

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11

12 INDEPENDENT LIVING CENTER OF) Case No.: 12-cv-00551 FMO (PJWx)
13 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, *et al*,)
14) **SECOND AMENDED JUDGMENT**
15 Plaintiffs,) **PURSUANT TO AMENDED**
16 vs.) **CORRECTED SETTLEMENT**
17) **AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN**
18 CITY OF LOS ANGELES,) **CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND**
19 CALIFORNIA, *et al*.) **PLAINTIFFS**
20 Defendants)
21)
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 WHEREAS, on or about December 6, 2017, the City of Los Angeles,
2 a defendant in this action (the “City”) and the Independent Living Center of
3 Southern California (“ILCSC”), the Fair Housing Council of the San Fernando
4 Valley (“FHC”) and Communities Actively Living Independent and Free
5 (“CALIF”) (collectively referred to herein as “Plaintiffs”) entered into a Corrected
6 Settlement Agreement (“CSA”), ECF No. 608-1; and

7 WHEREAS, on December 13, 2017, the Court entered the Amended
8 Judgment Pursuant to Corrected Settlement Agreement, adopting the CSA, ECF
9 No. 608;

10 WHEREAS, on December 12, 2019, the Court held a hearing to
11 address, among other things, a Motion to Enforce brought by Plaintiffs ILCSC and
12 CALIF (the “Moving Plaintiffs”) and, on December 19, 2019, issued an Order Re:
13 Further Proceedings instructing the parties to meet and confer on a number of
14 issues relating to implementation of the CSA including consideration of an
15 amended agreement, ECF No. 663 at 1-2;

16 WHEREAS, the Moving Plaintiffs and the City have prepared and the
17 Court Monitor has approved the attached Amended Corrected Settlement
18 Agreement (“Amended CSA”) incorporating changes agreed to by the Moving
19 Plaintiffs and the City in response to the Monitor’s decisions resolving disputes
20 and efforts to facilitate implementation of the CSA;

21 WHEREAS FHC has not joined Moving Plaintiffs and the City in
22 moving for entry of the Amended CSA, and FHC has had the opportunity to
23 express its reservations about entry of the Amended CSA through motions
24 practice;

25 WHEREAS, the Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this
26 action, the Plaintiffs, the City, and the Amended CSA; and

1 WHEREAS, upon consideration, the Court finds the Amended CSA
2 to be fair, reasonable, and adequate.

3 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED
4 AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

5 1. For the purposes of this Judgment, the Court adopts the terms
6 and definitions set forth in the Amended CSA, Attached as Exhibit 1 to this
7 Judgment, and all terms of the Amended CSA are incorporated herein by
8 reference.

9 2. This Second Amended Judgment Pursuant to Amended
10 Corrected Settlement Agreement completely resolves all claims by Plaintiffs
11 against the City of Los Angeles in this action but has no effect on Plaintiffs' claims
12 against Defendant CRA/LA, a Designated Local Authority, Successor to
13 Community Redevelopment Agency of the City of Los Angeles or against any
14 other defendant.

15 3. In accordance with the terms of the Amended CSA, this Court
16 reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction to interpret and enforce the terms of
17 the Amended CSA during the Settlement Term, and to resolve any disputes that
18 may arise during the Settlement Term.

19 4. The Court determines that there is no reason to delay entry of
20 this Second Amended Judgment Pursuant to Amended Corrected Settlement
21 Agreement By and Between the City of Los Angeles and Plaintiffs.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23
24
25 Date: November 2, 2022

/s/

26 Hon. Fernando M. Olguin
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE