PAGES 1 - 17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA TODD ASHKER, ET AL., PLAINTIFF,) NO. C-09-5796 CW) TUESDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2015 VS. GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF) OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA, ET AL.,) MOTION FOR SETTLEMENT DEFENDANTS. BEFORE THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN, JUDGE REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS **APPEARANCES:** FOR PLAINTIFFS: CENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS (TELEPHONICALLY) 666 BROADWAY, 7TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10012 BY: JULES LOBEL, ESQUIRE SAMUEL MILLER, ESQUIRE LSPC 1540 MARKET STREET, SUITE 490 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102 BY: CAROL STRICKMAN, STAFF ATTORNEY ANNE BUTTERFIELD WEILLS, ESQUIRE 499 14TH STREET, SUITE 220 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612 (APPEARANCES CONTINUED) REPORTED BY: DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR 4909, RPR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

1		
2		
3	FOR DEFENDANTS:	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
4		OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 455 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE, SUITE 11000
5		SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102 ADRIANO HRVATIN,
6		JAY C. RUSSELL, DEPUTY ATTORNEYS GENERAL
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. GENERALLY SPEAKING, I HEARTILY APPROVE
OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. I THINK IT IS VERY THOUGHTFUL
AND EXCELLENT, AND PLAN TO APPROVE IT WITH A FEW QUESTIONS
THAT I DID HAVE, SO I DID WANT TO GO AHEAD AND HAVE THE
HEARING.

23

24

25

MY FIRST -- LET'S START WITH THE EASIER ONES FIRST. 1 2 DO YOU NEED A CAFA NOTICE FOR THIS? AND IF SO, HAVE YOU 3 DONE ONE? MR. HRVATIN: WE DID, YOUR HONOR. 4 5 THE COURT: YOU DO NEED ONE AND YOU DID DO IT? MR. HRVATIN: WE BELIEVE -- I THINK IT'S AN OPEN 6 7 QUESTION, BUT OUT OF AN ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION, WE PREPARED AND 8 SERVED THE CAFA NOTICE. 9 THE COURT: I GUESS IT'S ON THE RECORD NOW SINCE YOU 10 SAID SO, BUT IT MIGHT BE USEFUL TO FILE SOMETHING THAT SAYS 11 THAT. 12 MR. HRVATIN: WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO DO THAT, YOUR 13 HONOR. 14 THE COURT: OKAY. 15 I WAS GOING TO PROPOSE JANUARY 26TH FOR THE FINAL HEARING, 16 IF THAT'S AGREEABLE. YOU WANTED ABOUT 15 WEEKS. I THINK 17 THAT'S 16. MR. HRVATIN: JANUARY 26 IS THE COURT'S FIRST 18 19 AVAILABLE DATE, AND CONSISTENT THE PROPOSED SCHEDULE THAT I 20 THINK WE HAVE OUTLINED IN OUR PAPERS, YOUR HONOR. 21 THE COURT: YOU WANTED A DEADLINE FOR ANY ADDITIONAL 22 BRIEFING TO BE FILED BEFORE THEN. I GUESS THE ADDITIONAL 23 BRIEFING MIGHT ONLY BE RESPONSES TO OBJECTIONS? 24 MR. HRVATIN: A FINAL APPROVAL-TYPE SUBMISSION, I 25 THINK, WOULD BE APPROPRIATE WHERE WE WOULD ABSOLUTELY ADDRESS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNDER THE CITES YOU GAVE US, AND WE FOUND THEM IN OTHER PLACES. SO I'D JUST KIND OF LIKE TO HAVE THE CITES CORRECT.

YOU SAY THE SHU ASSESSMENT CHART IS AT 3341.5C9 IN THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. WE THINK IT'S AT 3341.9 UNLESS -- ON MINE, ON WESTLAW, UNLESS WE ARE MISSING SOMETHING. COULD YOU DOUBLE-CHECK THE CITES AND MAYBE E-FILE A PRINTOUT OR SOMETHING FROM WESTLAW SHOWING -- WELL, IF YOU'VE GOT IT WRONG, JUST FIX IT. IF YOU HAVE IT RIGHT AND WE CAN'T FIND IT, THEN SEND ME LIKE A SCREEN SHOT OR SOMETHING SHOWING WHERE IT IS.

MR. HRVATIN: WE CAN SUBMIT A COPY OF THE REGULATION.

THE COURT: OKAY. THEN THE OTHER ONE, YOU SAY IS --THE CLASSIFICATION REVIEW YOU SAY IS AT 3341.5. AND WE COULDN'T FIND IT THERE, BUT WE THINK IT'S AT 3341.8. SO, AGAIN, IF YOU COULD CHECK THAT AND EITHER FIX IT OR SHOW ME WHERE IT IS.

I'M A LITTLE WORRIED ABOUT THE CLASS NOTICE SAYING SORT OF IN BIG LETTERS THAT IT'S ABOUT SEGREGATED HOUSING. ONLY BECAUSE THERE WAS A CASE NOT TOO LONG AGO THAT WAS ABOUT RACIALLY SEGREGATED HOUSING, AND THAT'S WHAT I THINK OF WHEN I THINK OF SEGREGATED. MAYBE ALL THE PRISONERS KNOW WHAT THAT MEANS, BUT I JUST HOPE PEOPLE DON'T THINK IT'S ABOUT RACIAL SEGREGATION AND NOT REALIZE IT'S ABOUT AD SEG.

DO YOU THINK THAT'S A TERM OF ART THAT PEOPLE WILL UNDERSTAND OR CAN YOU THINK OF A BETTER WAY TO PUT IT? 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HRVATIN: I THINK THE WAY TO REMEDY THAT, TO THE EXTENT THE COURT HAS A CONCERN, WOULD BE TO BE MORE SPECIFIC AND REFER TO IT AS ADMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATED HOUSING, IF THERE'S ANY CONFUSION. I THINK THAT THE TERM IS GENERALLY UNDERSTOOD TO CREATE THAT CONTRAST BETWEEN GENERAL POPULATION HOUSING AND A SEGREGATED HOUSING ENVIRONMENT. BUT TO ADDRESS THAT CONCERN, WE CAN WORK ON -- WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO CONFER WITH PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL TO FIND SOME LANGUAGE THAT IS ACCEPTABLE.

THE COURT: I MEAN, I DON'T WANT TO MAKE IT A LOT LONGER OR MORE WORDIER OR CONFUSING, BUT -- AND IF NONE OF YOU THINK IT'S A PROBLEM, I GUESS IT'S OKAY. PERHAPS YOU CAN JUST PUT IN ADMINISTRATIVELY SEGREGATED HOUSING, OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. MAYBE PAREN AD SEG OR SOMETHING.

MR. HRVATIN: WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO CONFER WITH PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL ABOUT THAT TERMINOLOGY, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: IS THAT ALL RIGHT?

MS. WEILLS: YES.

MR. LOBEL: WE AGREE, YES.

THE COURT: OKAY.

SO THEN I WAS WONDERING IN ADVANCE ABOUT SOME THE -- I DON'T QUITE KNOW WHERE THESE WERE, BUT I GUESS WE HAVE THREE OBJECTION LETTERS ALREADY SOMEWHERE. AND ONE OR TWO OF THEM MAKE WHAT MAY BE A GOOD POINT THAT COULD PERHAPS BE FIXED NOW. AND THAT IS, TO THE EXTENT THAT PEOPLE CAN BE PLACED IN

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE RCGP FOR THEIR OWN SAFETY, PEOPLE ARE SAYING WHY WOULD MY -- WHY SHOULD I HAVE FEWER VISITS THAN OTHER PEOPLE IF I'M NOT THERE FOR ANY KIND OF FAULT OF MY OWN, BUT ONLY BECAUSE OF MY OWN SAFETY, SHOULDN'T I GET THE KIND OF VISITS THAT OTHER PEOPLE WOULD GET.

THAT SEEMS LIKE A FAIR POINT, AND I WONDERED IF YOU HAVE TALKED ABOUT THAT OR IF YOU CAN PERHAPS FIX THAT FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE ONLY SEGREGATED BECAUSE OF THEIR OWN SAFETY.

MR. HRVATIN: I DID SEE THE -- THAT PARTICULAR OBJECTION LETTER COME IN OR COMMENT FILED.

WE WOULD BE PREPARED, AS WE HAVE, TO NOW I THINK THERE HAVE BEEN THREE OR FOUR LETTERS COME IN AND WE ARE CATEGORIZING THOSE AS THEY RAISE THESE VARIOUS ISSUES AND WORKING WITH OUR CLIENTS TO UNDERSTAND TO WHAT EXTENT THERE IS A LEGITIMATE ISSUE THAT SHOULD BE ADDRESSED AND WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO, AGAIN, CONFER WITH PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL REGARDING THAT PARTICULAR ISSUE THAT'S BEEN RAISED AND SEE WHETHER IT'S SOMETHING THAT CAN BE ADDRESSED FOR PURPOSES OF THE SETTLEMENT.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU SEE IF YOU CAN DO THAT EVEN BEFORE THE NOTICE GOES OUT BECAUSE THEN WE CAN PERHAPS FORESTALL SOME OBJECTIONS.

MR. LOBEL: YOUR HONOR, I AGREE WITH THAT POINT. AND WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO CONFER WITH MR. ADRIANO AND HIS CLIENTS BEFORE THE NOTICE GOES OUT TO TRY AND FIX THAT PROBLEM.

THE COURT: I DON'T WANT TO DELAY THE NOTICE AND I 1 2 DON'T WANT TO MAKE IT MORE UNWIELDY, BUT IF THERE'S-- IF THE 3 DEFENDANTS WOULD AGREE TO FIXING IT AND YOU CAN ALL AGREE ON HOW TO FIX IT RATHER QUICKLY, THAT, I THINK, MIGHT SAVE US ALL 4 5 TIME IN THE END. MR. HRVATIN: VERY GOOD, YOUR HONOR. WE WILL TAKE 6 7 THAT UP WITH OUR CLIENTS IMMEDIATELY. 8 THE COURT: OKAY. 9 THEN MY LAST CONCERN IS ATTORNEYS' FEES. NOW THERE'S THIS 10 CASE IN THE NINTH CIRCUIT CALLED MERCURY SOMETHING WHICH SAYS, 11 ADMITTEDLY IN COMMON FUND CASES, THAT YOU NEED TO FILE YOUR 12 ATTORNEY FEE MOTION WITH ALL OF ITS AMOUNTS AND EVERYTHING 60 13 DAYS BEFORE THE OBJECTIONS ARE DUE SO THAT PEOPLE CAN OBJECT 14 TO THE ATTORNEYS' FEES. OF COURSE, THAT DOESN'T REALLY MATTER 15 HERE BECAUSE THIS ISN'T A COMMON FUND CASE AND THE CLASS 16 MEMBERS WOULD HAVE NO REASON THAT I CAN THINK OF TO OBJECT. 17 AS YOU POINT OUT, RULE 23(H) ALSO TALKS ABOUT MAKING ATTORNEY FEE MOTION AND NOTICE OF THE MOTION MUST BE SERVED ON 18 19 ALL PARTIES DIRECTED TO CLASS MEMBERS IN A REASONABLE MANNER. 20 CLASS MEMBER MAY OBJECT TO THE MOTION. 21 AGAIN, I DON'T WANT TO HOLD THINGS UP, BUT I DON'T ALSO 22 WANT TO HAVE SOME IRREGULARITY HAPPENING HERE. SO I DON'T 23 KNOW HOW YOU THOUGHT RULE 23(H) --24 MR. LOBEL: YOUR HONOR, WE DID HAVE THOUGHTS ON THIS, 25 WHICH IS THAT AS YOU SAY, THIS IS NOT A COMMON FUND CASE AND

THEREFORE IT DOESN'T -- IT'S NOT REALLY THAT RELEVANT IN TERMS 1 2 OF THE PLAINTIFFS BECAUSE IT'S NOT GOING TO AFFECT THEIR 3 RECOVERY AND THE RELIEF THEY ARE GOING TO GET. AND WE'VE CLEARLY NOTIFIED THEM THAT WE ARE GOING TO SEEK ATTORNEYS' 4 5 FEES. THE ONLY OUESTION IS THE AMOUNT. AND WE THOUGHT THIS PROCEDURE WOULD BE MOST LIKELY TO 6 7 RESULT IN A SETTLEMENT OF THE ATTORNEYS' FEES ISSUE AND NOT 8 HAVING TO LITIGATE IT BEFORE YOUR HONOR. 9 THE COURT: I AGREE, AND I WOULD CERTAINLY BE HAPPY 10 WITH THAT RESULT, BUT I ALSO DON'T WANT TO VIOLATE RULE 23(H) 11 AND HAVE SOMETHING COME BACK IN THE FUTURE. 12 WHAT IT SAYS IS CLAIM MUST BE MADE BY MOTION. NOTICE OF 13 THE MOTION MUST BE SERVED. I MEAN, I GUESS YOU COULD SERVE A 14 SEPARATE NOTICE OF THE MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES LATER ON? AND 15 THEN --16 MR. LOBEL: CORRECT. THAT'S ONE WAY OF RECTIFYING 17 THE PROBLEM, WHICH IS, THAT WHENEVER WE AGREE, HOPEFULLY 18 DEGREE ON THE ATTORNEYS' FEE AMOUNT, WE CAN THEN SERVE THE 19 MOTION ON ALL THE CLASS MEMBERS SEPARATELY. 20 THE COURT: HOW --21 MR. LOBEL: A MINOR BUREAUCRATIC PROBLEM GIVEN THE 22 ADVISABILITY OF TRYING TO SETTLE THE ATTORNEYS' FEES QUESTION. 23 THE COURT: RIGHT. BUT THE WAY YOU ARE SERVING THE 24 CLASS IS BY WHAT, HANDING THEM PIECES OF PAPER IN THEIR CELLS, 25

OR WHAT?

```
MR. LOBEL: COULDN'T WE JUST FILE A NOTICE OF MOTION
 1
 2
      FOR ATTORNEY FEES IN THE SAME WAY THAT WE SERVE THE CLASS WITH
 3
      THE NOTICE OF MOTION -- WITH THE NOTICE HERE?
                THE COURT: WITH THE CLASS NOTICE.
 4
 5
               MR. LOBEL: WE USE THE SAME PROCEDURE.
                THE COURT: WHAT IS THE PROCEDURE? THAT'S WHAT I AM
 6
 7
      ASKING. ARE YOU PASSING OUT PIECES OF PAPER TO EVERYBODY IN
 8
      THEIR CELLS?
 9
               MR. LOBEL: NO. THE DEFENDANTS ARE USING THEIR
      TYPICAL PROCEDURE, WHICH IS --
10
11
                THE COURT: WHICH IS WHAT?
12
               MR. LOBEL: -- POSTING IT IN EACH POD OR EACH BLOCK,
13
      AND IN THE LAW LIBRARY.
14
          WE ARE ALSO GOING TO INDEPENDENTLY MAIL THE NOTICE TO
15
      EVERY CLASS MEMBER. AND WE WOULD BE PREPARED TO DO THAT ON
16
      THE ATTORNEYS' FEES AWARD ALSO.
17
                THE COURT: OH, YOU WOULD. OH, OKAY.
               MR. LOBEL: IF THAT'S WHAT YOUR HONOR WOULD DESIRE,
18
19
      WE WOULD BE PREPARED TO DO THAT.
20
                THE COURT: IT'S NOT SO MUCH I DESIRE IT. IT'S JUST
21
      THAT'S WHAT RULE 23(H) SAYS. YEAH. AND WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO
22
      GO BEYOND WHAT THE DEFENDANTS ARE DOING IN TERMS OF NOTICE AND
23
      DO WHAT WE ARE PREPARED TO DO, WHICH IS TO MAIL IT TO EVERY
24
      CLASS MEMBER. AND WE WOULD DO THAT FOR THE ATTORNEYS' FEES
25
      MOTION ALSO.
```

OKAY. IT COULD BE RATHER LARGE. 1 2 MR. HRVATIN: AND, YOUR HONOR, FROM THE GOVERNMENT'S 3 PERSPECTIVE, WE DON'T BELIEVE THAT'S REQUIRED FOR PURPOSES OF PROVIDING ADEQUATE NOTICE. 4 5 THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU THINK? THEY CAN SEND THEM THE NOTICE OF MOTION THAT INCLUDES, WHAT, THE HOURLY RATE AND 6 7 THE NUMBER OF HOURS, AND THE TOTAL, OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT AND 8 NOT ALL THE BACKUP DOCUMENTS? 9 MR. HRVATIN: THE CLASS NOTICE WE SUBMITTED, YOUR 10 HONOR, IS EXHIBIT 2 TO PROFESSOR LOBEL'S DECLARATION. I THINK 11 THAT THIS NOTICE COULD BE REVISED. 12 YOUR HONOR, YOU WILL SEE AT POINT 10 IN WHICH WE TRY TO 13 SUCCINCTLY SUMMARIZE THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, 14 POINT 10 INDICATES THAT THE PLAINTIFFS WILL FILE A MOTION FOR 15 ATTORNEYS' FEES. I SUBMIT THAT WE CAN USE THIS TEMPLATE OF 16 NOTICE TO BE REVISED TO REFLECT THAT THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE FILED 17 THE MOTION OR THERE HAS BEEN SOME RESOLUTION TO THE ATTORNEYS' 18 FEE ISSUE TO PROVIDE NOTICE TO THE CLASS THAT WE WOULD THEN, 19 AGAIN, BY WAY OF OUR STANDARD -- BY THE DEPARTMENT'S STANDARD 20 PROTOCOL, POST IN THE HOUSING UNITS TO PROVIDE NOTICE TO THE 21 INMATES. THE COURT: YOU ARE SAYING DO THAT SEPARATELY LATER 22 23 NOT --24 MR. HRVATIN: IT WOULD HAVE TO BE. 25 THE COURT: NOT MODIFY THE CLASS NOTICE TO INCLUDE IT 1 NOW.

MR. HRVATIN: NO, BECAUSE IT'S AN EVENTUALITY.

SO AS OF NOW, I THINK THAT THE NOTICE IS ACCURATE IN THAT
IT REFLECTS THAT THE PLAINTIFFS WILL BE FILING THIS MOTION,
BUT WE HAVE TO WAIT FOR THAT EVENT TO TAKE PLACE AS THIS
PROCESS PLAYS ITSELF OUT.

THE COURT: SO YOU WOULD POST THEN A NOTICE OF

MOTION. YOU WOULDN'T BE POSTING THE ENTIRE THING. IT IS

LIKELY TO BE RATHER LONG.

MR. HRVATIN: CORRECT. ALSO, THOUGH, TO MAKE

AVAILABLE. THE LAW LIBRARY MAKE AVAILABLE THE FULL PACKET OF

MATERIALS SUBMITTED TO THE COURT FILE FOR THE COURT'S REVIEW.

SO THE NOTICE ITSELF, HOWEVER, AS WE SUBMIT IT HERE, IS
THREE PAGES. AND SO TO THE EXTENT IT ALSO DIRECTS THAT IF AN
INMATE WOULD LIKE TO REVIEW THE MORE COMPLETE FILING, THAT
THAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE IN THE LAW LIBRARY.

THE COURT: SO YOU ARE GOING TO NEED TO THEN POST THE NOTICE OF MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES.

MR. HRVATIN: OR AT LEAST DRAFT A NOTICE THAT

REFLECTS -- I THINK THAT REFLECTS THAT THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE

EITHER FILED A MOTION, THERE HAS BEEN SOME RESOLUTION TO THE

ATTORNEYS' FEE ISSUE WITH THE AMOUNT THAT IS AT -- IS

CONTEMPLATED. I THINK THAT THAT WOULD BE -- THAT WOULD BE THE

FORM IN WHICH WE WOULD PROVIDE NOTICE TO THE CLASS OF THAT

ISSUE.

THE COURT: OKAY. I GUESS THAT'S ALL RIGHT AS LONG 1 2 AS YOU PUT IT IN THE LAW LIBRARY. IT DOES SAY IT MUST BE 3 DIRECTED TO CLASS MEMBERS IN A REASONABLE MANNER. SO I THINK WE COULD SAY THAT THAT WOULD BE A REASONABLE MANNER. 4 5 THE OTHER REQUIREMENT IS THE CLASS MEMBER MAY OBJECT TO 6 THE MOTION. SO WHEN YOU PUT UP THE NOTICE THAT THE MOTION HAS 7 BEEN FILED, YOU HAVE TO SAY ON IT IF YOU WANT TO OBJECT, 8 HERE'S WHERE YOU SEND IT. 9 MR. HRVATIN: I THINK THAT'S RIGHT, YOUR HONOR. 10 THINK THAT'S WHY THE NOTICE WE HAVE SUBMITTED HERE IS A GOOD 11 TEMPLATE FOR THAT. AS THIS NOTICE PROVIDES, IT DOES INDICATE 12 THAT THERE IS A DEADLINE FOR A CLASS MEMBER TO SUBMIT ANY KIND 13 OF OBJECTION. 14 AND I THINK THAT THIS WOULD -- THAT THIS TEMPLATE HERE, 15 THIS FORMAT WOULD ACCURATELY ALSO PROVIDE ADEQUATE NOTICE TO 16 THE CLASS MEMBERS THAT WITH RESPECT TO THAT ADDITIONAL ISSUE 17 ON THE ATTORNEYS' FEE POINT, THAT ANY FORM OF OBJECTIONS WOULD 18 HAVE TO BE SUBMITTED BY A CERTAIN TIME. 19 THE COURT: OKAY. SO CAN WE JUST SAY YOU'RE GOING TO 20 DO THAT OR DO YOU THINK I NEED TO ADD THAT INTO THE ORDER? I 21 PROBABLY SHOULD ADD IT INTO THE ORDER -- DO IT DIFFERENTLY, 22 YOU SHOULD PROBABLY ADD THAT INTO THE ORDER. 23 DO YOU THINK? IS MY VERBAL ORDER ENOUGH? 24 MR. LOBEL: WE CAN ADD IT TO THE ORDER. 25 THE COURT: YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO CHANGE IT ANYWAY

```
BECAUSE YOU HAVE TO PUT THE DATES IN. PUT IN HOW YOU ARE
 1
 2
       GOING TO HANDLE THAT. IF YOU CAN RESOLVE OR CHANGE THE
 3
       BUSINESS ABOUT THE TITLE AND THE BUSINESS ABOUT THE VISITING
      FOR THE SAFETY PEOPLE, YOU COULD DO THAT. AND -- BUT YOU NEED
 4
 5
       TO GET IT TO ME FAIRLY OUICKLY BECAUSE YOU'VE COMMITTED TO
       SENDING OUT THE NOTICE, I THINK, IN 30 DAYS.
 6
 7
               MR. HRVATIN: RIGHT. THIRTY DAYS FROM PRELIMINARY
 8
       APPROVAL.
 9
           SO CERTAINLY IF THERE ARE ANY REVISIONS WE NEED TO MAKE TO
10
       THE NOTICE AND GET THAT BEFORE YOUR HONOR, AND THEN HAVE THE
11
       COURT WITH SUFFICIENT OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THAT MATERIAL TO
12
      MAKE SURE THAT IT SATISFIES THE COURT'S CONCERNS, BUT WE
13
       CAN -- WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO WORK WITH PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL TO
14
       GET THAT TO YOU AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE.
15
                THE COURT: OKAY. LIKE MAYBE BY FRIDAY?
16
                MR. HRVATIN: WE CAN -- YEAH, I THINK WE CAN WORK TO
17
       GET THAT DONE.
                MR. LOBEL: I AGREE.
18
19
               MR. HRVATIN: WE WILL GET IT TO YOU BY CLOSE OF
      BUSINESS ON FRIDAY.
20
21
                THE COURT: OKAY.
           WELL, WITH THOSE CONCERNS ALLEVIATED, I WOULD BE HAPPY TO
22
23
      PRELIMINARILY APPROVE THE SETTLEMENT.
           IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU NEED OR WANT?
24
25
```

MR. HRVATIN: NOT FROM DEFENDANTS.