UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

State, et al.,	
State, et al.,	
Defendant. /	

The Court reviewed Plaintiff's reply in support of their motion for attorneys' fees and expenses [ECF No. 68]. The Court **STRIKES** that

document for the following reason(s):

☐ Missing statement of concurrence. See Local Rule ("LR") 7.1(a). ☐ Missing required information (e.g., table of contents, concise statement of issues, controlling or most appropriate authority, index of authorities, index of exhibits, etc.). See LR 7.1(d)(2). ☐ The control of the control

☐ Does not contain a statement of material facts with numbered paragraphs or fails to respond to statement of material facts in motion. See

Section 1(A) of Phase II Scheduling Order (Statement of Material Facts on
Motion for Summary Judgment).
☐ Wrong font size or improperly formatted (e.g., single-spaced,
improper margins, missing page numbers, etc.). See LR 5.1(a)(2),(3).
☐ Does not comply with Rule 19(b) of the Electronic Filing Policies
and Procedures, regarding filing exhibits electronically. See LR 5.1.1(a).
☐ Does not comply with Local Rule 5.3, regarding filing an item
under seal in a civil case.
☐ Over-length. See LR 7.1(d)(3).
☐ Motion to dismiss/response to motion to dismiss relies primarily
on summary judgment cases.
☐ Does not comply with the Scheduling Order (or other Order or
Notice) in this case for the following reasons:
☐ Other:

The document is stricken and not part of the record. The document must be refiled in full compliance by June 7, 2021. A courtesy copy that includes tabbed and highlighted exhibits and the ECF electronic date stamp must be submitted to chambers.

IT IS ORDERED.

Dated: June 1, 2021

(Rev. 3/2021)

S/ Victoria A. Roberts
Victoria A. Roberts
United States District Judge