

 		Search documents in this case: <input type="text"/> <input type="button" value="Search"/>
No. 22A544		
Title:	Arizona, et al., Applicants v. Alejandro Mayorkas, Secretary of Homeland Security	
Docketed:	December 19, 2022	
Linked with 22-592		
Lower Ct:	United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit	
Case Numbers:	(22-5325)	

DATE	PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS
Dec 19 2022	Application (22A544) for a stay, submitted to The Chief Justice. <div> Main Document Other Proof of Service </div>
Dec 19 2022	Upon consideration of the application of counsel for the applicants, it is ordered that the November 15, 2022 order of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, case No. 1:21-cv-00100, is hereby stayed pending further order of The Chief Justice or of the Court. It is further ordered that a response to the application be filed on or before Tuesday, December 20, 2022, by 5 p.m. (EST).
Dec 20 2022	Federal Respondents' Opposition to the Application For A Stay Pending Certiorari filed. <div> Proof of Service Main Document </div>
Dec 20 2022	Response to application from respondent Nancy Gimena Huisha-Huisha, et al., filed. <div> Main Document Proof of Service </div>
Dec 20 2022	Motion for leave to file and brief of amicus curiae filed by Immigration Reform Law Institute. Main Document
Dec 21 2022	Reply of applicant Arizona, et al. filed.

	Reply Proof of Service
Dec 27 2022	Application (22A544) referred to the Court.
Dec 27 2022	<p>Application (22A544) for stay pending certiorari presented to The Chief Justice and by him referred to the Court is granted. The November 15, 2022 order of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, case No. 1:21–cv–00100, is hereby stayed. Applicants suggested this Court treat the application as a petition for a writ of certiorari; doing so, the petition is granted. The parties are directed to brief and argue the following question: Whether the State applicants may intervene to challenge the District Court’s summary judgment order. This stay precludes giving effect to the District Court order setting aside and vacating the Title 42 policy; the stay itself does not prevent the federal government from taking any action with respect to that policy. The Court’s review on certiorari is limited to the question of intervention. While the underlying merits of the District Court’s summary judgment order are pertinent to that analysis, the Court does not grant review of those merits, which have not yet been addressed by the Court of Appeals. The Clerk is directed to establish a briefing schedule that will allow the case to be argued in the February 2023 argument session. The stay shall terminate upon the sending down of the judgment of this Court. The order heretofore entered by The Chief Justice is vacated. Justice Sotomayor and Justice Kagan would deny the application. Justice Gorsuch, with whom Justice Jackson joins, dissenting. (Detached Opinion)</p>

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
Attorneys for Petitioners		
Elizabeth Baker Murrill Counsel of Record	Office of the Attorney General 1885 N. Third Street Baton Rouge, LA 70802 murrille@ag.louisiana.gov	225-326-6766
Party name: States of Arizona, Louisiana, Missouri, et al.		
Attorneys for Respondents		
Lee Gelernt Counsel of Record	American Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad St. New York, NY 10004-2400 lgelernt@aclu.org	2125492616
Party name: Nancy Gimena Huisha-Huisha, et al.,		

Elizabeth B. Prelogar Counsel of Record	Solicitor General United States Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001 SupremeCtBriefs@USDOJ.gov	202-514-2217
--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------

Party name: united states

Other

Christopher J. Hajec Counsel of Record	Immigration Reform Law Institute 25 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 335 Washington, DC 20001 chajec@irli.org	202-232-5590
-------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------

Party name: Immigration Reform Law Institute