IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

JAMES "JIM" TOMASIK, J. DANIEL TOMASIK, and LIBERTARIAN PARTY OF TENNESSEE,)
Plaintiffs,)
v.) Case No.
MARK GOINS, Coordinator of Elections for the State of Tennessee; and TRE HARGETT, Secretary of State for the State of Tennessee,Defendants.))))
	_)

COMPLAINT

COME now the Plaintiffs, and for their cause of action against the Defendants, allege and state as follows, to-wit:

T.

Plaintiff JAMES "JIM" TOMASIK is a citizen of the United States, is a registered voter in the State of Tennessee, Chair of the Libertarian Party of Tennessee, a candidate for the Special Election to be held for Tennessee House District 91 in the Special General Election to be held on November 21, 2013, and desires to support and vote for himself as the Libertarian Party candidate on the aforesaid Tennessee ballot on November 21, 2013.

Plaintiff J. DANIEL TOMASIK is a citizen of the United States, is a registered voter in the State of Tennessee, a member of the Libertarian Party of Tennessee, and desires to support and vote for James "Jim" Tomasik for the office of Representative from the District 91 of the Tennessee House of Representatives in the November 21, 2013, Special Election.

Plaintiff LIBERTARIAN PARTY OF TENNESSEE is a non-recognized political party under the laws of the State of Tennessee that does not advocate the overthrow of local, state, or national government by force or violence, and is not affiliated with any organization which does advocate such a policy. The Libertarian Party of Tennessee, has provisions for nominating candidates for office in Tennessee other than by primary election. Plaintiff James "Jim" Tomasik has received the Libertarian Party of Tennessee's nomination for the office of Representative for House District 91 pursuant to internal rules and procedures of the Libertarian Party of Tennessee.

II.

Defendant MARK GOINS is the Coordinator of Elections for the State of Tennessee (hereinafter referred to as "Coordinator of Elections"). In his official capacity, the Coordinator of Elections is the Chief Election Officer for the State of Tennessee, is appointed by and serves at the pleasure of the Secretary of State pursuant to T.C.A. § 2-11-201(a), makes rules and regulations as necessary to carry out the provisions of the Tennessee Election Code subject to the concurrence of the Secretary of State, pursuant to T.C.A. § 2-11-201(c), and, as Chief Election Officer for the State of Tennessee, is charged with the duties of overseeing the election laws of Tennessee and the recognition of new political parties pursuant to T.C.A. §§ 2-1-104(a)(14), 2-1-104(a)(29), and 2-11-202(a). The Coordinator of Elections has his office in the Tennessee Department of State, Division of Elections, 312 Eighth Avenue North, 9th Floor, William R. Snodgrass Tower, Nashville, Tennessee, 37243, and may be served with process at that location.

Defendant TRE HARGETT is the Secretary of State for the State of Tennessee (hereinafter referred to as "Secretary of State"). In his official capacity, the Secretary of State is the Chief Officer of the Tennessee Department of State, and, as such, is charged with administering the duties imposed upon the Secretary of State by law including administratively overseeing the State Election Commission, appointing the Coordinator of Elections to serve as the Chief Administrative Election

Officer for the State of Tennessee for the purpose of generally supervising all Tennessee elections, advising all election employees of the State as to the proper method of performing their duties, authoritatively interpreting the election laws for all persons administrating them, and such other functions as are necessary for the conducting of elections in the State of Tennessee and the recognition of new political parties, all pursuant to T.C.A. §§ 4-3-2101, 4-3-2103, 8-3-104, 2-11-201, and 2-11-202. The Secretary of State has his office in the Tennessee State Capitol Building, Nashville, Tennessee, 37243, and may be served with process at that location.

Ш.

This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, §§ 1343(3), 1343(4), 2201, and 2202, and Title 42, United States Code, § 1983. Venue of this Court is invoked pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, § 1391. The rights, privileges, and immunities sought to be declared and redressed are those secured by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

IV.

This proceeding seeks a judgment declaring T.C.A., §§ 2-1-104(a)(14), 2-1-104(a)(24), 2-1-104(a)(31)(which set the requirements for the recognition of a statewide and minor political party, respectively), 2-5-208(d)(1)(which concerns general election ballots and the listing of political party and independent candidates on the ballot), 2-13-107(a)(2)(which sets the petition deadline for recognition of a minor political party as 90 days before a general election), 2-13-201(a)(which restricts a candidates listing on the ballot as a candidate of a recognized statewide or minor party), and 2-14-202 (which requires a special election to be held within 100 to 107 days from the date of the writs for a general election to fill the vacancy), as applied herein to the Plaintiffs for the 2013 Tennessee special General Election to be held on November 21, 2013, and all subsequent special General elections in the State of Tennessee and the facts and circumstances relating thereto,

unconstitutional in that they violate in their application to the Plaintiffs herein for the 2013 Tennessee special General Election in State House District 91, and all subsequent Tennessee special General Elections, the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Title 42, United States Code, § 1983. This proceeding also seeks an injunction, both temporary and permanent, against the Defendants, Coordinator of Elections and Secretary of State, and their agents and employees, in prohibiting the Defendants, Coordinator of Elections and Secretary of State, from following and enforcing the provisions of T.C.A., §§ 2-1-104(a)(14), 2-1-104(a)(24), 2-1-104(a)(31), 2-5-208(d)(1), 2-13-107(a)(2), 2-13-201(a), and 2-14-202, as applied to the Plaintiffs herein for the 2013 Special Election in Tennessee House District 91 to be held on November 21, 2013, as set pursuant to T.C.A. §§ 2-14-101, 2-14-202, and 2-14-106 (which authorize the holding of, timing of, and qualifying petition for special elections), so as to serve to prevent the listing of James "Jim" Tomasik as the Libertarian candidate for State House District 91.

V.

T.C.A., § 2-1-104(a)(14) defines a political party as an organization which nominates candidates for public office, T.C.A., § 2-1-104(a)(24), and taken in conjunction with T.C.A., §§ 2-1-104(a)(31), 2-5-208(d)(1), 2-13-107(a)(2), 2-13-201(a), and 2-14-202, a new statewide political party or minor political party to be recognized to run candidates in a special general election ballot in the State of Tennessee must petition successfully by presenting a petition with at least 2.5 percent of the total number of votes cast for all gubernatorial candidates in the most recent gubernatorial election as shown by petitions to establish a political party filed with the Coordinator of Elections and signed by Tennessee registered voters so that it would find it virtually impossible to meet the petition deadline for minor political parties of 90 days before the general election, pursuant to T.C.A., § 2-13-107(a)(2), before the special general election when the special general election will

be set according to law (viz.: T.C.A., §2-14-202) between 100 and 107 days from the date of the writs for a special general election to fill the vacancy.

Because of the petitioning requirement of a rather stringent 2.5 percent, and the petition deadline requirement of 90 days before the special general election (which is held on November 21, 2013), the supporters of the non-recognized minor political party herein (Libertarian) were unable to marshal its resources in such a manner as to conduct a successful petition drive in Tennessee House District 91, after only a 100 to 107 day required notice of the general election to fill the vacancy, so as to meet the aforesaid petition signature deadline and required number of signatures for a political party candidate. In fact, it has been 45 years since a new political party was recognized by the State of Tennessee by way of petition for even a regular general election. Thus, the Libertarian Party's candidate for House District 91 was forced to petition as an Independent.

VI.

The Tennessee ballot access laws for new political parties in the State of Tennessee complained of herein, as applied to the non-recognized Plaintiff political party herein and its supporters and candidate for the special General election for House District 91 on November 21, 2013, set an unconstitutional burden because achieving statewide political party status by filing the petitions required under T.C.A., §§ 2-1-104(a)(24), 2-13-107(a)(2), and 2-14-202 in time for a special General election sets an unconstitutionally early deadline, number of petition signatures, and limited time for petitioning so as to force a non-recognized political party candidate in a special General election to use the lesser petition signature requirement of 25 signatures required for Independent candidates.

VII.

The aforesaid early and discriminatory petition signature deadline in Tennessee for new

political parties for a special general election, coupled with the high signature requirement, forces a new political party to seek recognition before the nominees of the recognized political parties are known or the issues are formed for the special election campaign or even the notice of the special election. Because of the aforesaid discriminations, the unnecessarily early deadline, and the unconstitutional infringement upon political association, Tennessee's early new political party petition deadline and unnecessarily stringent signature requirement effectively eliminates the ability of unrecognized political parties to gain political party recognition in the State of Tennessee for special general elections--unless they wish to run candidates as Independents, deprives their supporters of the ability of voting for them by political association with the party of their choice, and transforms the petitioning process from a way to obtain recognition for new political parties to an arbitrary, discriminatory, and unnecessarily severe means of preserving the status quo of a twoparty system in Tennessee as to special elections. Tennessee's early aforesaid political party petition deadline and Petition signature requirement places and undue, unreasonable, and unjustified burden on new political parties and their supporters for special general elections and deprives the voting public of the knowledge of the proper political identification of the minor party candidate. The Defendants lack any compelling interest in the aforesaid early and vague petition deadline or the petition signature requirements in Tennessee as it applies to new political parties and their supporters seeking recognition in Tennessee for their candidate in a special general election.

XIII.

The Plaintiffs, Libertarian Party of Tennessee, its supporters, and candidate, wish to have its candidate recognized as a Libertarian on the Tennessee ballot in the special general election on November 21, 2013, in House District 91, but has failed in efforts in the past to comply with the laws of the State of Tennessee for the recognition of new political parties and could not comply—

other than as an Independent candidate—for the special general election in question.

IX.

In Tennessee for the 2013 Special General Election for District 91 of the Tennessee House of Representatives, the House District 91 Special General Election will be held on November 21, 2013. The Democratic nominee will be chosen in a special primary election for House District 91 on October 8, 2013, from among eight Democratic candidates. The winner of the special Democratic primary on October 8, 2013, will face only James "Jim" Tomasik in the Special General Election on November 21, 2013. James "Jim" Tomasik qualified for the Special General Election ballot for House District 91 by way of Petition and will be listed on the ballot as an Independent candidate rather than a Libertarian candidate barring injunctive relief from this Court.

X.

The aforesaid unnecessarily restrictive and discriminatory party labeling law for the aforesaid Special General Election, combined with the unduly burdensome, arbitrary, and discriminatory signature and petition deadline requirements for new political parties seeking recognition under the laws of the State of Tennessee not only discriminates against unrecognized political parties seeking ballot access in Tennessee in a special General election, but serves no compelling state interest which justifies the aforesaid discriminatory treatment. On information and belief, Plaintiffs assert that absent intervention by this Court, the Plaintiff Libertarian Party of Tennessee herein, its supporters, and its candidate for House District 91 will not be able to obtain ballot access with the candidate listed as the Libertarian candidate rather than the Independent candidate for House District 91 in the State of Tennessee's special General election on November 21, 2013 or subsequent special General elections.

XI.

Defendants, Coordinator of Elections and Secretary of State, and their employees have and

will exercise their authority under color of State law in enforcing the aforesaid State laws listed in rhetorical paragraph IV above, as applied to the Plaintiffs herein for the 2013 Tennessee special General election on November 21, 2013, and all subsequent Tennessee special General elections, and the facts and circumstances relating thereto, in such a manner as to be in an unlawful, discriminatory, vague, capricious, and arbitrary manner, in violation of the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Title 42, United States Code, § 1983, in that:

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment:

- 1. Declaring that T.C.A., Election Code §§ 2-1-104(a)(14), 2-1-104(a)(24), 2-1-104(a)(31), 2-5-208(d)(1), 2-13-107(a)(2), 2-13-201(a), and 2-14-202, as applied to the Plaintiff's herein for the 2013 Tennessee special General Election on November 21, 2013, and all subsequent Tennessee special General Elections, and the facts and circumstances relating thereto, are illegal and unconstitutional, in that they are violative of the rights of the Plaintiffs under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Title 42, U.S.C. § 1983, in that the aforesaid statutes are not framed in the least restrictive manner necessary to achieve the legitimate State interests in regulating ballot access and new political party formation, particularly as relating to the early and vague petition signature deadline as applied to a non-recognized political party candidate in a special election, petition signature number required, and, therefore, the petition signature filing deadline and signature number are unconstitutional, discriminatory, and unnecessarily early, vague, stringent, and severe as applied to an unrecognized political party, its supporters—who wish to cast their votes effectively, and its candidate in Tennessee (James "Jim" Tomasik) who has ballot status for the special General election, but who will not be listed on the special General election ballot as a Libertarian candidate, but only as an Independent candidate.
- 2. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctions restraining, prohibiting, and enjoining the Defendants, the Coordinator of Elections and the Secretary of State, their agents and

employees, and all persons in active concert and participation with them, from enforcing, applying, or implementing the aforesaid complained of State Election laws as to the unnecessary, vague, and discriminatory early filing deadline, petition signature number required, and listing of a candidate for a Special General Election office as an Independent rather than Libertarian candidate, as applied to the instant Plaintiffs for the November 21, 2013, special General election for House District 91, and all subsequent Tennessee special General Elections, and the facts and circumstances relating thereto.

- 3. Awarding Plaintiffs the reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including attorney's fees pursuant to the Civil Rights Attorney's Fees and Awards Act of 1976, 42 U.S.C. § 1988; and
- 4. Granting Plaintiffs such other and further relief as to which they may be entitled and which the Court may deem equitable and just.

Dated this 8th day of October, 2013.

JAMES "JIM" TOMASIK, J. DANIEL TOMASIK, and LIBERTARIAN PARTY OF TENNESSEE, Plaintiffs

W. GARY BLACKBURN, BPR No. 3484 Counsel for Plaintiffs

213 Fifth Avenue N., Suite 300

Nashville, TN 37219

(615) 254-7770 Telephone

(866) 895-7272 Facsimile

Email: gblackburn@wgaryblackburn.com

JAMES C. LINGER, OBA No. 5441

Counsel for Plaintiffs

1710 South Boston Avenue Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119-4810

(918) 585-2797 Telephone

(918) 583-8283 Facsimile

Email: bostonbarristers@tulsacoxmail.com