UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

ALEEHA DUDLEY, . Case No. 1:14-cv-038

Plaintiff, . Terms of Settelement

- v -

. Friday, January 15, 2016

MIAMI UNIVERSITY, et al., . 4:35 PM

Defendants. . Cincinnati, Ohio

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE TIMOTHY S. BLACK, JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

DANIEL F. GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.

Brown, Goldstein & Levy, LLP

120 East Baltimore Street
Suite 1700

KERSTIN SJOBERG-WITT, ESQ.
Ohio Disability Rights Law
and Policy Center, Inc.
50 West Broad Street

Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Suite 1400 Columbus, Ohio 43215-5923

RUTH COLKER, ESQ. 2697 Wickliffe Road Upper Arlington, Ohio 43221

For the Intervenor Plaintiff United States of America:

N.W. - NYA

Washington, D.C. 20530

PEARLINE M. HONG, ESQ.

NABINA SINHA, ESQ.
United States Attorney's Office
U.S. Department of Justice 221 East Fourth Street, Suite 400
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

```
1
    APPEARANCES (Continued):
 2
    For the Defendants:
    MITCHELL D. McCRATE, ESQ. DANIEL J. BUCKLEY, ESQ.
 3
    Deputy General Counsel
                                   ELIZABETH THYM SMITH, ESQ.
    Miami University
                                   ERIN DWYER FRENCH, ESQ.
 4
    205 Roudebush Hall
                                   Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease LLP
 5
    Oxford, Ohio 45056
                                    301 East Fourth Street
                                    Suite 3500, Great American Tower
                                    Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
 6
 7
    Court Reporter:
                          Luke T. Lavin, RDR, CRR
                          Potter Stewart U.S. Courthouse
 8
                          100 East Fifth Street, Room 103
                          Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
                          Telephone: (513) 564-7500
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 PROCEEDINGS (In Hogan-Porter Lawyers' Lounge at 4:35 PM. Present: 2 Ms. Hong, Mr. Horwitz, Ms. Sinha, Mr. Buckley, Ms. French, Mr. 3 McCrate and Ms. Smith.) 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Will you help Luke when he's ready in terms of indicating who is here on behalf of the 6 7 United States, name and title. 8 MS. HONG: Pearline Hong on behalf of the United States. 9 10 MR. HORWITZ: Matthew Horwitz on behalf of the United States. 11 12 MS. SINHA: Nabina Sinha on behalf of the United States. 13 14 THE COURT: Do you need the spelling? 15 THE REPORTER: No, I'm good. Thank you. THE COURT: 16 Okay. 17 And on behalf of the University? MR. McCRATE: Mitchell McCrate, Deputy General Counsel 18 19 for Miami. MR. BUCKLEY: Daniel Buckley, Vorys Sater, for Miami. 20 MS. SMITH: Elizabeth Smith from the Vorys firm for 21 22 Miami. MS. FRENCH: Erin French, Vorys, Miami. 23 24 THE COURT: All right. We have gathered in the presence of the court reporter at the end of the day. 25

1 been engaged in settlement negotiations all day on the matter of Dudley versus Miami University. We're now going to 2 memorialize what status is as to the University and the 3 government. 4 5 Who wishes to be heard? MS. HONG: Your Honor, we've reached agreement to 6 7 report back to you by February 1st on the progress of our 8 settlement discussions. 9 THE COURT: Is that what you all wanted to 10 memorialize? 11 MS. SMITH: Yes. 12 MR. McCRATE: That's correct. THE COURT: Is there anything further? 13 14 MR. BUCKLEY: No. 15 THE COURT: Are you prepared to respond to my inquiry, have you made substantial progress and are you feeling good 16 17 about completing the agreement? 18 MS. HONG: We have made substantial progress, and we 19 hope that we are close to agreement or that we will be at 2.0 agreement very soon. THE COURT: And on behalf of the University? 21 22 MR. McCRATE: That is correct, Your Honor. We've made substantial progress, and we hope to be able to report to you 23 soon that we've reached an agreement. 24

THE COURT: Very well.

25

```
Thank you, Luke.
 1
             THE REPORTER: You're welcome, Judge.
 2
             THE COURT: We're going to go memorialize something in
 3
    the other room.
 4
        (Hogan-Porter Lawyers' Lounge proceedings concluded at 4:37
 5
    PM.)
 6
 7
        (In chambers at 4:40 PM. Present: Ms. Colker, Ms.
 8
    Sjoberg-Witt, Mr. Goldstein, Mr. McCrate, Mr. Buckley, Ms.
    French and Ms. Smith.)
 9
10
             THE COURT: Are you ready to go on the record?
                             (Nods head up and down.)
11
             THE REPORTER:
12
             THE COURT: Before we do, would you help the court
13
               They have entered their appearances. Would you tell
    reporter.
    the court reporter your name and role and title.
14
15
             MS. SJOBERG-WITT: Kerstin Sjoberg-Witt, spelled
    K-e-r-s-t-i-n, last name S-j-o-b-e-r-g, hyphen, W-i-t-t. I
16
17
    represent plaintiff Aleeha Dudley, and I'm going to speak first
    about --
18
19
                         No, not yet.
             THE COURT:
20
             MR. GOLDSTEIN: Daniel Goldstein of the law firm of
    Brown, Goldstein and Levy on behalf of Aleeha Dudley.
21
22
             MS. COLKER: Ruth Colker, that's C-o-l-k-e-r, and I
    represent Aleeha Dudley.
23
24
             THE REPORTER:
                            Thank you.
25
             THE COURT: All right. We're on the record in
```

chambers in the matter of *Dudley versus Miami University*, et al. We have been engaged in settlement negotiations all day with the government, the University, and the plaintiff, and it is my sense that the parties have reached a comprehensive settlement in principle. The substance of the consent decree with the government needs to be massaged and finished.

Contingent upon the decree being fully achieved, the plaintiff and the University have reached a settlement that the lawyers are going to state the gist of on the record today.

Is that the plaintiff's understanding?

MS. SJOBERG-WITT: It is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the University as well?

MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let's hear the gist of the settlement agreement. I understand plaintiff's counsel is going to speak to some of the language in the consent decree, and then defense counsel is going to reflect the gist of the substantive agreement beyond the settlement -- beyond the consent decree.

MR. BUCKLEY: That's correct.

MS. SJOBERG-WITT: Yes.

THE COURT: Very well.

MS. SJOBERG-WITT: So we made some agreements today relating to Ms. Dudley's role in the consent decree and some language changes pertinent to that.

So working off of a draft that was shared to us by Miami in 1 early January, I think on January 6 --2 3 MS. FRENCH: Correct. MS. SJOBERG-WITT: -- we agreed to accept changes in 4 5 paragraph 1 that were redlined by Miami. We agreed to remove language in paragraph 2 starting with 6 7 "In her Complaint" continuing to the end of that paragraph, in 8 exchange for Miami removing all of paragraph 3 that they had inserted in that draft. 9 10 Then moving to paragraph 17, we agreed to the language "the United States, Miami and Ms. Dudley, in parentheses, as to 11 12 those provisions of this decree that apply to former students." And to paragraph, it should be now, 66, "Dudley agree 13 that" -- oh, sorry. 14 15 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Close paren. 16 MS. SJOBERG-WITT: "Close paren." 17 "Dudley agree that it is in their interest, the United 18 States believes that it is in the public interest to resolve this lawsuit without further litigation, " and so on. 19 "According to the United States, Dudley and Miami, open paren, 20 the parties, close paren, agree to the entry of this consent 21 22 decree." We agree to the additional language that was added by Miami 23 24 about only the United States having the power to seek

enforcement.

25

```
1
        The rest of the paragraph as was proposed in the redline by
    Miami was acceptable to us as well.
 2
             MS. SMITH: You did not mention, in the previous
 3
    paragraph, the agreement to dismiss President Hodge.
 4
 5
             MS. SJOBERG-WITT: That's acceptable to us as well.
 6
    That was in paragraph --
 7
             MS. SMITH:
                        2.
             MS. SJOBERG-WITT:
 8
 9
             MS. SMITH:
                         Thank you.
10
             MS. SJOBERG-WITT: Yes, in paragraph 2 the dismissal
    of President Hodge is acceptable to plaintiff as well.
11
12
             MS. SMITH: And there may be some more drafting that
    needs to be done, but --
13
14
             MS. SJOBERG-WITT: And we'll review the substance when
15
    it happens.
16
             MS. SMITH:
                         Yes.
17
             MS. SJOBERG-WITT: Those were the key things we wanted
18
    to get.
19
        And the last key thing is in paragraph 66. We agreed that
    the -- we agreed to strike out "Dr. Hodge," but the remaining
20
    part should read: Miami and Dudley have entered into an
21
22
    additional agreement subject to the continuing jurisdiction of
    the Court until fulfilled, and to which the United States is
23
    not a party, that governs the payment of money to Dudley, other
24
    release applicable to Dudley, and the release of Dudley's
25
```

```
claims against Miami.
 1
             THE COURT: Very well. Have we memorialized the
 2
    changes in the drafts of the consent decree that address the
 3
    role of the plaintiff as a party to the consent decree without
 4
    any enforcement ability?
 5
        From the plaintiff's perspective, have we?
 6
 7
             MS. SJOBERG-WITT: I believe, as we just put on the
 8
    record, I think that has now been resolved.
 9
             THE COURT:
                         Is that yes?
10
             MS. SJOBERG-WITT:
                                Yes.
11
             MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: Very well.
        Mr. Buckley, you're going to flesh out the balance of the
13
14
    gist of the agreement?
15
             MR. BUCKLEY:
                           Yes.
                                  Thank you very much.
        As plaintiff's counsel referred to, there is an additional
16
17
    settlement agreement.
                           That settlement agreement is that the
18
    parties have agreed, previously agreed, on expungement
19
    language, and that will be incorporated specifically into the
    settlement agreement. The damages amount payable to Aleeha
2.0
    Dudley is a total of $260,000, 108 of which is allocable to
21
22
    tuition, fees and board payable as due, pursuant to a five-year
23
    undergraduate program --
24
             THE COURT: At?
25
             MR. BUCKLEY: To the extent Miami --
```

```
THE COURT: At?
                              Ohio State?
 1
             MR. BUCKLEY: I'm sorry. The Ohio State University.
 2
             THE COURT: Very well.
 3
             MR. BUCKLEY: All right.
 4
 5
        -- up to $50,000 of which -- and that is intended to be a
    cap -- Miami will pay to the extent that student loans have to
 6
 7
    be repaid to the federal government. The balance will be
    payable to Ms. Dudley, and it will be characterized, as it is,
 8
 9
    as pain and suffering.
10
        The parties have agreed to discuss a sharing -- sharing the
    risk of additional tuition and fee increases. The parties have
11
12
    agreed that Miami will pay attorneys' fees to Ms. Dudley's
    counsel in the amount of $240,000. All of this is contingent
13
    on the final consent decree, and Ms. Dudley has a right to
14
15
    review that decree.
        I think I've covered everything.
16
17
             MR. GOLDSTEIN:
                             I have --
18
             THE COURT: Very well. I would ask if Mr. Buckley has
19
    stated the gist of the agreement or if it needs to be
    supplemented.
2.0
        From plaintiff's perspective?
21
22
             MR. GOLDSTEIN:
                             I have one small amendment.
    260,000 payable to Ms. Dudley, and I would ask that -- I
23
24
    believe our agreement is that it's 260,000 that is either
    payable to Ms. Dudley or on her behalf.
25
```

```
MR. BUCKLEY: That is correct.
 1
             THE COURT: Very well. So has the gist of the
 2
 3
    settlement agreement been stated on the record both by
    plaintiff's counsel's reference to the changes in the consent
 4
 5
    decree and in Mr. Buckley's representation as to the gist of
    the separate settlement agreement?
 6
 7
        Plaintiff?
             MR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: University?
10
             MS. SMITH: (Nods head up and down.)
             MR. McCRATE: Yes, Your Honor.
11
12
             MR. BUCKLEY: Yes, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Very well. I think it's an extraordinary
13
    credit to you, all that you have achieved today.
14
                                                       I would
    propose to go off the record unless we need to put more on the
15
    record.
16
17
        Is there more to put on the record from the plaintiff's
18
    perspective?
19
        (Cellphone rings.)
20
             MS. COLKER: Sorry.
             MR. GOLDSTEIN: Not at this time, Your Honor.
21
22
             THE COURT:
                         The University's perspective?
23
             MR. BUCKLEY: No, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Very well. We're off the record.
24
        Thank you, Luke.
25
```

MR. BUCKLEY: I think the record should reflect the Court's hard work. MS. SJOBERG-WITT: Oh, absolutely. MR. BUCKLEY: Thank you very much. THE COURT: We're off the record. (Proceedings concluded at 4:51 PM.) CERTIFICATE I, Luke T. Lavin, RDR, CRR, the undersigned, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. s/Luke T. Lavin Luke T. Lavin Official Court Reporter