

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

L (a) PLAINTIFFS Gerald E. Groff				DEFENDANTS Megan J. Brennan, Postmaster General, United States Postal Service						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lancaster (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) David W. Crossett, Esquire Cornerstone Law Firm, LLC 8500 Allentown Pike, Suite 3, Blandon, PA 19510				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
				Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP O	F PRI	NCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box f	for Plainti <u>f</u>
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			For Diversity Cases Or on of This State	<i>ily)</i> PTF □ 1	DEF □ 1	Incorporated or Pr		r Defende PTF □ 4	DEF
Ø 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	□ 2	□ 2	Incorporated and I of Business In		1 5	5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					wzest base		t here for: Nature		concession with the contract of the contract o	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 750 Motor V	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General	Y	DEFEITURE/PENAL1 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act HMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Applies 5 Other Immigration Actions	81 0	422 App 423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Copp 830 Pate 835 Pate New 840 Trad SOCIAI 861 HIA 862 Blac 863 DIW 864 SSI 865 RSI FEDER 870 Taxe or D 871 IRS-	REV RIGHTS vrights nt nt - Abbreviated Drug Application emark (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	375 False Cla 376 Qui Tam 3729(a); 400 State Rei 410 Antitrust 430 Banks ar 450 Commer 460 Deportat 470 Racketee Corrupt (aims Act (31 USC) apportions d Bankin ce ion r Influence organizati r Credit t TV se autory Ac a	ment ig ced and cions odities/ ctions tters mation ocedure peal of
	Cite the U.S. Civil State 42 U.S.C. §§ 200 Brief description of car Religious discrim CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	Appellate Court attute under which you ar 0e-(j), 2000e-2 and ause: ination IS A CLASS ACTION	1 2000e-	ened And (spe	other D cify) statutes 1987	unless di a. C J	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer *Versity*): CHECK YES only URY DEMAND CT NUMBER		Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on - ile
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT	PRINTER	F RECORD				-		
05/01/2019										

Case 5:19-cv-01879-JLS Document 1 Filed 05/01/19 Page 2 of 14

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:1	2 Ridgedale Avenue, New Providence,	, PA 17560				
	ederal Operations, P.O. Box 77960, Wa	ashington, D.C. 20013				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Holtwood, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania						
· 						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number:	Judge: Dat	te Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered	d to any of the following questions:					
Is this case related to property included in an eapreviously terminated action in this court?	arlier numbered suit pending or within one year	Yes No				
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or pending or within one year previously terminate		Yes No				
 Does this case involve the validity or infringem numbered case pending or within one year prev 		Yes No				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpu- case filed by the same individual?	us, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	Yes No				
this court except as noted above.	is / • is not related to any case now pending or within					
DATE: 05/01/2019	Attorney-al Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Penna ID 313031 Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
	Audiney-un-Caw / 110 Se / mauri	πιοπος τ.Σ. π (η αργπουσιο)				
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)						
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and 2. FELA 	All Other Contracts I. Insurance Contract an 2. Airplane Personal Inj					
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust	3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injur					
Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Person	nal Injury				
Civil Rights Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights	7. Products Liability	(Please specify):				
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	8. Products Liability – A 9. All other Diversity Ca					
10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	(Please specify):					
(Please specify):						
(The effect	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION t of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for ar	·bitration.)				
I,David W. Crossett,	counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:					
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(e) (exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of	2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damage of interest and costs:	es recoverable in this civil action case				
Relief other than monetary damages is sou	ight.					
DATE: 05/01/2019	Attorney-at-Day Pro Se Plaintiff	313031 Autorney I.D. # (if applicable)				

Case 5:19-cv-01879-JLS Document 1 Filed 05/01/19 Page 3 of 14 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 12 Ridgedale Ave	enue, New Providence, PA 17560					
	ns, P.O. Box 77960, Washington, D.C. 20013					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Holtwood, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number: Judge:	Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following	g questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pen previously terminated action in this court?	ding or within one year Yes No					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No No						
 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action 						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No No						
this court except as noted above.	to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in					
DATE: 05/01/2019 Attorney-a Le	Penna ID 313031 Autorney I.D. # (if applicable)					
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)						
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 	I. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury					
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 	 Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury Assault, Defamation 					
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 	 Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury Assault, Defamation Marine Personal Injury Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 					
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 	I. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus	I. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases	I. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases	I. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	I. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRAT	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): CION CERTIFICATION					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRAT	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): CION CERTIFICATION remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRAT (The effect of this certification is to	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): CION CERTIFICATION remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRAT (The effect of this certification is to the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the certification is to the contract of the certification of the contract of the certification is to the certification is to the contract of the certification is to the certification is the c	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): TION CERTIFICATION remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRAT (The effect of this certification is to the specific control of the speci	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): TION CERTIFICATION remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)					

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX N	umbau	E-Mail Address	
610-926-7875	484-930-00)54	david@cornerstonelaw.us	<u>s</u>
Date		y-at-law	Attorney for	
5/1/2019	David W. C	rossett, Esq.	Gerald E. Groff	
(f) Standard Manageme	ent – Cases that do	not fall into	any one of the other tracks.	(
commonly referred	to as complex and rse side of this for	that need spe	acks (a) through (d) that are cial or intense management by ed explanation of special	كر
(d) Asbestos – Cases in exposure to asbestos		personal inju	rry or property damage from	(
(c) Arbitration – Cases	required to be des	ignated for ar	bitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(
(b) Social Security – Ca and Human Services			sion of the Secretary of Health ity Benefits.	(
(a) Habeas Corpus – Ca	ises brought under	28 U.S.C. §	2241 through § 2255.	(
SELECT ONE OF TH	E FOLLOWING	CASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete filing the complaint and side of this form.) In designation, that defend	a Case Manageme serve a copy on all the event that a d lant shall, with its or parties, a Case N	ent Track Des defendants. efendant does first appearan Management	by Reduction Plan of this court, counsignation Form in all civil cases at the tignation Form of the plan set forth on the resonant agree with the plaintiff regarding the, submit to the clerk of court and set Track Designation Form specifying the igned.	me overs g sai rve o
AN J. BRENNAN, POST ED STATES POSTAL S	MASTER GENE ERVICE	RAL, :	NO.	
v.		: :		
GERALD E.	GROFF	:	CIVIL ACTION	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

CORNERSTONE LAW FIRM, LLC

David W. Crossett, Esq. Penna. I.D. No. 313031

8500 Allentown Pike, Suite 3

Blandon, PA 19510

Telephone: 610-926-7875 Facsimile: 484-930-0054 Email: david@cornerstonelaw.us

INDEPENDENCE LAW CENTER

Randall L. Wenger, Esq. Penna I.D. No. 86537 Jeremy Samek, Esq. Penna I.D. No. 205060

23 North Front Street

2nd Floor

Harrisburg, PA 17101

Telephone: 717-657-4990 Facsimile: 717-545-8107 Email: rwenger@indlawcenter.org

Email: rwenger@indlawcenter.org
Email: jsamek@indlawcenter.org

CHURCH STATE COUNCIL

Alan J. Reinach, Esq. of counsel, pro hac vice pending

2686 Townsgate Rd

Westlake Village, CA 91361 Telephone: 805-413-7398 Facsimile: 805-497-7099

Email: ajreinach@churchstate.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff, GERALD GROFF

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GERALD E. GROFF, Plaintiff	:	
	:	No
v.	:	
	:	COMPLAINT
MEGAN J. BRENNAN,	:	
POSTMASTER GENERAL, UNITED	:	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
STATES POSTAL SERVICE,	:	
Defendant	:	

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, GERALD E. GROFF (hereafter "Groff") was employed by Defendant, MEGAN J. BRENNAN, POSTMASTER GENERAL, UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, (hereafter "Agency") at the Holtwood, Pennsylvania post office. Groff is a member of the Evangelical Christian Church, believes as a matter of religious conviction that he is required by the Bible to honor Sundays as the "Lord's Day" as a unique and holy day of worship and rest for the entire day and by not engaging in secular employment. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff is an employee protected by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et. seq. and is a member of a protected class based on his religion Evangelical Christian.
- 2. Defendant, MEGAN J. BRENNAN, Postmaster General, United States Postal Service, is an employer as defined by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et. seq.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This action is brought to remedy religious discrimination. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-(j), 2000e-2 and 2000e-3(a); the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1987a.
- 4. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, and 1339. Jurisdiction is founded upon the existence of a deprivation of Federal Civil Rights, a substantial Federal question, and in the context of employment with the postal service, a subject of commerce and a postal matter.
- 5. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3) because Plaintiff's claim for relief arose out of his employment by Defendant in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, where all relevant events and omissions supporting Plaintiff's claims for relief occurred.

- 6. Plaintiff filed EEO charges of religious discrimination charges challenging disciplinary suspensions of seven (7) and fourteen (14) days pre-termination resulting in a Final Agency Decision dated January 25, 2019.
 - 7. Plaintiff timely files this Action within ninety (90) days of receipt thereof.
- 8. Plaintiff has therefore exhausted his administrative remedies, and timely filed this action.
- 9. Subsequently, Plaintiff suffered a constructive discharge. He filed an EEO alleging constructive discharge, and this EEO is being processed. Plaintiff intends to request a Final Agency Decision, and amend his complaint herein at the appropriate time to include his claims of constructive discharge.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

10. Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Plaintiff was hired to work as a Rural Carrier Associate ["RCA"] at the Holtwood Post Office on or about July 14, 2012.
- 12. Since before he started working with the Defendant, Groff has been an Evangelical Christian and attends Victory Church, Greenfield Campus in Lancaster, PA.
- 13. One of the important teachings of his church is to observe the Lord's Day as a day of rest during which time secular work is not to be performed.
- 14. The Lord's Day (or "Sabbath" observance) is important to Evangelical Christians for many reasons: among them, is that God rested on the Seventh Day, which is observed by Groff and his congregation on Sunday, and God made it holy after He created the world; and that God commands to "Remember" to keep His Lord's Day holy in the Ten Commandments. Evangelical Christians believe that the obligation to refrain from secular work on the Lord's Day was established by the Creator God, not by the church, and that individuals are responsible to God for their faithful obedience or disobedience.

- 15. Like most other Christian churches, members of the Evangelical Christian Church celebrate the Lord's Day every Sunday.
- 16. In March 2017, the Holtwood Post Office started Sunday delivery of packages for Amazon.
- 17. Between March 2017 and December 2017, Groff did not work Sundays as a coworker, Sheila Moyer, who does not observe the Sabbath on Sunday, volunteered to take any Sunday shifts Groff was scheduled to work.
- 18. In or about December 2017, Sheila Moyer was injured on the job and was unable to continue working Groff's Sunday shifts.
- 19. In January 2018, Groff requested a religious accommodation from his supervisor, Brian Hess ("Hess"). Gross notified Hess that he could not work on Sundays due to his religious belief in observing the Lord's Day.
 - 20. In March 2018, Groff filed a second religious accommodation request.
- 21. Groff's religious accommodation requests were never formally granted or denied, but in practice, they were denied.
- 22. On January 16, 2018, the Agency issued Groff a disciplinary seven (7) day suspension for refusing to work as scheduled on two (2) Sundays. The discipline stated it was for misconduct.
- 23. When Hess issued Groff the discipline, he explained that Groff was being punished for his refusal to obey orders.
- 24. On information and belief, such discipline violated Agency policy policy regards discipline as "corrective" and is intended to correct conduct to insure an employee's success.
- 25. The discipline issued to Groff if designed to be corrective -- was thereby intentionally discriminatory in that it was issued with the intent to correct Groff's religious observance of Sabbath on Sunday.

- 26. Groff's religious belief and observance regarding Sunday did not constitute the sort of performance failures that management had a right to seek to correct through discipline. Instead, it was Groff's constitutional right not to be forced to violate his religious beliefs as a condition of working for a United States Federal Government Agency.
- 27. Alternately, if the discipline issued to Groff was intended to be punitive, as Hess told Groff, it violated Agency policy that discipline be corrective in nature, not punitive.
- 28. On October 9, 2018, the Agency issued Groff another disciplinary suspension, this time for fourteen (14) days.
- 29. Between December 2017 and January 2019, Defendant Agency continued to schedule Groff to work on Sundays, despite being fully informed that Groff had requested religious accommodation not to work on Sundays.
- 30. On information and belief, all of Groff's supervisors, postmasters, etc., knew that he was an Evangelical Christian, and did not wish to work on Sundays for Religious reasons.
- 31. Yet, throughout this time period Agency made no effort to provide Groff with a job assignment that would eliminate his being scheduled in conflict with his religion.
- 32. Groff notified the Defendant that he was unwilling to violate his religious convictions by working on Sundays.
- 33. Despite sharing his religious conviction with the Defendant, the latter still scheduled Groff to work on Sunday.
- 34. Because Groff would not work on his Lord's Day, and because he refused to work on Sundays in the future, Hess issued Groff a written Letter of Warning on June 6, 2017.
- 35. Because Groff would not work on his Lord's Day, and because he refused to work on Sundays in the future, Hess issued Groff a written seven (7) day suspension on January 16, 2018.
- 36. Because Groff would not work on his Lord's Day, and because he refused to work on Sundays in the future, Hess issued Groff a written fourteen (14) day suspension on October 9, 2018.

5

- 37. Hess did not make any effort to relieve Groff of the obligation to work on Sunday, i.e., to provide religious accommodation, as required by Title VII.
- 38. On information and belief, Groff was not disciplined for attendance reasons, but because he told the Defendant that he would not work on Sundays, in violation of his religious beliefs.
- 39. The discipline and threat of termination due to Lord's Day observance caused Groff much anxiety and stress.
- 40. At all times relevant, Groff met or exceeded expectations with respect to his performance.
- 41. Groff was never issued any counseling or discipline with respect to his performance.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Religious Discrimination in Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the allegations stated above as though repeated and set forth in full herein.
 - 43. Plaintiff has a sincerely held religious belief not to work on Sundays.
- 44. Defendant Agency, and all of Plaintiff's supervisors, had full knowledge of Plaintiff's religion Christian, and his religious observance of Sunday.
- 45. Plaintiff's postmaster, Hess, told Plaintiff that he was issuing discipline to Plaintiff to punish him for his refusal to work on Sunday.
- 46. This constitutes an admission that Hess disciplined Plaintiff Groff on account of his religion Christian, and on account of his religious observance.
- 47. Hence, Plaintiff Groff's religion was a substantial motivating factor in Defendant's decision to issue Plaintiff punitive (not corrective) discipline.

- 48. The disciplinary suspensions issued by Defendant Agency constitute adverse employment actions, providing Plaintiff clear notice that if he continued to refuse to work on Sundays, he would be terminated.
- 49. As a proximate result of Defendant's religious discrimination, Plaintiff has been harmed in that he has suffered the loss of wages, salary, and benefits, in an amount according to proof.
- 50. As a further proximate result of Defendant's discriminatory actions against Plaintiff, as alleged above, Plaintiff has been harmed in that he has suffered humiliation, mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress, and has been injured in mind and body, in an amount according to proof.
- 51. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(k) provides that the court may allow the prevailing party to recover a reasonable attorney's fee as part of the costs. As a result, Plaintiff is seeking reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Failure to Accommodate Plaintiff's Religious Observance in Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

- 52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the allegations stated above as though repeated and set forth in full herein.
- 53. Plaintiff has a sincerely held religious belief to observe Sunday as a day of rest and worship, and to avoid all secular labor on that day.
- 54. Plaintiff informed Defendant of this belief, and requested religious accommodation not to work on Sunday.
- 55. Defendant failed and refused to act on Plaintiff's request for religious accommodation, neither formally granting or denying this request, so effectively, Defendant denied Plaintiff's request for religious accommodation.

- 56. Defendant failed to fulfill its legal obligation to make good faith efforts to provide a reasonable religious accommodation to Plaintiff, and also failed to provide Plaintiff a religious accommodation.
- 57. Instead of complying with its legal obligation to accommodate Plaintiff's religious observance of Sunday, Defendant issued Plaintiff punitive (not corrective) discipline constituting an adverse employment action.
- 58. On information and belief, Defendant Agency covered the Amazon delivery duties on Sunday in Plaintiff's absence, and was fully capable of providing him with religious accommodation.
- 59. As a proximate result of Defendant's religious discrimination, Plaintiff has been harmed in that he has suffered the loss of wages, salary, and benefits, in an amount according to proof.
- 60. As a further proximate result of Defendant's discriminatory actions against Plaintiff, as alleged above, Plaintiff has been harmed in that he has suffered humiliation, mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress, and has been injured in mind and body, in an amount according to proof.
- 61. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(k) provides that the court may allow the prevailing party to recover a reasonable attorney's fee as part of the costs. As a result, Plaintiff is seeking reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Gerald Groff respectfully requests that this Court:

1. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, its agents and employees, and all persons acting in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in religious discrimination and retaliation and any other employment practice which discriminates on the basis of religion.

- 2. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide Equal Employment Opportunities for religious observance of the Sabbath and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.
- 3. Order Defendant to make Plaintiff whole by providing appropriate back-pay with pre-judgement interest, in amounts to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices, including but not limited to reinstating Groff to his former position as a RCA or another suitable position, and providing Groff with a schedule that accommodates his religious observance of the Lord's Day, i.e., time off on Sundays, or in the alternative, front pay.
- 4. Order Defendant to make Plaintiff whole by providing compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful conduct, including but not limited to pain and suffering, emotional distress, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.
 - 5. Order Defendant to pay Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
 - 6. Grant such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 1, 2019

By:

David W. Crossett, Esq. Penna. I.D. No. 313031

(8500 Allentown Pike, Suite 3

Blandon, PA 19510

Telephone: 610-926-7875 Facsimile: 484-930-0054

Email: david@cornerstonelaw.us

CORNERSTONE LAW FIRM, LLC-

INDEPENDENCE LAW CENTER

Randall L. Wenger, Esq.

Penna I.D. No. 86537

Jeremy Samek, Esq.

Penna I.D. No. 205060

23 North Front Street

9

2nd Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101

Telephone: 717-657-4990 Facsimile: 717-545-8107 Email: rwenger@indlawcenter.org

Email: jsamek@indlawcenter.org

CHURCH STATE COUNCIL

Alan J. Reinach, Esq. of counsel, pro hac vice pending 2686 Townsgate Rd Westlake Village, CA 91361

Telephone: 805-413-7398
Facsimile: 805-497-7099
Email: ajreinach@churchstate.org

Counsel for Plaintiff