## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

COMMON CAUSE INDIANA, et al.	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	No. 1:17-cv-01388-SEB-TAB
MARION COUNTY ELECTION BOARD, et al.	) ) )	
Defendants	)	

## FINAL JUDGMENT

The Court hereby enters final judgment in Plaintiffs' favor, in line with the July 10, 2018, consent decree. Accordingly, Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys **are enjoined** as follows:

- 1. For the 2018 general election, Defendant Marion County Election Board shall establish five (5) satellite offices for in-person absentee voting. Inperson absentee voting shall also be available at the Clerk's primary office in the City-County building as otherwise required by Indiana law.
- 2. Beginning with the 2019 primary election, Defendant Marion County

  Election Board shall establish a minimum number of two (2) satellite offices
  for each primary election, and five (5) satellite offices for each general and
  municipal election.
- 3. Defendant Marion County Election Board shall take all necessary and appropriate action to the implement the requirements of this judgment.

- 4. Defendant Marion County Election Board shall retain the discretion to select the locations and operating hours of the satellite offices.
- 5. In making those determinations, Defendant Marion County Election Board shall consider factors such as available locations, cost of available locations, access to public transportation to selected locations, population distribution, historical voter turnout data, and staffing needs.
- 6. Any disagreement by Plaintiffs with Defendant Marion County Election Board's judgment in implementing the vote center plan or in selecting the locations or hours of satellite offices shall not constitute a violation of this judgment unless (a) on or before January 31 (with respect to a primary election) or July 31 (with respect to a general or municipal election), the Defendant Marion County Election Board fails to establish the location and hours for all satellite offices required under this judgment, in which case Plaintiffs may petition the Court to make such determinations using the factors set forth in paragraph 5, *supra*, or (b) Plaintiffs can prove that such satellite office locations as determined by the Board result in a continued disparate impact on minority voters or unconstitutional burden on Marion County voters' right to vote.
- 7. This judgment does not limit or diminish in any way the Board's authority to establish additional satellite office voting locations beyond the minimum

number of locations established below or to determine the specific locations of those satellite voting offices.

Date:	8/9/2018	Said Evens Barker
_		

SARAH EVANS BARKER, JUDGE United States District Court Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

Daniel Bowman FILLENWARTH DENNERLINE GROTH & TOWE LLP dbowman@fdgtlaborlaw.com

Anthony Scott Chinn FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP (Indianapolis) scott.chinn@faegrebd.com

Bryan Findley
INDIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL
bryan.findley@atg.in.gov

William R. Groth FILLENWARTH DENNERLINE GROTH & TOWE LLP wgroth@fdgtlaborlaw.com

Vilda Samuel Laurin, III BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS, LLP (Indianapolis) slaurin@boselaw.com

Andrew J. Mallon OFFICE OF CORPORATION COUNSEL andy.mallon@indy.gov

Tyler John Moorhead BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP tmoorhead@boselaw.com

Donald Eugene Morgan OFFICE OF CORPORATION COUNSEL donald.morgan@indy.gov Aleksandrina Penkova Pratt INDIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL aleksandrina.pratt@atg.in.gov

Daniel E. Pulliam FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP (Indianapolis) daniel.pulliam@faegrebd.com

Anne Kramer Ricchiuto FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP (Indianapolis) anne.ricchiuto@FaegreBD.com

Kelly Suzanne Thompson INDIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL kelly.thompson@atg.in.gov