CC: TO JUDGE PM

## HONORABLE JOHN COUGHENOUR

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CV 02-02042 #00000004

RECEIVED LODGED.

> OCT 17 2002 PM

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

**EQUAL EMPLOYMENT** OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Plaintiff,

VS.

MARITIME EMPLOYMENT SERVICES, INC.,

Defendant

NO. CV 02-2042C

**DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND** AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO **COMPLAINT** 

TO. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)

A. Luis Lucero, Jr., Regional Attorney and Kathryn Olson, Supervisory Attorney, AND TO: Counsel for Plaintiff (EEOC)

In answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant Maritime Employment Services, Inc., admits, denies, and alleges as set forth below. Each and every allegation of Plaintiff's Complaint not expressly admitted is denied

**DEFENDANT'S ANSWER -1** 



Law Office of Reba Weiss 3316 Fuhrman Avenue East, Suite 250 Seattle, Washington 98102 206-860-0260 Fax: 206-860-0269



7

5

9

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

**DEFENDANT'S ANSWER -2** 

## NATURE OF THE ACTION

Defendant admits that Plaintiff has filed an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991. Defendant specifically denies each and every other allegation contained in this paragraph.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Answering paragraph 1, Defendant admits that jurisdiction is asserted pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1345 and that Plaintiff has instituted this action pursuant to § 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII as amended, and §102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. §1981a. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 1.
- Answering paragraph 2, Defendant admits doing business within the jurisdiction of this Court. Defendant denies committing unlawful employment practices. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 2.

#### **PARTIES**

- 3. Answering paragraph 3, Defendant admits that Plaintiff is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title VII and is authorized to bring lawsuits under §706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§20003-5(f)(1) and (3). Defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 3.
- 4. Answering paragraph 4, to the extent that this paragraph poses a legal question, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant admits that it has sometimes found employment for some of its clients. Defendant denies all remaining allegations contained in paragraph 4

4

10

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

### **CONCILIATION**

5. Answering paragraph 8, Defendant admits that it was contacted by an EEOC representative prior to the institution of this lawsuit. Defendant denies all remaining allegations contained in paragraph 8.

## STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 6. Answering paragraph 9, Defendant admits that Cleo Reed filed a charge of discrimination with the EEOC more than 30 days prior to the institution of this lawsuit. Whether all conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit were fulfilled is a legal question to which no response is required. Defendant denies all remaining allegations contained in paragraph 9.
- Answering paragraph 10, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 10.
- 8. Answering paragraph 11, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 11.
- 9 Answering paragraph 12, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 12.
- Answering paragraph 13, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 13

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

By way of further answer to Plaintiff's Complaint and as affirmative defenses, Defendant incorporates by reference herein its answers to paragraphs 1-13 herein and further asserts the following affirmative defenses:

**DEFENDANT'S ANSWER -3** 

Law Office of Reba Weiss
3316 Fuhrman Avenue East, Suite 250
Seattle, Washington 98102
206-860-0260 Fax: 206-860-0269

24

25

- 1. Failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 2. At all times, Defendant was acting in good faith.
- 3. Plaintiff's claim may be barred based on the doctrines of waiver and estoppel.
- 4. The practices of the Defendant are now, and were during the period of time referred to in the complaint, conducted in all respects in accordance with local, state and federal laws, regulations and constitutions.
- 5. Failure to mitigate damages.
- 6. Statute of Limitations.
- 7. Laches.
- 8. Failure to conciliate.
- 9. The scope of the charge filed with the EEOC in this matter limits plaintiff's claims.
- 10. Defendant reserves the right to raise additional affirmative defenses based on discovery to be conducted in this action.

## **RELIEF**

Wherefore, having fully answered Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant prays for the following relief:

- 1 For the dismissal of Plaintiff's complaint with prejudice,
- 2. For Defendant's costs of defense, including reasonable attorney's fees; and
- 3. For such further and other relief as the court deems just and equitable.

\_\_\_\_\_

Dated this day of October, 2002.

3316 Fuhrman Avenue East, Suite 250

Law Office of Reba Weiss

Seattle, WA 98102 Attorney for Defendant

**DEFENDANT'S ANSWER -5** 

Law Office of Reba Weiss
3316 Fuhrman Avenue East, Suite 250
Seattle, Washington 98102
206-860-0260 Fax: 206-860-0269