1	MICHAEL B. WHITING		
	APACHE COUNTY ATTORNEY		
2	Celeste Robertson		
3	Chief Deputy County Attorney		
	Bar ID #: 035588		
4	Apache County Attorney's Office		
ا ہ	P.O. Box 637		
5	St. Johns, AZ 85936		
6	Telephone: (928) 337-7560		
	groupmail@apachelaw.net		
7	Attorney for Non-Party Apache County		
8			
0	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE DISTRIC	CT OF ARIZONA	
10	Vothloon Hoffond	Cose No. 4.20 ov 00242 SUD	
11	Kathleen Hoffard,	Case No.: 4:20-cv-00243-SHR	
12	Plaintiff,	NON-PARTY APACHE	
	Tranitin,	COUNTY'S MOTION TO	
13		QUASH SUBPOENA	
14		QUILDII BUDI ULI III	
	Vs.		
15			
16			
	Cochise County, Arizona; Lisa Marra, in		
17	her official capacity as Director of Cochise	(Assigned to the Hon. Scott H. Rash)	
	County Elections Department	(Assigned to the 110th. Scott 11. Rush)	
18	County Elections Department		
19	Defendants.		
20	INTRODUCTION		
,,			
21	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(d)(3), Apache County, by an		
22	Tursuant to rederar Rule of Civil 11	occurre 45(d)(3), Apache County, by and	
	through undersigned counsel hereby respe	ctfully requests the Court enter an order	
23	through undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully requests the Court enter an orde		
24	quashing the subpoena for deposition served on Apache County Elections Director Angel		
-			
25	Romero on June 15, 2022.		

This Motion is made first and foremost because Apache County is not a party to this litigation, and as such, has nothing discoverable or pertinent to the case. Furthermore, given the timing of this request during an election year and the limited resources available to Apache County, submitting to a deposition would result in an undue burden to Apache County and the citizens it serves.

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

On June 15, 2022, Apache County Elections Director Angela Romero was served with a Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in a Civil Action in this matter. In addition to the Subpoena, a list of 64 deposition questions was provided to Apache County in the Notice of Deposition, asking for in-depth explanations of Apache County's election procedures and processes. Apache County hereby requests the Subpoena be quashed for the following reasons.

## LAW AND ARGUMENT

The Court should quash the subpoena served on Apache County in this case because it subjects the Apache County Elections Department to undue burden. "[T]he court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that [...] subjects a person to undue burden." Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d)(3)(A)(iv). In determining whether a subpoena would result in undue burden to a person, courts are required to "balance the interests served by demanding compliance with the subpoena against the interests furthered by quashing it." 9A Wright & Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure § 2463.1 (3d ed. 2019).

10

12

13

14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

In doing so, courts consider several factors, including the "relevance of the information requested" to the underlying litigation, and the "burden [that would be] imposed" by producing it. Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co., 392 F.3d 812, 818 (5th Cir. 2004). "The status of the subpoena recipient as a non-party is also a factor that can weigh against disclosure in the undue burden inquiry." Jordan v. Comm. Miss. Dept. of Corrections, 947 F.3d 1322, 1337 (11th Cir. 2020); see also Wiwa, 392 F.3d at 818 ("[I]f the person to whom the document request is made is a non-party, the court may also consider the expense and inconvenience to the non-party.").

A. The Court should quash the subpoena because the information requested from Apache County is not relevant to the underlying litigation.

In the Notice of Deposition served on Apache County, 64 questions are listed asking for detailed explanations of Apache County's elections procedures and processes. However, Apache County is not a party to this litigation and its election procedures are not at issue. The issue of whether curbside voting should be offered in Cochise County can and should be resolved without involving Apache County in this litigation.

There are slight variations in how each county operates its elections. Such variations are permitted under the Elections Procedures Manual issued by the Arizona Secretary of State. See 2019 Elections Procedures Manual, Arizona Department of State, Office of the Secretary of State, Election Services Division (Dec. 19, 2019). Specifically, as it relates to curbside voting, it's discretionary whether each county offers curbside voting. See id. at 105 ("Curbside voting may be made available as a reasonable accommodation as necessary to provide voters with disabilities equal access to the voting process.").

If a county does choose to offer curbside voting, the Elections Procedures Manual

whether to offer curbside voting, it is not discretionary how it is offered. Accordingly, it

is not relevant to this litigation whether Apache County offers curbside voting because

doing so is within each county's discretion. Further, it is not relevant to this litigation

how Apache County offers curbside voting because the step-by-step process is

specifically outlined in the Elections Procedure Manual. As such, the information

specifically outlines how it must be offered. *Id.* Therefore, while it is discretionary

B. The Court should quash the subpoena because demanding Apache County's compliance would result in undue burden.

requested from Apache County is wholly irrelevant and the subpoena should be quashed.

1314

12

16

15

1718

19

2021

2223

24

25

Even if the Court finds that the information requested from Apache County is relevant, the subpoena should be quashed because what marginal relevance it would have to the litigation is greatly outweighed by the burden it would place on Apache County. The subpoena was served on Apache County Elections Director Angela Romero on June 15, 2022. The deposition is proposed to occur on June 29, 2022. This is just one week before the voter registration deadline and the date on which early ballots are to be mailed. Apache County only has two employees working in its Elections Department. Those two employees are already working extra hours to ensure all election dates and deadlines are met. Furthermore, the number of COVID-19 cases has recently risen in Apache County. As a result, the Navajo Nation has reinstituted several COVID-19 protocols and restrictions. *See* COVID-19 Safe Practice Guidelines, Navajo Department of Health, ndoh.navajo-nsn.gov/COVID-19/COVID-19-Resources (May 11, 2022).

With approximately 70% of Apache County's population residing on the Navajo Nation, the Elections Department has the added responsibility this election cycle of incorporating those protocols and restrictions at its voting locations on the Navajo Nation. Because the Elections Department is already facing additional responsibilities, anything more at this point during the election year is an undue burden.

With only two employees, the Apache County Elections Department is greatly limited in both time and resources. Compiling information and writing answers to the 64 questions listed in the Notice of Deposition would take a substantial amount of time – time that the Elections Department simply does not have. To do so would require those two employees to divert their efforts from this year's election, and it would risk Apache County missing crucial deadlines. Director Romero and her staff member must prioritize their legal responsibilities in conducting this year's election. To do otherwise would be a disservice to Apache County and the citizens it serves, especially given that Apache County is not a party to this lawsuit.

Ultimately, the burden imposed by complying with the subpoena greatly outweighs any marginal relevance the requested information may have in this litigation. As such, complying with the subpoena would be an undue burden to Apache County and the subpoena should be quashed.

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing, Apache County respectfully requests the Court quash the subpoena served upon Elections Director Angela Romero. In the alternative, Apache County requests the deposition be rescheduled to a date and time after the 2022 General

Election to allow Director Romero and her staff member to fulfill their duties and responsibilities. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 23rd day of June, 2022. APACHE COUNTY ATTORNEY MICHAEL B. WHITING /s/Celeste Robertson\_ Celeste Robertson Chief Deputy County Attorney 

1 <u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>

2 I hereby certify that on June 23, 2022, I electronically transmitted the above 3 document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a 4 Notice of Electronic Filing, and sent a copy by email to the following: 5 Rose Daly-Rooney, AZ Bar #015690 6 Maya Abela, AZ Bar #027232 Tamaraingsey In, AZ Bar #035208 7 Meaghan Kramer, AZ Bar #029043 ARIZONA CENTER FOR DISABILITY LAW 8 5025 E. Washington Street, Suite 202 9 Phoenix, AZ 85034 (602) 274-6287 10 E-mail: rdalyrooney@azdisabilitylaw.org mabela@azdisabilitylaw.org 11 sin@azdisabilitylaw.org 12 mkramer@azdisabilitylaw.org Attorneys for Plaintiff Kathleen Hoffard 13 **Cochise County Attorney** 14 Christine J. Roberts Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney 15 Arizona Bar No. 033718 16 Paul Correa Civil Deputy County Attorney 17 Arizona Bar No. 017187 P.O. Drawer CA 18 Bisbee, AZ 85603 CVAttymeo@cochise.az.gov 19 Attorneys for Cochise County and Lisa 20 Marra, in her official capacity as Cochise County Elections Director 21 22

23

24

25

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

2		
3	Kathleen Hoffard,	Case No.: 4:20-cv-00243-SHR
4	,	
5	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER
6		QUASHING SUBPOENA FOR DEPOSITION OF NON-PARTY
7		APACHE COUNTY
8	vs.	
9		
10	Cochise County, Arizona; Lisa Marra, in	
11	her official capacity as Director of Cochise County Elections Department	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	The Court, having considered Apache County's Motion to Quash Subpoena,	
15	hereby <b>GRANTS</b> the Motion.	
16	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d)(3)(A)(iv) quashing	
17	the Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in a Civil Action previously served upon non-	
18	party Apache County Elections Director Angela Romero.	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20		
21		
22	Dated:	Scott H. Rash
23		United States District Court Judge District of Arizona
24		
25		