## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

	)	
BILL NELSON FOR U.S. SENATE,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No: 4:18cv536
V.	)	Tallahassee, Florida
	)	November 16, 2018
KENNETH DETZNER, in his	)	·
official capacity as Secretary	)	
of State, and the PALM BEACH	)	
COUNTY CANVASSING BOARD, and	)	
SUSAN BUCHER, in her official	)	
capacity as Palm Beach County	)	
Supervisor of Elections	)	
official capacity as Florida	)	
Secretary of State,	)	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	)	
Defendants.	)	
2010Haanes.	)	
	,	

TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARK E. WALKER UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE (Pages 1 through 19)

Megan A. Hague, RPR, FCRR
Official United States Court Reporter
111 North Adams Street \* Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(850) 422-0011 \* megan.a.hague@gmail.com

## APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs: Perkins Coie, LLP

by: UZOMA NKEM NKWONTA Attorney at Law

700 13th Street NW, Suite 700

Washington, DC 20005 unkwonta@perkinscoie.com

For Defendant Palm Beach County Canvassing Board and Susan M. Bucher:

Lewis Longman & Walker, PA

by: NATALIE KATO
Attorney at Law

515 N. Flagler Drive, Suite 1500 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

nkato@llw-law.com

For Defendant Detzner: Hopping Green & Sams, PA

by: MOHAMMAD JAZIL
Attorney at Law

119 South Monroe Street, Suite 30

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

mohammadj@hqslaw.com

For Intervenor Defendant National Republican Senatorial Committee:

Holtzman, Vogel, Josefiak, PLLC by: JASON BRETT TORCHINSKY

Attorney at Law

45 North Hill Drive, Suite100

Warrenton, Virginia 20186

jtorchinsky@hvjt.law

## PROCEEDINGS

(Call to Order of the Court at 6:00 PM on Friday, November 16, 2018.)

THE COURT: Good evening. This is Judge Walker. We're here in Case No. 4:18cv536. This matter was removed from state court this morning. Upon reviewing the docket, in addition to attending to other cases and other duties, I recognize that there could be some — there might be a need for an evidentiary hearing in this case.

I sent out an order requesting information from the parties. I set a deadline of 4 p.m. The parties requested an extension to 5 p.m. I granted that extension, and after granting the extension, I received a response from the plaintiff that there was a need to secure additional information.

Given the timing of this action, as well as the deadlines that are implicated associated with the recount, I thought it appropriate to immediately set this matter for a hearing, so we find ourselves here at 6 p.m. on Friday evening.

Let me start with having -- who's going to speak on behalf of the plaintiff?

MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, this is Uzoma Nkwonta on behalf of the plaintiff.

THE COURT: Welcome, Mr. Nkwonta.

```
Who's speaking on behalf of Defendant Detzner?
 1
 2
              MR. JAZIL: Mohammad Jazil, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Welcome, Mr. Jazil.
 3
 4
               Who is speaking on behalf of the canvassing board?
               Do I have anybody that is appearing?
 5
 6
              Mr. Baumann, are you on the line?
 7
              Ms. Bucher, are you on the line?
 8
               All right. Do I have somebody for the National
 9
     Republican Senatorial Committee on the line?
10
              MR. TORCHINSKY: Yes, Your Honor. This is Jason
11
     Torchinsky. I'm on the docket, but I haven't entered my
12
     notice of appearance yet. And I also have George Levesque
13
     on the phone with me. I'm in an area with bad cell phone
14
     reception, and he may need to take over in case I have to
15
     drop.
16
               THE COURT: That's fine. You're both welcome, and
17
     I don't care that you've -- whether you've entered a notice
18
     of appearance or not. The record will reflect you're
19
     present. We'll add your name. To the extent we need to
20
    modify the record later, we can.
21
               Somebody just dialed in. Who just joined us?
22
               MS. KATO: Yes, this is Natalie Kato, counsel for
23
     Susan Bucher. I'm texting with my co-counsel, Mr. Baumann,
24
     who is at -- physically at the supervisor's office with
25
    Ms. Bucher as we speak. She's conducting the manual
```

1 recount. 2 THE COURT: All right. Well, let me start, 3 Ms. Kato, with you. I received a response to my order to 4 clarify from the plaintiffs in this action. This is a 5 separate action from the action that Mr. Baumann and 6 Ms. Bucher attended yesterday. That was Case No. 4:18cv527. 7 I entered an order this morning in that case. Then this case, 4:18cv536 was removed from state 8 court this morning. I don't need to recite the entire 9 10 procedural history again, but the fact of the matter, 11 there's two different cases, but this case also involved the 12 recount in Palm Beach County. And in light of the hearing 13 we had yesterday evening, and the testimony of Ms. Bucher 14 and Mr. Baumann's representations, I assumed this parallel matter would be resolved. 15 I've been told by the plaintiffs' counsel through 16 17 this supplemental response, ECF Document 20 in the case, 18 that that may not be so. 19 So let me start, having laid a foundation for any 20 reviewing court, that they could easily get up to speed. 21 Let me ask: Mr. Nkwonta, are we still at the same place 22 with the representations you've made in ECF Document 20 or 23 have we learned anything additional?

MR. NKWONTA: No, Your Honor. We're still at the

same place. As we stated in ECF Document No. 20, the

24

Supervisor of Elections, Ms. Bucher, has yet to reveal the results of the machine recount, and it's still unclear to us which results will be reported by the 18th.

THE COURT: All right.

Let me ask Ms. Kato -- and I just want to do this in the most efficient way possible, and we can certainly potentially take testimony if we need to as well, but let me get some background information, Ms. Kato, since you're here on behalf of the Palm Beach County Canvassing Board.

MS. KATO: Yes.

THE COURT: And let me pause by saying this is not an inquisition.

MS. KATO: Right.

THE COURT: I'm not suggesting you have done anything. I just want to, in the fastest most efficient way, get to the truth and figure out whether or not I need to have a more exhaustive evidentiary hearing.

As I understood what had been represented to me yesterday evening, as well as at other hearings that I've conducted, and other matters related to this election, the statutes at issue contemplate that there may not — there may be a county or counties, plural, that do not complete a mechanical recount in time to meet the deadline for the second unofficial results.

The statute goes on to say, but you'll continue

the process and I --

MS. KATO: Your Honor, if I may, I can -- I apologize for interrupting you, but I know that you want to work expeditiously, and I do, too, and I have been in contact with plaintiff's counsel, Mr. Nkwonta throughout the afternoon, and so maybe I can just explain to you where we're at in the process.

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. KATO: So we are aware of the statute and as we said -- you know, our representations that we made to the Court last night do hold true, which is that we were going to finish the machine recount and then begin the manual recount and we have done that.

The issue that we're having with the plaintiff is that they want us to give them that machine recount number, and I think that there's some discussion or some perhaps disagreement over whether we were obligated to provide them that updated number before we began the machine recount. We were unable to do that.

There's a difference between finishing the machine recount and starting the manual recount, and finishing the machine recount, giving the plaintiff or any other party a new number to work with so that they may, you know, for lack of a better word kind of readjust their tallies and then start the manual recount. And so I think that's where the

rubber is meeting the road.

THE COURT: Well, let me interject because maybe then people are talking past each other and that doesn't necessarily resolve the issue, but one concern of mine, based on my review of ECF Document 20, was the suggestion that -- setting apart disclosing numbers was that, yes, we're going to have the manual recount, and we'll add -- we'll find out if there are any votes to add based on the manual recount.

There may be some overseas ballots that come in by the deadline that could be added in that number, but what concerned me was the representation that those numbers meaning the manual recount, if any, coupled with any new overseas ballots that were properly postmarked and received by the deadline, what tally that would be added to for the final number to be disclosed on Sunday by noon.

MS. KATO: So -- yes, Your Honor. So those numbers will be added to the machine recount numbers that we finished before we began this manual recount. Part of the issue that we're having, and the reason that we have not released those machine recount numbers to the public yet is that we had an internal issue, not with the total number of votes, but with what's called the tally type of vote.

And the tally type is, as I understand it -- and, again, I'm not the Supervisor of Elections -- the tally type

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
is -- you know, for example, there's votes that came from
precincts, there's votes that are absentee ballots, and when
we were running those through in a manual re -- or -- I'm
sorry -- in the machine recount, those types of ballots were
mixed. And so even though the amount of votes cast is
correct, the amounts cast per candidate is correct, the type
of vote that's cast, we need to -- we need to internally
rectify that before we would be comfortable releasing that
updated machine recount number.
          And so that's what we were trying to work on.
That's -- based on my conversations with Mr. Nkwonta -- and,
again, you know, I do want to stress that we've really been
working with the plaintiffs, and they've been up and -- you
know, really working with us in good faith, you know.
          I think that this is one of those kind of smaller
things that we can get some clarification on. It's just a
matter of day and under their timeline versus our timeline,
that's the problem.
          THE COURT: When do you think you'll have that
information?
          MS. KATO: I can ask my client. I believe that
she was finalizing the manual recount, and that's why she's
not on the call because she wanted to finish overseeing that
process, so probably by some point tomorrow.
```

THE COURT: I understand.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Let me then turn to Mr. Nkwonta. Mr. Nkwonta, I
understand -- my fear was that we were going to have a much
bigger issue, that there was some disagreement about
statutory construction and what results were going to be
tallied for the final numbers that would be submitted to the
state on Sunday at noon.
          Apparently, according to Ms. Kato, that's -- I'm
either -- you either misstated or I misapprehend what is
happening. I'm fine with it labeling that I'm -- labeling
me as being the one confused, but before we go on to what
information, if any, should be released, do you -- do you
still have concerns that there's a different number that's
going to be submitted, or is this really about disclosing
information?
          Hello?
         MR. NKWONTA: Hello.
          THE COURT: Mr. Nkwonta?
         MR. NKWONTA: Yes, I'm here. May I proceed?
          THE COURT: Yes.
          MR. NKWONTA: So two things, Your Honor:
the first time that we have heard from Palm Beach County or
from their counsel that they will be actually including the
machine recount figures, and not the figures initially
reported on November 10th. So I -- first I want to confirm
and clarify on the record that Palm Beach County is
```

```
representing that they will report the machine recount
 1
 2
     figures.
 3
               THE COURT: And, Ms. Kato, I thought you
 4
     unequivocally just told me that.
 5
               MS. KATO: That's my -- that is my
 6
     understanding -- that's my understanding, Your Honor.
 7
     know, the way this process works, just so we're clear, is
     that we have to run the machine recount first, and then the
 8
     over and under ballots that are rejected as a result of the
 9
10
    machine recount, that's what's hand recounted.
11
               So my understanding is that you run the machine
12
     recount and then you take the over -- the manual recount
13
     results and add those to your manual results, and that gives
14
     you your manual recount results.
15
              MR. NKWONTA: Your Honor, there's really no room
16
     for ambiguity here. I mean, the question is pretty simple.
17
               THE COURT: Who is this?
18
               MR. NKWONTA: This is Mr. Nkwonta on behalf of the
19
     plaintiff.
20
               THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Your connection is
21
     really bad. Are you on a speaker, Mr. Nkwonta? We can
22
    barely hear you.
23
               MR. NKWONTA: Is this any better?
24
               THE COURT: Yes, sir. Thank you.
25
               MR. NKWONTA: So what I'm -- as I was saying
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
before, there's really no room for any ambiguity here.
question is which results are you going to report? Is it
going to be the initial unofficial results of November 10th,
or are you going to report the results of the machine
recount? And it's one or the other, so I -- what I don't
understand -- what plaintiff doesn't understand, is why we
have yet to receive a clear answer on which result is going
to be reported.
          THE COURT: I thought the clear answer was that
they're going to use the second machine count numbers, but
before they release those numbers publically, they have to
reconcile those numbers with the sub-breakdown. They break
them down based on absentee ballots and so forth, and that
they -- there was a human error, and they mixed some ballots
in, and they're trying to get the sub-numbers before they
release the overall numbers and that they have every
intention of adding the manual recount numbers, if any --
and I say, if any. I assume there's some, but if any
because it's certainly possible that every over -- every --
it's not overstocked. It's --
         MS. KATO: Overvote.
          THE COURT: Over -- well, no it's called -- when
you send them to the -- outstacked, I'm sorry.
         MS. KATO: Outstacked, yeah.
                     It's been a long couple of days.
          THE COURT:
                                                        It's
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
conceivable that all the outstacked ballots just nobody
voted for that race; it's highly unlikely, but I guess it's
possible. But assuming that there are numbers, you would
add those manual numbers to the second machine count, which
is the -- I'm sorry -- the second machine count --
         MS. KATO: Correct.
          THE COURT: -- which is the recount. You then add
any overseas ballots, and that is the number in the system
that you're going to use pursuant to Florida Statutes; that
the only time you would not use the machine recount number
is if you didn't have a machine recount number because then
Florida law says you have to use the original unofficial
results if you don't finish the machine recount; is that
correct?
         MS. KATO: That is correct, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: All right.
         MS. KATO: And, for the record, we have -- and if
I've been ambiguous, I apologize, but in my prior
conversations with counsel, I thought I was clear about
that.
          THE COURT: And what I just said, Ms. Kato, you
say that's exactly what's going to happen, Judge?
         MS. KATO: Yes.
          THE COURT: We are finishing the manual recount
right now. We're making sure we have all the sub-numbers
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and categories for the machine recount, and those -- that will be the final number that's going to be reported on Sunday, those numbers added together. We -- there --MS. KATO: Correct. THE COURT: We will not be using the original first unofficial recount. The only reason why that was done before was because we had not finished our recount at the second unofficial recount deadline; correct? MS. KATO: Correct, and we were required by Florida Statute to use that first report number, as we have discussed, I believe, yesterday. THE COURT: And I've read the statute. Of course every news agency in the country practically is reporting that just because numbers weren't reported for the second unofficial means they're going to be tossed out, which is if it's done, is contrary to Florida law, would be unlawful, and would result in a -- could result in a challenge to the election, but we don't need to go there. It would just be nice if -- anyway, I'll leave it there. It's late in the day. Mr. Nkwonta, I want to make sure -- so for purposes -- I am happy to have an evidentiary hearing. happy to have somebody placed under oath, but what -setting aside, Judge, we want the numbers now -- and I'm not in any way diminishing the importance of that, but that's

the second issue -- do you still believe there's a need for 1 2 clarification? 3 MR. NKWONTA: I do not, Your Honor. Based on the 4 representations on the record, we are satisfied that 5 Palm Beach County has represented that it will be using the 6 machine recount figures, and we will wait for those results. 7 THE COURT: All right. Well, let me then ask you -- and I'm fine. Believe me, I've got my Saturday and 8 9 Sunday -- my dance card is open, Mr. Nkwonta, and you're on 10 it if you need time on Saturday or Sunday. Do we need to 11 set this matter -- because I understand time is of the 12 essence, and I'll be here at 5 a.m. tomorrow if y'all need 13 to have an evidentiary hearing. 14 And I'm not saying that as a threat. I'll do it 15 at whatever time y'all want. I'm just saying I am available 16 whenever you need me. Do -- is there anything else -- and 17 you're not waiving any right moving forward if something 18 comes up, but at this juncture, is there anything else that 19 y'all need from the Court by way of time and hearing in this 20 Case 4:18cv536? 21 MR. NKWONTA: At this juncture, no, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And I want to make plain, Mr. Nkwonta, 23 I will be checking my computer. I -- not all night, but for

a good portion of it, and early in the morning, and I

understand that an emergency could arise, and I will do my

24

best to monitor as frequently as I -- possibly I can, the 1 2 docket. 3 But with that said, Mr. Jazil, Mr. Nkwonta says 4 there's nothing further. Is there anything you need to 5 bring up? 6 MR. JAZIL: No, Your Honor. I would only request 7 that -- Your Honor, I just want to be sure that I am available to appear for any hearing that might be scheduled 8 later on this weekend. Is it possible for us also to be 9 10 contacted by phone in addition to the e-mail on the CM/ECF 11 system, sir? THE COURT: Here's what I think y'all should all 12 13 I'm going to have my courtroom deputy come on over and 14 she's going to tell you her e-mail address, and y'all are 15 going to write it down, because if I write down a bunch of 16 phone numbers, it's going to be absolutely pointless, and so 17 you can e-mail her. 18 I understand you may not want publically everybody 19 on the planet to have your phone. Since my number has been 20 shared with everybody, particularly those that arguably 21 lack -- or may need psychotropic medications, many of them 22 that have called me -- you know, I -- but I won't do that to

So what I'm going to have you do is just e-mail all of your phone numbers to Miss -- my courtroom deputy.

23

24

25

y'all.

```
She's going to give you her e-mail address now.
 1
 2
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: It's Victoria underscore
    Milton, M-i-l-t-o-n, at F-l-n-d as in Northern District, dot
 3
 4
     US Courts, with an s, dot gov.
 5
               MS. KATO: Can you repeat that one more time? I'm
 6
     sorry, I'm trying to take notes on my phone.
 7
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Sure. Victoria underscore
 8
    Milton, M-i-l-t-o-n at F-l-n-d dot US Courts, with an S, dot
 9
     gov.
10
               THE COURT: Ms. Kato, did you get that?
11
              MS. KATO: I did. Thank you, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: Mr. Jazil?
13
              MR. JAZIL: Yes, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Mr. Torchinsky?
15
              MR. TORCHINSKY: Yes.
16
               THE COURT: All right. Good.
17
              And Mr. Nkwonta?
18
              MR. NKWONTA: Yes, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: All right. If y'all will please --
20
     otherwise you're going to get electronic e-mails.
21
               Actually, Ms. Kato, I will ask you this, because I
22
     think we've got Baumann's -- that's your colleague; correct?
23
              MS. KATO: Yes.
24
               THE COURT: I think we've got his phone number; is
25
     that correct?
```

```
THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: We have just the office.
 1
 2
               MS. KATO: I believe so. I'm happy to give you
 3
    mine and, frankly, Your Honor, at this point everybody has
 4
    my phone number, as well.
 5
               THE COURT: Yeah, I'd rather you not do that on
 6
     the record. Anybody could have called in. I don't mind the
 7
    members of the press obviously having it, but I have no idea
     who's on this line, and I don't want anybody giving out
 8
 9
     their phone numbers.
10
               So if you will be so kind, Ms. Kato, if you please
11
     will e-mail my courtroom deputy -- and I'm specifically not
     using her name either; not to dehumanize her, but I don't
12
13
     really want her being inundated with hate mail either.
14
     if you could just e-mail her your phone number, as well as
15
     your colleague, so if we need to reach you at the last
16
    minute, we can, okay?
17
               MS. KATO: And that was the number for the e-mail
18
     that was just provided; correct?
19
               THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. And that is not her full
20
     name, so I'm protecting her -- I was trying to maintain some
21
     anonymity for her.
22
               MS. KATO: Yep. Perfect.
23
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
               Anything further, Mr. Nkwonta?
24
25
               MR. NKWONTA: No, Your Honor.
```

```
THE COURT: Mr. Jazil?
 1
 2.
               MR. JAZIL: No, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Kato?
 4
               MS. KATO: No, Your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT:
                           Torchinsky?
 6
               MR. TORCHINSKY: No, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. I'm
     sure this inconvenienced you, and I'll let you know, I even
 8
 9
    had to have my court reporter leave her child's dance
10
     routine and come all the way back to the courthouse, so
11
     we'll do our best to accommodate everyone, but we're trying
12
    hard, too.
1.3
               So I hope everybody has a pleasant evening and a
     good weekend. I know you're all working hard, and best of
14
15
     luck to all of you. Please be safe.
16
               Court is in recess.
17
               MS. KATO: Thank you.
18
          (Proceedings concluded at 6:24 PM on Friday, November
     16, 2018.)
19
20
               I certify that the foregoing is a correct
21
     transcript from the record of proceedings in the
     above-entitled matter. Any redaction of personal data
22
     identifiers pursuant to the Judicial Conference Policy on
     Privacy are noted within the transcript.
23
     /s/ Megan A. Hague
                                             11/16/2018
24
     Megan A. Hague, RPR, FCRR, CSR
                                             Date
     Official U.S Court Reporter
25
```