2

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MAINE

JON DESROSIERS, a minor, by and through his next friends Norma and Gonzaque Desrosiers; and BILLIE JO GRANT, a minor, by and through her next friend Margaret Jordan, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ANDROSCOGGIN COUNTY, MAINE;

RONALD B. GAGNON, individually and in his official capacity as Sheriff of Androscoggin County, Maine;

CAROL BOYCE, JAMES DOUGHERTY, and EMILE JACQUES, individually and in their official capacities as County Commissioners of Androscoggin County, Maine,

Defendants.

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

1. This is a civil rights class action challenging the conditions of confinement for juveniles at the Androscoggin County jail, in Auburn, Maine, and the policies and practices of defendants in confining juveniles therein. Plaintiffs JON DESROSIERS, through his parents Norma and Gonzaque Desrosiers as his next friends, and BILLIE JO GRANT, through her mother Margaret Jordan as her next friend, bring this action for declaratory, injunctive, and other equitable relief and damages, on behalf of themselves and all other juveniles similarly situated who are, have been, or will in the future be confined in the Androscoggin County jail, and thereby

Civ. No. 85-00091P

FIRST AMENDED CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY, INJUNCTIVE, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF AND DAMAGES

(CLASS ACTION)

subjected by defendants to cruel, unconscionable, and illegal conditions of confinement in the jail; to illegal incarceration in the jail without adequate separation from confined adult offenders; to unlawful secure detention in the jail of juveniles who are charged with who have committed offenses which would not be criminal if committed by adults ("status offenses"); and to denial of adequate and appropriate community placements as alternatives to the jail.

- 2. Plaintiffs bring this action under the federal Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, to redress the violations by defendants, acting under color of state law, of the rights of plaintiffs under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, specifically the right to due process of law and the right to freedom from cruel and unusual punishments. Plaintiffs also bring this action under 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 to redress the violations by defendants, under color of state law, of plaintiffs' statutory rights under the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended, 42 U.S.C. Sections 5601 et seq. ["Juvenile Justice Act"], as more particularly set forth in this complaint.
- 3. Plaintiffs also bring this action under the Juvenile Justice Act to challenge (a) the detention of plaintiffs by defendants in the Androscoggin County jail, without adequate separation from confined adult offenders; (b) the detention by defendants in the jail, a secure facility, of plaintiffs who are charged with or who have committed offenses which would not be criminal if committed by adults; (c) the failure and refusal of defendants to provide and utilize adequate and appropriate placements as alternatives to the jail.
 - 4. Plaintiffs also bring this action under applicable Maine statutes.

JURISDICTION

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. Section 1343(3), this being an action to redress the deprivation, under color of state law, of rights secured by the Constitution of the United States and the Juvenile Justice Act.
- 6. This Court also has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. Section 1343(4), this being an action to recover damages and to secure declaratory, injunctive, and other equitable relief under Acts of Congress providing for the protection of civil rights, specifically the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, and the Juvenile Justice Act.
- 7. This Court also has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. Sections 2201 and 2202, and Rules 57 and 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this being an action for a declaration of the rights of plaintiffs, and for injunctive and other equitable relief based upon said declaratory judgment, under the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, and the Juvenile Justice Act.
- 8. This Court also has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. Section 1331(a), this being an action wherein the matter in controversy arises under the Constitution and laws of the United States.
- 9. This Court has jurisdiction of plaintiffs' state law claims under the doctrine of pendent jurisdiction, which permits federal courts to determine state law claims which form separate but parallel grounds for relief also sought in substantial claims based on federal law.

PLAINTIFFS

10. Plaintiff JON DESROSIERS is fifteen years old and is a citizen of the United States. At all times during the events described herein, he has

resided in Androscoggin County, Maine. On February 19, 1985, he was taken into custody and charged with escape and burglary, and was incarcerated by defendants in the Androscoggin County jail. Defendants have confined the plaintiff in the Androscoggin County jail from February 19, 1985, through and after the date of filing of the initial complaint in this action.

11. Plaintiff BILLIE JO GRANT is seventeen years old and is a citizen of the United States and a resident of Androscoggin County, Maine. From on or about June 2, 1985, to on or about June 4, 1985, she was confined in the Androscoggin County jail. At that time, she was charged with criminal trespass and theft by receiving stolen property, both of which are misdemeanors.

DEFENDANTS

- 12. Defendant ANDROSCOGGIN COUNTY, MAINE is a local government unit in the state of Maine. In its capacity as a local government unit, it has implemented, executed and adopted the policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein through formal adoption or pursuant to governmental custom. The policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein are customs and usages of defendant ANDROSCOGGIN COUNTY, MAINE.
- 13. Defendant RONALD B. GAGNON is the sheriff of Androscoggin County, Maine. As such, he is responsible under, <u>inter alia</u>, 30 M.R.S.A. Sections 1701, 1852, 1853, and 1854 for the custody and charge of the jail and of all prisoners therein, for seeing that the jail is kept as clean and healthful as may be, for paying strict attention to the personal cleanliness of the prisoners, for providing prisoners with books and instruction, and for supervising the service of food to prisoners. He is

sued individually and in his official capacity.

14. Defendants CAROL BOYCE, JAMES DOUGHERTY, and EMILE JACQUES are the County Commissioners of Androscoggin County, Maine. As such, they are responsible under, inter alia, 30 M.R.S.A. Sections 1851, 1854, and 1858, for periodically examining the jail, for taking necessary precautions for the security of prisoners, the prevention of infection and sickness and for their accommodations; for procuring all necessary supplies, including necessary food, fuel, bedding and clothing for the jail and the prisoners therein; and for making such additions in suitable accommodations in the jail as may be found necessary for the safekeeping of prisoners therein. These defendants are sued individually and in their official capacities.

CLASS ACTION

15. Plaintiffs JON DESROSIERS and BILLIE JO GRANT bring this action on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23(a), (b)(1) and (b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The class consists of all juveniles who are currently, have been during the past year or in the future will be confined in the Androscoggin County jail.

16. The members of the class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. According to available information, more than 300 juveniles were confined in the Androscoggin County jail during 1984. In addition, there are questions of law and fact common to the members of the plaintiff class regarding practices of the defendants, and the claims of the named plaintiff are typical of the claims of the members of the plaintiff class. The named plaintiffs and plaintiffs' counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the class.

- 17. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the class which would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the adjudications or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.
- 18. By their policies, the defendants have acted and continue to act on grounds and in a manner generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.
- 19. The injuries suffered by the named plaintiffs and the members of the plaintiff class as a result of the policies and practices of defendants are capable of repetition, yet may evade review, thereby making class relief appropriate.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

I. CONDITIONS IN THE ANDROSCOGGIN COUNTY JAIL

- 20. The Androscoggin County jail is a secure building located in Auburn, Maine.
- 21. Defendants use the Androscoggin County jail to confine male and female juveniles, and male and female adults.
- 22. Defendants incarcerate juveniles for short periods of time, usually less than six hours, in holding cells on the first floor of the jail. The holding cells are totally bare, devoid of any chairs, benches, beds, bunks, or other furniture. Juveniles confined in the cells must either stand or sit on the floor.
 - 23. The holding cells are filthy, strewn with trash, debris, cigarette

butts, and dirt. The paint on the walls and ceilings is peeling off. The doors to the holding cells are made of crossed metal strips, making it difficult, if not impossible, for jail personnel to monitor persons detained in the holding cells. Defendants fail and refuse to provide any adequate sanitation or maintenance of the holding cells.

- 24. Defendants incarcerate juveniles for longer periods of time, usually more than six hours, in a barred cellblock in the basement level of the jail. The cellblock consists of three individual cells, each containing an institutional-type bed attached to the wall and an institutional toilet-sink combination, and a small open area outside of the individual cells.
- 25. Like the holding cells on the first floor of the jail, the cells and open area in the juvenile cellblock are filthy, strewn with trash, debris, cigarette butts, and garbage. The toilets in the juvenile cellblock are foul, fetid, and feculent. Defendants fail and refuse to provide any adequate sanitation or maintenance of the juvenile cellblock.
- 26. Defendants fail to provide and maintain an adequate classification system for juvenile immates. Consequently, unadjudicated juveniles are not separated from adjudicated juveniles, and juveniles charged with minor offenses are not separated from juveniles charged with serious offenses.
- 27. Defendants fail to provide adequate medical or psychological screening or examination for plaintiffs when plaintiffs are taken into custody. Defendants fail to provide regular medical or mental health services to plaintiffs.
- 28. Defendants confine plaintiffs in the holding cells or in the juvenile cellblock for virtually the entire periods of their incarceration,

allowing plaintiffs out of the holding cells only to use a toilet in another part of the jail, and allowing plaintiffs out of the juvenile cellblock only to go to the dining hall for meals.

- 29. Defendants fail to provide plaintiffs with any opportunities for exercise or recreation during their periods of confinement.
- 30. Defendants fail to provide plaintiffs with any programming or activities during their periods of confinement.
- 31. Defendants fail to provide plaintiffs with an adequate educational program during their periods of confinement.
- 32. Defendants fail to provide jail personnel who are trained in identifying and responding to problems of juveniles. Defendants' failure to provide adequately trained staff results in lack of attention to problems of plaintiffs during their confinement, lack of appropriate assessment of plaintiffs' physical and emotional needs, and lack of programs for plaintiffs during their confinement. Such failure subjects plaintiffs to serious danger at the hands of institutional personnel, other inmates, and themselves.
- 33. Defendants fail to hire qualified personnel who can respond to and provide for the needs of plaintiffs in the jail.
- 34. Defendants fail to adequately supervise jail personnel who are responsible for plaintiffs in the jail.
 - 35. Defendants fail to adequately monitor plaintiffs in their cells.
- 36. Defendants fail to equip and maintain an adequate audio or visual monitoring system at the jail.
- 37. Defendants fail to keep plaintiffs separated by sight and sound from adult inmates confined in the jail. Plaintiffs confined in the

holding cells on the first floor of the jail have sight and sound contact with virtually all inmates brought into the jail during said plaintiffs' periods of confinement, since adult inmates are brought into the jail directly past the holding cells where said plaintiffs are confined. Plaintiffs confined in the juvenile cellblock in the basement level of the jail have contact with adult inmates when they are taken by jail personnel through an adult male cellbock to the dining hall, and when they receive their food in the dining hall from adult male trustees.

- 38. Defendants fail to implement and promulgate rules and regulations for the proper handling and treatment of plaintiffs.
- 39. As a result of defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions, as described herein, plaintiffs' confinement by defendants in the Androscoggin County jail is cruel, harsh, punitive, and oppressive.

II. SECURE DETENTION OF STATUS OFFENDERS

- 40. Since 1980, the State of Maine has received funds totalling more than \$1,400,000 from the federal Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, an agency of the United States Department of Justice. These funds have been granted to the state for implementation of the Juvenile Justice Act.
- 41. Section 223(12) of the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 5633(12), provides that, in order to receive funding under the Act, a state must comply with the requirement that juveniles who are charged with or who have committed offenses which would not be criminal if committed by an adult ("status offenses"), or offenses which do not constitute violations of valid court orders, and such non-offenders as dependent or neglected children, shall not be placed in secure juvenile detention or correctional

facilities. Instead, a state must require that such juveniles, if placed in facilities at all, are placed in facilities which are the least restrictive alternatives appropriate to the needs of the child and the community, are in reasonable proximity to the family and the home community, and provide "community-based" services, as defined in 42 U.S.C. Section 5630(1).

- 42. The defendants regularly confine and detain in the Androscoggin County jail juveniles who are charged with or who have committed offenses which would not be criminal if committed by an adult, where such offenses do not constitute violations of valid court orders.
- 43. Similar numbers of status offenders have been confined in the Androscoggin County jail in previous years under similar circumstances, and similar numbers will be confined in the future under such circumstances unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested herein.

III. <u>DETENTION OF JUVENILES IN JAIL WITHOUT</u> ADEQUATE SEPARATION FROM ADULT OFFENDERS.

- 44. Section 223(13) of the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 5633(13), provides that, in order to receive funding under the Act, a state must comply with the requirement that juveniles alleged to be or found to be delinquent shall not be detained or confined in any institution in which they have regular contact with adult persons incarcerated because they have been convicted of a crime or are awaiting trial on criminal charges.
- 45. The defendants regularly confine and detain juveniles alleged to be or found to be delinquents, as well as juveniles alleged to be or found to be status offenders, in the Androscoggin County jail, where such juveniles have regular contact with adult persons incarcerated because

they have been convicted of a crime or are awaiting trial on criminal charges.

- 46. 15 M.R.S.A. Section 3203 provides, <u>inter alia</u>, that a juvenile may be detained in a jail or other security facility intended or used primarily for the detention of adults only when the facility provides for no regular contact between the juveniles and the adult inmates.
- 47. The defendants regularly confine and detain plaintiffs in the Androscoggin County jail where plaintiffs have regular contact with adult inmates.
- 48. Similar numbers of children have been confined in the Androscoggin County jail in previous years under similar circumstances, and similar numbers will be confined in the future under such circumstances unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested herein.
 - IV. FAILURE AND REFUSAL TO PROVIDE AND UTILIZE APPROPRIATE COMMUNITY-BASED ALTERNATIVES TO PLACEMENT OF JUVENILES IN THE ANDROSCOGGIN COUNTY JAIL.
- 49. Section 223(12) of the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 5633(12), requires states receiving funding under the Act to provide non-secure placements for status offenders and non-offenders as alternatives to placement in jails and other secure facilities. Such non-secure placements must be the least restrictive alternative appropriate to the needs of the children and the community, must be in reasonable proximity to the children's families and the home communities, and must provide "community-based" services. Section 103(1) of the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 5603(1), defines "community-based" facility, program or service as "a small, open group home or other suitable place located near the juvenile's

home or family and programs of community supervision and service which maintain community and consumer participation in the planning, operation, and evaluation of their programs which may include, but are not limited to, medical, educational, vocational, social, and psychological guidance, training, counseling, alcoholism treatment, drug treatment, and other rehabilitative services."

50. The defendants fail and refuse to provide and utilize appropriate, less restrictive, community-based placements as alternatives to detention of plaintiffs in the Androscoggin County jail. Defendants will continue to fail and refuse to provide and utilize such alternatives unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested herein.

V. CRUEL, UNCONSCIONABLE AND ILLEGAL CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT

- 51. Defendants regularly subject plaintiffs to the cruel, unconscionable and illegal conditions of confinement described above. Defendants have similarly confined and detained juveniles in the Androscoggin County jail under such conditions in previous years, and defendants will continue to confine juveniles under such conditions in the future unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested herein.
- 52. Plaintiffs JON DESROSIERS and BILLIE JO GRANT and the members of the plaintiff class have been and continue to be subjected by defendants to the conditions of confinement and policies and practices of defendants complained of herein.
- 53. As a proximate result of the policies, practices, acts, and omissions of defendants complained of herein, plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer serious physical, psychological, and emotional injuries.

//

LEGAL CLAIMS

54. For plaintiffs' claims, each enumerated below, they reallege paragraphs 1 through 53 above, as if fully set forth herein, in each and every statement of claim, and further allege:

FIRST CLAIM

55. Defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and specifically defendants' subjection of plaintiffs to the cruel, unconscionable and illegal conditions of confinement in the Androscoggin County jail, subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law and to cruel and unusual punishments, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; and violate plaintiffs' rights under the Maine statutory sections cited herein.

SECOND CLAIM

56. Defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and specifically defendants' secure confinement and detention in the Androscoggin County jail of status offenders and other juveniles presenting no danger to themselves or others, violate plaintiffs' rights under the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 5633(12); subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law and to cruel and unusual punishments, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; and violate plaintiffs' rights under the Maine statutory sections cited herein.

THIRD CLAIM

57. Defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and specifically defendants' detention and confinement of juveniles in the Androscoggin County jail without adequate separation from adult

Offenders, violate plaintiffs' rights under the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 5633(13); subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law and to cruel and unusual punishments, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; and violate plaintiffs' rights under the Maine statutory sections cited herein.

FOURTH CLAIM

58. Defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and specifically defendants' failure and refusal to provide and utilize appropriate community-based alternatives to placement of juveniles in the Androscoggin County jail, violate plaintiffs' rights under the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Sections 5633(12) and 5603(1); subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law and to cruel and unusual punishments, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; and violate plaintiffs' rights under the Maine statutory sections cited herein.

NO ADEQUATE REMEDY AT LAW

59. As a proximate result of the defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and the conditions and circumstances described herein to which plaintiffs are subjected, plaintiffs have suffered, do suffer, and will continue to suffer immediate and irreparable injury. Plaintiffs have no plain, adequate, or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs described herein. Plaintiffs will continue to be irreparably injured by the policies, practices, acts and omissions of the defendants unless this Court grants the injunctive relief which plaintiffs seek.

//

26

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray that this Court:

- A. Assume jurisdiction of this action;
- B. Issue an order certifying this action to proceed as a class action pursuant to Rule 23(a), (b)(1) and (b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- C. Issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 2201 and 2202, and Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that the policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein:
- (1) violate plaintiffs' rights under the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 5601 et seq.;
- (2) subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution;
- (3) subject plaintiffs to cruel and unusual punishment, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution;
- (4) violate plaintiffs' statutory rights under the Maine Revised Annotated Code, as indicated above.
- D. Issue preliminary and permanent injunctions prohibiting the defendants from confining plaintiffs in the Androscoggin County jail, or, in the alternative, issue preliminary and permanent injunctions sufficient to rectify the unconstitutional acts and omissions and statutory violations alleged herein, as follows:
- (1) Restraining and prohibiting the defendants from failing to provide plaintiffs with any of the following during their periods of confinement:

- (a) a clean, sanitary, well-maintained environment;
- (b) an adequate classification system;
- (c) adequate medical and psychological screening and examination, and adequate regular medical and mental health services;
 - (d) regular opportunities for exercise and recreation;
 - (e) programming and other activities;
 - (f) an adequate educational program;
 - (g) adequately trained jail personnel;
 - (h) adequately qualified jail personnel;
 - (i) adequate supervision of jail personnel;
 - (j) adequate monitoring;
 - (k) complete separation from adult inmates;
- (1) adequate rules and regulations for the proper handling and treatment of plaintiffs.
- (2) Restraining and prohibiting defendants from confining or detaining in the Androscoggin County jail or in any other secure facility any juvenile who is charged with or who has committed an offense which would not be criminal if committed by an adult, where such offense does not constitute a violation of a valid court order.
- (3) Restraining and prohibiting all defendants from failing to provide and utilize appropriate community-based alternatives to placement of juveniles in the Androscoggin County jail.
- E. Issue preliminary and permanent injunctions restraining and prohibiting all defendants from transferring any plaintiff to any other jail or any other facility where there exist the conditions complained of herein.

- F. Order the defendants to develop and implement a comprehensive plan for the correction of the unlawful policies, practices, acts and omissions complainted of herein, and to submit said plan to the Court and to the attorneys for plaintiffs for review.
- G. Appoint a Special Master to review and insure implementation of the plan submitted by defendants and to protect the rights of plaintiffs during the pendency of this action.
- H. Retain jurisdiction over the defendants until such time as the Court is satisfied that their unlawful policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein no longer exist and will not recur.
- I. For the injuries to plaintiff JON DESROSIERS, award general damages against each of the defendants, except defendants SCALES, BELIVEAU, and KRAVCHUCK, in the amount of \$200,000, or such damages as may be proven at trial.
- J. For the injuries to plaintiff JON DESROSIERS, award special damages against each of the defendants, except defendants SCALES, BELIVEAU, and KRAVCHUCK, in such amount as may be proven at trial.
- K. For the injuries to plaintiff JON DESROSIERS, award punitive damages against defendants GAGNON, BOYCE, DOUGHTERY, and JACQUES in the amount of \$500,000.
- L. For the injuries to plaintiff BILLIE JO GRANT, award general damages against each of the defendants, in the amount of \$50,000, or such damages as may be proven at trial.
- M. For the injuries to plaintiff BILLIE JO GRANT, award special damages against each of the defendants, in such amount as may be proven at trial.

N. For the injuries to plaintiff BILLIE JO GRANT, award punitive damages against defendants GAGNON, BOYCE, DOUGHTERY, and JACQUES, in the amount of \$10,000.

O. Award plaintiffs the cost of this proceeding, attorneys' fees, and such other and further relief as to this Court seems just and proper.

Dated this ____ day of July, 1985.

ANDREW CHOATE 145 Lisbon Lewiston, Maine 04240 (207) 784-8099

MARK I. SOLER CAROLE SHAUFFER YOUTH LAW CENTER 1663 Mission Street, 5th floor San Francisco, CA 94103 (415) 543-3379

Attorneys for Plaintiffs