1 2 3 4	DONALD SPECTER – 083925 REBEKAH EVENSON – 207825 PRISON LAW OFFICE 1917 Fifth Street Berkeley, California 94710-1916 Telephone: (510) 280-2621 Facsimile: (510) 280-2704	WARREN E. GEORGE – 053588 BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, California 94111-4066 Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286
5	LINDA D. KILB – 136101 DISABILITY RIGHTS EDUCATION &	MICHAEL W. BIEN – 096891 ERNEST GALVAN – 196065
6	DEFENSE FUND, INC. 3075 Adeline Street, Suite 201	GAY CROSTHWAIT GRUNFELD – 121944 ROSEN, BIEN & GALVAN, LLP
7	Berkeley, California 94703 Telephone: (510) 644-2555	315 Montgomery Street, Tenth Floor San Francisco, California 94104-1823
8	Facsimile: (510) 841-8645	Telephone: (415) 433-6830 Facsimile: (415) 433-7104
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	(110) 100 710 1
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	JOHN ARMSTRONG, et al.,	Case No. C94 2307 CW
14	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RESOLVING CERTAIN
15	V.	DISPUTED ATTORNEY'S FEES FROM THE FIRST QUARTER OF
16	EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., et al.,	2011
17	Defendants. <sup>1</sup>	Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25.	ag and their capacities have been substituted
		C94 2307 CV

[555917-1]

1 On July 29, 2011, the Court approved the parties' Stipulated Order confirming 2 Plaintiffs' entitlement to undisputed attorney's fees and costs for the first quarter of 2011. 3 See Docket No. 1909. In that order, the parties preserved their dispute over "the time spent 4 by Plaintiffs to litigate the pending rates dispute[.]" *Id.*, 2:8-9. 5 Plaintiffs prevailed in rate the dispute. On August 8, 2011 the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion to compel compensation at their counsel's reasonable 2010 hourly rates 6 7 (Docket No. 1919). Accordingly, the parties agree that Plaintiffs' counsel shall now be 8 compensated for the work done in the first quarter of 2011 to litigate that motion. 9 The parties agree to the payment of \$114,289.00 for Plaintiffs' fee collection 10 activities related to the dispute over hourly rates in the first quarter of 2011. Attached 11 hereto as **Exhibit A** is a chart setting forth the undisputed hours and rates. Remaining in 12 dispute is the difference between Plaintiffs' 2010 and 2011 rates for hours incurred in 13 Quarter One of 2011. 14 /// /// 15 /// 16 17 /// 18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

[555917-1]

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the amounts set forth above are due and		
2	collectable as of forty-five days from the date of entry of this Order. Interest on these fees		
3	and costs will run from May 23, 2011, accruing at the rate provided by 28 U.S.C. § 1961.		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5			
6	DATED:10/5/2011		
7			
8		Chidialent	
9		THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
10			
11	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
12	THE ROY LD AG TO TORM.		
13	/s/ Scott Feudale	DATED: October 4, 2011	
14			
15	Deputy Attorney General Attorney for Defendants		
16			
17	/s/ Kenneth Walczak	DATED: September 30, 2011	
18			
19	Rosen, Bien & Galvan, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2 C94 2307 CW	

[555917-1]