

United States Court of Appeals
FIFTH CIRCUIT
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE
CLERK

TEL. 504-310-7700
600 S. MAESTRI PLACE,
Suite 115
NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

June 13, 2023

Mr. Tony R. Moore
Western District of Louisiana, Lafayette
United States District Court
300 Fannin Street
Suite 1167
Shreveport, LA 71101-0000

No. 22-30303 State of LA v. Centers for Disease
USDC No. 6:22-CV-885

Dear Mr. Moore,

Enclosed is a copy of the judgment issued as the mandate.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk



By: Allison G. Lopez, Deputy Clerk
504-310-7702

cc w/encl:

Mr. Ahilan T. Arulanantham
Mr. Charles F. Capps
Mr. Bryan Cleveland
Mr. David Cohen
Mr. Matt A. Crapo
Mr. Joshua Dos Santos
Mr. Drew C. Ensign
Mr. James Joseph Fitzpatrick III
Ms. Laura Hill
Mr. Thomas T. Hydrick
Ms. Talia Inlender
Ms. Monika Y. Langarica
Mr. Anton Metlitsky
Ms. Elizabeth Baker Murrill

Ms. Victoria Neilson
Mr. Leif A. Olson
Ms. Breanna Philips
Mr. John Robinson
Mr. Peter Schey
Ms. Lindsay Sara See
Mr. Gerson H. Smoger
Mr. Joseph Scott St. John
Ms. Jessica Elizabeth Hart Steinmann
Ms. Esther Sung
Ms. Sharon Swingle
Ms. Karen Cassandra Tumlin
Mr. Matthew Vogel
Mr. Henry Charles Whitaker
Mr. Eric B. Wolff
Ms. Mary Catherine Yanik
Mr. Walter S. Zimolong III

United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit



No. 22-30303

A True Copy
Certified order issued Jun 13, 2023

Tyler W. Caylor
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

STATE OF LOUISIANA; STATE OF ARIZONA; STATE OF
MISSOURI; STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA; STATE OF SOUTH
CAROLINA, *Et al.*,

Plaintiffs—Appellees,

versus

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION; ROCHELLE
WALENSKY; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES; XAVIER BECERRA; UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, *Et al.*,

Defendants—Appellants,

INNOVATION LAW LAB,

Movant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Louisiana
USDC No. 6:22-CV-885

UNPUBLISHED ORDER

Before RICHMAN, *Chief Judge*, and HAYNES and GRAVES, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM:

No. 22-30303

In light of subsequent events, the district court's preliminary injunction has now become moot. *See, e.g., Arizona v. Mayorkas*, 143 S. Ct. 1312 (2023) (mem.). Accordingly, the Defendant-Appellants' motion to VACATE the district court's preliminary injunction and REMAND the case to the district court with instructions to dismiss the case as moot, to which the Plaintiffs-Appellees "take no position," is GRANTED.