

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

RAYMING CHANG, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civ. Action No. 02-2010 (EGS)
)	
UNITED STATES, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

JEFFREY BARHAM, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civ. Action No. 02-2283 (EGS)
)	
CHARLES H. RAMSEY, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

FRANKLIN JONES, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civ. Action No. 02-2310 (EGS)
)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

_____)	
JULIE ABBATE, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civ. Action No. 03-767 (EGS)
)	
CHARLES H. RAMSEY, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	
)	
)	
JOEL DIAMOND, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civ. Action No. 03-2005 (EGS)
)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

ORDER

Pursuant to the status conference held on November 25, 2003, and for the reasons given in open court, it is hereby

ORDERED that all pending motions filed prior to the September 24, 2003, Order on consolidation and class certification are **DENIED**; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that all pending dispositive motions are **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that all pending motions for discovery, motions for consolidation for purposes of discovery and trial, the *Chang* plaintiffs' motion for leave to file a sur-reply, and motions for extension of time are **DENIED AS MOOT**; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that all Defendants shall file responsive pleadings by no later than **December 9, 2003**; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that discovery shall commence on **December 9, 2003**, and conclude by no later than **June 30, 2004**; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that discovery shall not be duplicative, and that each party shall provide prior notice to all other parties as to any planned discovery; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that discovery conducted in any one of these cases may be used by the parties in all or any of these cases; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that these cases are referred to Magistrate Judge Kay for the purpose of resolving discovery disputes; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties submit a proposed order concerning a stay of discovery as to the defendants invoking qualified immunity defenses by no later than **December 5, 2003**;

and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that, upon representations of counsel that a settlement has been reached, *Jones v. District of Columbia, et al.*, 02-2310, is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** and removed from the active calendar of the Court; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that the previously scheduled **February 11, 2004**, motions hearing will address only the pending motions pertaining to qualified immunity; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that a status hearing is scheduled in all cases for **April 6, 2004, at 10:00 a.m.** in Courtroom One; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court provide the Honorable Alan Kay with a copy of this order.

Signed: **EMMET G. SULLIVAN**
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
November 25, 2003