

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISON

LATINOS FOR TRUMP, BLACKS  
FOR TRUMP, JOSHUA MACIAS,  
M.S., B. G., J.B., J.J.,

Plaintiffs.

V.

PETE SESSIONS, MITCH  
McCONNELL, NANCY PELOSI,  
MARK ZUCKERBERG, CHUCK  
SCHUMER, ALEXANDRIA  
OCASIO-CORTEZ, BRAD  
RAFFENSPERGER, ALL  
MEMBERS OF THE 117<sup>TH</sup> U.S.  
CONGRESS, et al.,

Defendants.

**EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR ORAL TELEPHONIC HEARING AND ENTRY OF ALTERNATE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER**

COME NOW, Latinos for Trump, Blacks for Trump, Joshua Macias, M.S., B. G., J.B., J.J., (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), by and through their attorneys, Paul M. Davis, and Kellye SoRelle, and file this their Emergency Request for Oral Telephonic Hearing and Entry of Alternate Temporary Restraining Order on their Emergency Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (“Brief”) to this Honorable Court and, in support thereof, respectfully represent as follows:

**A. REQUEST FOR ALTERNATIVE RESTRAINING ORDER: A CALL FOR THE RULE OF LAW THROUGH THE CHECKS AND BALANCES OF POWER UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES**

1. *“The only thing necessary for the triumph of evil is for good men to do nothing.”* Undersigned Counsel believe they understand the difficult position that the Court is in. If Counsel were in the Court’s shoes, Counsel would be absolutely terrified to enter the Temporary Restraining Order as proposed in the Motion. Indeed, Counsel is aware of real and severe threats against their own lives and the lives of their own families as a result of filing this lawsuit. However, Counsel would urge the Court that the severe threat to the American People<sup>1</sup> posed if the Court does not act to issue some sort of restraining order to check the power of the illegitimate Congress and President until the issues of law set forth in this lawsuit have had the opportunity for at least a hearing on the request for Preliminary Injunction infinitely outweighs the risk to the People posed by a failure to act.

2. When there is a fundamental breakdown of the checks and balances of powers in the Constitution where (1) executive officials of the 50 states are simply allowed to ignore federal election law on the basis of a “crisis,” (2) Congress and the President are allowed to take power and enact laws and change policy despite the fact that the election of Congress and the President was conducted with ballots that were patently illegal, and (3) the judiciary branch refuses to exercise its check on Congress and the President’s illegal acts, then the balance of power set forth in the Constitution

---

<sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed in Plaintiff’s Original Complaint (Doc. 1).

has failed and United States is no longer a nation operating under the rule of law. Such a situation presents clear risks of severe consequences, including but not limited to, the persecution of those who simply disagree with the ideology and policies of those in power, rampant instability of domestic and global financial markets, and other unforeseeable acts.

3. With the Inauguration already in process and President Trump having left the White House for Florida, indeed, there is little chance any official would even recognize the legitimacy of a restraining order from the Court at this late hour. However, for the Judicial Branch to simply stand idly by for the illegal swearing in of a US President and for the illegal Congress and new President to then work together to pass illegal laws and change US Policy would be a complete breakdown in the checks and balances set forth in the Constitution resulting in potentially unthinkable consequences to the American People who will have lost the power to keep their government accountable.

4. Congress enacted HAVA in the wake of the 2000 Bush versus Gore election after the “hanging chad” debacle in Florida severely eroded public confidence in the integrity of US elections. The failure of the 50 states in regulating their elections under US law has eroded public confidence in election integrity to much lower levels that were ever caused by the 2000 Presidential Election. If the Court will not restrain the inauguration itself, then Plaintiffs urge the Court to act to restrain Congress and the President from passing and signing any new legislation or from making any substantial departures from current policy.

## B. CONCLUSION

5. In closing, Counsel would urge, while it is a tradition for states (meaning lawfully elected officers of each regional government) to bring legal actions to contest the legitimacy of the acts of the federal government, often challenging the issue of ‘states rights’ to oppose the acts of the federal government, these actions such as the State of Texas’s lawsuits against the Obama Administration and forthcoming challenges to the Biden Administration,<sup>2</sup> take years to proceed through the federal court system, and during such time the People are damaged by continued acts of the federal government’s overreach. The risk of harm to be suffered by Plaintiffs and the People is particularly grievous where the current federal government was not lawfully election.

6. This lawsuit is perhaps the first challenge brought by a group of minorities representing the People of the United States, writ large, who simply seek a restoration of their constitutional rights against the partisan enterprises and the government officials with whom they collude the thwart the will of the People. The

---

<sup>2</sup> Tom Ozimek, “Texas Set to Bring Volley of Lawsuits Against Biden Administration,” January 20, 2021, available at [https://www.theepochtimes.com/texas-set-to-bring-volley-of-lawsuits-against-biden-administration\\_3664057.html](https://www.theepochtimes.com/texas-set-to-bring-volley-of-lawsuits-against-biden-administration_3664057.html) (“The Lone Star state sued the administration of former President Barack Obama dozens of times to stop initiatives such as the Clean Power Plan or to end the Affordable Care Act, the signature health plan known as Obamacare.”)

Court must act to protect Plaintiffs and the People against an illegitimate government from taking significant departure from current US law and policy.

**IX.  
PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court schedule an immediate oral hearing to hear argument on the scope of an alternate Temporary Restraining Order. Counsel will now work on an alternate form of order while waiting for further word from the Court.

Submitted to the Honorable Court this 20<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2021.

/s/ Paul M. Davis  
Paul M. Davis  
Texas Bar Number 24078401  
Admitted to Western Dist. TX  
Former Associate General Counsel of  
Goosehead Insurance, Inc.  
(Terminated after peacefully protesting)  
Now Solo Civil Rights Attorney

Kellye SoRelle  
Texas Bar Number 24053486  
Pro Hace Vice Application Forthcoming<sup>3</sup>

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

[No Certificate of Service as this Motion Requests Ex Parte Relief]

---

<sup>3</sup> Contact info omitted for privacy and security purposes.