

71 Fed.Appx. 654

This case was not selected for publication in the  
Federal Reporter.

Not for Publication in West's Federal Reporter See  
Fed. Rule of Appellate Procedure 32.1 generally  
governing citation of judicial decisions issued on or  
after Jan. 1, 2007. See also Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

(Find CTA9 Rule 36-3)

United States Court of Appeals,  
Ninth Circuit.

WASHINGTON PROTECTION, et al.,  
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

EVERGREEN SCHOOL DISTRICT; et al.,  
Defendants-Appellees.

No. 03-35368.

|  
D.C. No. CV-03-05062-FDB.

|  
Submitted July 21, 2003.\*

|  
Decided July 24, 2003.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Western District of Washington, Franklin D. Burgess,  
District Judge, Presiding.

Before LEAVY, HAWKINS and RAWLINSON, Circuit  
Judges.

MEMORANDUM\*\*

**\*\*1** This preliminary injunction appeal comes to us for  
review under Ninth Circuit Rule 3-3. We have jurisdiction  
under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1), and we affirm.

We subject a district court's order regarding preliminary  
injunctive relief only to limited review. *Walczak v. EPL  
Prolong, Inc.*, 198 F.3d 725, 730 (9th Cir.1999). Our  
review of an order regarding a preliminary injunction "is  
much more limited than review of an order involving a  
permanent injunction, where all conclusions of law are  
freely reviewable." *Id.* A decision regarding a preliminary  
injunction is reviewed for abuse of discretion, which  
occurs only if the district court based its **\*655** decision on  
either an erroneous legal standard or clearly erroneous  
factual findings. *Id.*

We cannot say that the district court abused its discretion  
here. We therefore affirm the district court's order  
denying the preliminary injunction. Our disposition will  
affect the rights of the parties only until the district court  
renders final judgment. *Sports Form, Inc. v. United Press  
International*, 686 F.2d 750, 752 (9th Cir.1982).

**AFFIRMED.**

**All Citations**

71 Fed.Appx. 654, 2003 WL 21751827

**Footnotes**

\* This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R.App. P. 34(a)(2).

\*\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.