

**ENTERED**

April 22, 2020

David J. Bradley, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

FREDERICO FLORES JR., et al. §  
 versus § CIVIL ACTION No. 7:18-cv-113  
 TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE, and §  
 ARMANDINA MARTINEZ, ALMA  
 GARCIA, ALICIA DOUGHERTY NO. 1,  
 ALICIA DOUGHERTY NO. 2,  
 YOLANDA MARTINEZ


## ORDER STRIKING DOCUMENT

The Clerk has noted the filing of your DEFENDANTS ARMANDINA MARTINEZ, ALMA GARCIA, ALICIA DOUGHERTY NO. 1, ALICIA DOUGHERTY NO. 2, YOLANDA MARTINEZ'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED JOINT MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (D.E. 95); however, it is deficient as checked. (L.R. refers to the Local Rule of this District).

1. ☐ Document is not signed (L.R. 11.3).
2. ☐ Document does not comply with L.R.11.3.A.
3. ☐ Caption of the document is incomplete (L.R.10.1).
4. ☐ No certificate of service or explanation why service is not required (L.R. 5.3).
5. ☐ Motion does not comply with L.R.7
  - a. ☐ No statement of opposition or non-opposition (L.R. 7.1.D(2)).
  - b. ☐ No statement of conference between counsel (L.R. 7.1.D(1)).
  - c. ☐ No separate proposed order attached (L.R. 7.1.C).
6. ☐ Motion to consolidate does not comply with L.R.7.6.
7. ☒ Other: Does not comply (L.R. 7.4.D).

The document is stricken from the record.

Date:

4/22/20RICARDO H. HINOJOSA  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE