

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

NOVA HEALTH SYSTEMS D/B/A REPRODUCTIVE SERVICES, on behalf of itself and its patients; et al,

Plaintiff(s),

FILED IN THE DISTRICT COURT OKLAHOMA COUNTY, OKLA.

MAR **28** 2012

PATRICIA PRESILENCOURT CLERK

v.

E. SCOTT PRUITT, in his official Capacity as Attorney General of Oklahoma; et al,

Defendant(s)

And

AMERICAN VICTIMS OF ABORTION, a National project of the National Right To Life Committee,

Defendant - Intervenor.

Case No. CV - 2010 - 533

ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT DECLARING ULTRASOUND
ACT AS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL SPECIAL LAW AND PERMANENT
INJUNCTION PREVENTING THE ENFORCEMENT
OF THE ULTRASOUND ACT

NOW on this 28th day of March, 2012, the Court, having reviewed the Motions and Cross-Motions for Partial Summary Judgment, Responses and Replies filed by the parties and being fully advised in the premises, finds that said Motions can be decided without a hearing pursuant to District Court Rule 4(h) and finds that Summary Judgment should be granted to Plaintiffs on their claim that the Ultrasound Act is unconstitutional under the Oklahoma Constitution.

The Court Finds as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs bring this action challenging the constitutionality of the "Ultrasound Act," being House Bill 2780, 2010 Oklahoma Session Laws Chapter 36 and codified at 63 O.S. § 1 738.1A et seq., under the Oklahoma Constitution and raising seven (7) counts challenging said Act.
- 2. The Court finds that there are no material facts concerning Plaintiffs' Claim that the Ultrasound Act is an unconstitutional special law prohibited by Article V, § 59 in that it improperly is addressed only to patients, physicians and sonographers concerning abortions and does not address all patients, physicians and sonographers concerning other medical care where a general law could clearly be made applicable. It is also unconstitutional under Article V, § 46, where it grants a private right of action to only a limited class.

3. As the Ultrasound Act is unconstitutional as a special law, the other claims raised by Plaintiffs are most and need not be addressed by this Court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED by this Court that Plaintiffs are granted Summary Judgment that the Ultrasound Act as specifically set forth above is unconstitutional under the Oklahoma Constitution and is unenforceable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that the Temporary Injunction issued on May 3, 2010, is hereby ordered to be a Permanent Injunction, without bond, and Defendants, their agents and their successors are restrained from enforcing said Act.

Bryan C. Dixon, District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

This is to certify that on this $\frac{28^{\frac{11}{12}}}{28^{\frac{11}{12}}}$ day of March, 2012, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Order was mailed via United States Postal Service to the following parties of record:

TERESA S. COLLETT SPECIAL ASST ATTY GENERAL 1824 STANFORD AVE. SAINT PAUL, MN 55105

ANNE E. ZACHRITZ 100 N. BROADWAY, STE 3300 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102-8812

SAMUEL B. CASEY FRC BUILDING, STE 521 801 "G" STREET N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001

STEPHANIE TOTI IVAN VANDEWALKER CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS 120 WALL STREET, 14TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10005 TERRY STOKES
RUBENSTEIN & PITTS PLLC
1503 E. 19TH STREET
EDMOND, OK 73013

MARTHA M. HARDWICK P.O. BOX 35975 TULSA, OK 74153

PATRICK WYRICK ATTY GEN. LITIGATION DIV. 313 NE 21ST STREET OKLAHOMA CITY OK 73105

PAUL WEISS 1285 AVE. OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10019 - 6064

Kate Sylvester, Deputy Court Clerk