1	MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney (SBN 111529)	
2	SCOTT MARCUS, Chief Assistant City Attorney (SBN 184980)	
3	ARLENE N. HOANG, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 193395) JESSICA MARIANI, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 280748)	
4	RYAN SALSIG, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 250830)	
5	200 North Main Street, City Hall East, 6 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90012	
6	Telephone: 213-978-7508	
7	Facsimile: 213-978-7011 Email: Arlene.Hoang@lacity.org	
8		
9	Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES	
10	CITT OF LOS ANGELES	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13		
	LA ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS,	Case No. CV 20-02291 DOC (KES)
14	et al.,	DEFENDANT CITY OF LOS
15	Plaintiffs,	ANGELES' NOTICE OF MOTION
16	V.	AND MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED AND
17		SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT [ECF
18	CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,	361] [FRCP 8, 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6)]
19	Defendants.	Date: January 24, 2022
20		Time: 8:30 a.m. Courtroom: 9D
21		Courtiooni. 9D
22		Hon. David O. Carter
23		United States District Judge
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	DEFENDANT CITY OF LOS ANCELES NOTICE OF	E MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS DE AINTIEES

DEFENDANT CITY OF LOS ANGELES' NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT [ECF 361] [FRCP 8, 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6)]

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT on January 24, 2022, at 8:30 a.m., before the Honorable David O. Carter, in Courtroom 9D of the Ronald Reagan Federal Building and United States Courthouse, located at 411 West Fourth Street, Santa Ana, California, Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES ("the City") will, and hereby does, move the Court to dismiss the Amended and Supplemental Complaint (the "FASC") filed by Plaintiffs LA ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, an unincorporated association, JOSEPH BURK, GEORGE FREM, WENZIAL JARRELL, CHARLES MALOW, KARYN PINSKY, LEANDRO SUAREZ, HARRY TASHDJIAN, CHARLES VAN SCOY, and, GARY WHITTER, individuals (collectively "Plaintiffs") pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") 8, 12(b)(1), and 12(b)(6). The FASC is inadequately pleaded, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, and asserts claims over which the Court lacks jurisdiction.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-3, on November 23, 2021, counsel for the City met and conferred with counsel for Plaintiffs via teleconference to discuss informal resolution of this motion. However, the parties were unable to resolve the motion informally or narrow the issues to be decided on the motion.

As set forth in detail in the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, there is good cause to dismiss Plaintiffs' FASC. Plaintiffs have not established that they have standing to assert their claims before this Court, warranting dismissal under FRCP 12(b)(1). In addition, the FASC: (1) violates principles of separation of powers and federalism; (2) fails to allege a cognizable violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"); (3) fails to allege a discriminatory purpose, a discriminatory policy or legislation, or rebut the presumption that facially non-discriminatory practices have a rational basis; (4) invokes the state-created-danger doctrine, which is inapplicable; (5)

¹ Counsel for Plaintiffs and the City stipulated to the hearing date and time, and briefing schedule, for the City's Motion. ECF 367. On November 12, 2021, the Court entered an Order granting the stipulation. ECF 368.

fails to allege any special relationship between Plaintiffs and the City; (6) fails to specify any policy or custom upon which Monell liability may be founded; (7) does not allege a taking under federal or state law; (8) fails to adequately allege common law negligence; (9) fails to allege a nuisance claim; and (10) fails to allege a violation of California Code of Civil Procedure section 526a. For these reasons, dismissal is warranted under FRCP 8 and 12(b)(6). This motion is based on this Notice of Motion, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the pleadings and other papers on file in this action, and such further argument and evidence as may be offered at the time of the hearing on this Motion. DATED: December 3, 2021 By: sArlene N. Hoang, Deputy City Attorney Counsel for Defendant City of Los Angeles