging in the unlawful		
21	practices challenged in this complaint, requiring defendants to implement the injunctive relief		
22	provisions as set forth in the proposed stipulated judgment, and entering final judgment;		
23	2. For the court to exercise continuing jurisdiction over this action, to ensure that		
24	defendants comply with the judgment as set forth in the proposed stipulated judgment; and		
25	//		
26	//		
27	//		
28			
	6		

1	3.	For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.
2	Dated:	December 22, 2020
3		Respectfully Submitted, XAVIER BECERRA
4		AAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California MICHAEL L. NEWMAN
5 6		Senior Assistant Attorney General NANCY A. BENINATI
7		Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARISOL LEÓN Deputy Attorney General
8		
9		anthony Seferin
10		ANTHONY V. SEFERIAN
11		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff, The
12		People of the State of California
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		