O

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case No.	CV 98-4181	CV 98-4181 AHM (AJWx)			January 26, 2011
Title	EMILY Q., e	LY Q., et al. v. DIANE BONTA, et al.			
Present: The Honorable		A. HOWARD MATZ, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE			
Stephen Montes		Not Reported			
Deputy Clerk		Co	Court Reporter / Recorder Tape No.		Tape No.
Atto	rneys <b>NOT</b> Pro	esent for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys NO	T Prese	ent for Defendants:
<b>Proceedin</b> Havi		N CHAMBERS (No Properties) respective file	Ç ,	Court's	December 16, 2010
	•	t now rules as follows.	ings in response to the C	Jourt 5	2010
good faith c	ommitment no	of DHCS and CDMH (I t only to continue making the Nine Point Plan after	ng progress toward satis	fying P	oint Nine, but to
from its view finding, but really drop to of the Feder By its terms of jurisdictic justice in a participate the progress at corepudiating 60(b)(6), "R constitutes a	w that jurisdict the Court cont he ball or fail al Rules of Civ, that rule applon. Moreover, particular case. Alton Steamsh at the State Decomplying with a settlement agreepudiation of an extraordinar	filing (Dkt. 623) raises ion probably can and shainues to believe that in a to carry out the committy of Procedure would affect its not only to judgmen the Rule has been described. E.g., Nisson v. Lundy ip Co., 608 F.2d 96, 100 affendants would in fact the judgment, if they depresed the judgment as settlement agreement by circumstance, and it judgment in the judgment as settlement agreement.	mould terminate by June a "worst case scenario" ments they set forth in the ord a promising remedy its, but to orders, such as ribed as a "grand reserve, 975 F.2d 802, 806 (115 (4th Cir. 1979). Although abandon or thwart their lid so such a choice wou judgment was reached that terminated litigation ustifies vacating the countries."	2011. To (e.g., the heir Exhibit to Plain is an order of each Cir. It is previously the consense in pending it's price.	This is not a conclusive the State Defendants while 1), Rule 60(b)(6) thiffs and to the Court. The divesting the Court equitable power to do 1992) (quoting as Court does not as (and gratifying) comparable to smally.) Under Rule and before a court for dismissal order."
			Initials of Prepar	er	: rs