## **UNPUBLISHED**

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

·		
_	No. 17-2234	
ZEBEDEE MILBY,		
Plaintiff - App	ellant,	
v.		
OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL REG	SISTRAR,	
Defendant - A	ppellee.	
Appeal from the United States D. Richmond. M. Hannah Lauck, Dis		
Submitted: February 15, 2018		Decided: February 16, 2018
Before WILKINSON, FLOYD, and	d THACKER, Circu	it Judges.
Dismissed and remanded by unpub	lished per curiam op	vinion.
Zebedee Milby, Appellant Pro Se.		
Unpublished opinions are not bindi	ing precedent in this	circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Zebedee Milby seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing his complaint without prejudice. Before addressing the merits of Milby's appeal, we first must be assured that we have jurisdiction. Porter v. Zook, 803 F.3d 694, 696 (4th Cir. 2015). We may exercise jurisdiction only over final orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (2012), and certain interlocutory and collateral orders, 28 U.S.C. § 1292 (2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b); Cohen v. Beneficial Indus. Loan Corp., 337 U.S. 541, 545-47 (1949). The district court determined that Milby failed to allege any facts upon which the court could conclude that Milby had standing to raise his claim. Because Milby might be able to cure this defect by filing an amendment to the complaint, we conclude that the order Milby seeks to appeal is neither a final order nor an appealable interlocutory order. See Goode v. Cent. Va. Legal Aid Soc'y, Inc., 807 F.3d 619, 623-24 (4th Cir. 2015); Domino Sugar Corp. v. Sugar Workers Local Union 392, 10 F.3d 1064, 1066-67 (4th Cir. 1993). Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction and remand the case to the district court with instructions to allow Milby to amend his complaint. Goode, 807 F.3d at 630. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED AND REMANDED