IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

ANDREW H. WARREN,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 4:22-cv-302-RH-MAF

v.

RON DESANTIS, individually and in his official capacity as Governor of the State of Florida,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF APPELLATE COURT ACTION

Plaintiff Andrew H. Warren, by undersigned counsel, respectfully provides this notice to the Court that yesterday evening he moved the Eleventh U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals to expedite issuance of its mandate in this matter. A copy of his motion is attached hereto.

Should this Court deem it necessary to receive any further information from the parties, we respectfully ask for a status conference to expeditiously discuss what if any information is needed and an expedited timeline on which it is to be provided.

Finally, Mr. Warren reiterates his desire to resume performing the duties of the office to which he was elected as soon as possible.

Dated: January 11, 2024 PERKINS COIE LLP

By: <u>/s/ Jean-Jacques Cabou</u>

Jean-Jacques Cabou (AZ #022835)*
Alexis E. Danneman (AZ #030478)*
Matthew R. Koerner (AZ #035018)*
Margo R. Casselman (AZ #034963)*
2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2000
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2788
JCabou@perkinscoie.com
ADanneman@perkinscoie.com
MKoerner@perkinscoie.com
MCasselman@perkinscoie.com

OLDER LUNDY KOCH & MARTINO

David B. Singer (FBN 72823) Matthew T. Newton (FBN 111679) 1000 West Cass Street Tampa, FL 33606 dsinger@olderlundylaw.com mnewton@solderlundylaw.com

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

David O'Neil* 801 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 383-8000 daoneil@debevoise.com

Samantha B. Singh (FBN 1036051) 919 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 sbsingh@debevoise.com

* Pro Hac Vice

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 11, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served upon all counsel of record via the Court's CM/ECF System for filing.

/s/ Indy Fitzgerald

Case 4:22-cv-00302-RH-MAF Document 167 Filed 01/11/24 Page 4 of 16 USCA11 Case: 23-10459 Document: 87 Date Filed: 01/10/2024 Page: 1 of 13

No. 23-10459

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

ANDREW WARREN, Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

RON DESANTIS, Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida No. 4:22-cv-302-RH-MAF

PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT'S MOTION TO EXPEDITE ISSUANCE OF MANDATE

David A. O'Neil DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON, LLP 801 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 383-8000 daoneil@debevoise.com

Samantha B. Singh Marisa L. Pagán-Figueroa Jane Tien DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON, LLP 66 Hudson Boulevard New York, NY 10001 (212) 909-6000 sbsingh@debevoise.com mlpaganfigueroa@debeovise.com jtien@debevoise.com Jean-Jacques Cabou David B. Singer Alexis E. Danneman Matthew T. Newton PERKINS COIE LLP OLDER LUNDY KOCH & 2901 N. Central Avenue, MARTINO **Suite 2000** 1000 W. Cass St. Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Tampa, FL 33606 (602) 351-8000 (813) 254-8998 JCabou@perkinscoie.com dsinger@olderlundylaw.com ADanneman@perkinscoie.com mnewton@olderlundylaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellant Andrew Warren

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS

Plaintiff-Appellant certifies that the following is a complete list of interested persons as required by Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1 and Eleventh Circuit Rule 26.1:

- 1. Aaron, Jeffrey M., Attorney for Defendant-Appellee
- 2. Allen, Norton & Blue, P.A., Attorneys for Amicus Curiae
- 3. Araiza, William, *Amicus Curiae*
- 4. Bailey, Andrew, Amicus Curiae
- 5. Cabou, Jean-Jacques, Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant
- 6. Calvert, Clay, *Amicus Curiae*
- 7. Cameron, Daniel, Amicus Curiae
- 8. Carr, Christopher M., *Amicus Curiae*
- 9. Casselman, Margo R., Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant
- 10. Chemerinsky, Erwin, Amicus Curiae
- 11. Christmas, Natalie, Attorney for Defendant-Appellee
- 12. Costello, David M., Attorney for Defendant-Appellee
- 13. Danneman, Alexis, Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant
- 14. Debevoise & Plimpton, LLP, Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellant
- 15. DeSantis, Ron, Defendant-Appellee
- 16. DeSousa, Jeffrey P., Attorney for Defendant-Appellee

- 17. Evans, Robert Wayne, Attorney for Amicus Curiae
- 18. Fitch, Lynn, Amicus Curiae
- 19. Florida Office of the Attorney General, *Attorneys for Defendant- Appellee*
- 20. Florida Police Chiefs Association, *Amicus Curiae*
- 21. Florida Prosecuting Attorneys Association, Amicus Curiae
- 22. Florida Sheriffs Association, Amicus Curiae
- 23. Flowers, Benjamin M., Amicus Curiae
- 24. Gilles, Susan M., Amicus Curiae
- 25. Gray Robinson, P.A., Attorneys for Defendant-Appellee
- 26. Griffin, Tim, *Amicus Curiae*
- 27. Grouev, Zachary, Attorney for Defendant-Appellee
- 28. Hilgers, Michael T., Amicus Curiae
- 29. Hinkle, Robert L., District Court Judge
- 30. Hufford, Axel J., Attorney for Amicus Curiae
- 31. Jackley, Marty, Amicus Curiae
- 32. Jacobs, Arthur Ivan, Attorney for Amicus Curiae
- 33. Jenner & Block LLP, Attorneys for Amicus Curiae
- 34. Keller, Zachery P., Amicus Curiae
- 35. Knudsen, Austin, Amicus Curiae

- 36. Kobil, Daniel T., Amicus Curiae
- 37. Lagos, Benjamin M., Attorney for Amicus Curiae
- 38. Lakier, Genevieve, Amicus Curiae
- 39. Landry, Jeff, Amicus Curiae
- 40. Levesque, George T., Attorney for Defendant-Appellee
- 41. Li, Yao, Attorney for Amicus Curiae
- 42. Lopez, Susan, *Interested party*
- 43. Marsey, John David, Attorney for Amicus Curiae
- 44. Marshall, Steve, Amicus Curiae
- 45. Massaro, Toni M., Amicus Curiae
- 46. Moody, Ashley, Attorney for Defendant-Appellee
- 47. Morrisey, Patrick, Amicus Curiae
- 48. Newton, Matthew T., Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant
- 49. Niehoff, Leonard M., Amicus Curiae
- 50. Older, Lundy, Koch & Martino, Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellant
- 51. O'Neil, David A., Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant
- 52. Pagan-Figueroa, Marisa L., Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant
- 53. Paxton, Ken, Amicus Curiae
- 54. Percival, James H., Attorney for Defendant-Appellee
- 55. Perkins Coie LLP, Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellant

- 56. Public Rights Project, Amicus Curiae
- 57. Reyes, Sean D., Amicus Curiae
- 58. Rosenthal, Joshua, Attorney for Amicus Curiae
- 59. Rumberger Kirk & Caldwell, P.A., Attorney for Amicus Curiae
- 60. Schenck, Robert S., Attorney for Defendant-Appellee
- 61. Singer, David B., Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant
- 62. Singh, Samantha B., Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant
- 63. Tien, Jane, Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant
- 64. Torres-Spelliscy, Ciara, Amicus Curiae
- 65. Turret, Erica S., Attorney for Amicus Curiae
- 66. Unikowsky, Adam G., Attorney for Amicus Curiae
- 67. Warren, Andrew, Plaintiff-Appellant
- 68. Whitaker, Henry C., Attorney for Defendant-Appellee
- 69. Wilson, Alan, Amicus Curiae
- 70. Wynbrandt, Kathryn L., Attorney for Amici
- 71. Yost, Dave, Amicus Curiae

Case 4:22-cv-00302-RH-MAF Document 167 Filed 01/11/24 Page 9 of 16 USCA11 Case: 23-10459 Document: 87 Date Filed: 01/10/26/24rrepage/DeSantis

Docket No. 23-10459

No publicly traded company or corporation has an interest in the outcome of this case or appeal.

Dated: January 10, 2024 DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

/s/ David A. O'Neil

David A. O'Neil 801 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 383-8000 daoneil@debevoise.com

Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellant Andrew Warren Case 4:22-cv-00302-RH-MAF Document 167 Filed 01/11/24 Page 10 of 16 USCA11 Case: 23-10459 Document: 87 Date Filed: 01/10/2024 Page: 7 of 13

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| MOTION TO EXPEDITE ISSUANCE OF MANDATE | 1 |
|----------------------------------------|---|
| | |
| ARGUMENT | 1 |
| | |
| CONCLUSION | 3 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

| Duke v. Cleland, 954 F.2d 1526 (11th Cir. 1992) 3 Hand v. Scott, 888 F.3d 1206 (11th Cir. 2018) 2 Jones v. DeSantis, No. 19-14551-GG (11th Cir. Dec. 5, 2019) 2 Other Authorities 1 11th Cir. R. 41-2 1 Fed. R. App. P. 40 1 Fed. R. App. P. 41 1 | Brown v. Sec'y of State, 668 F.3d 1271 (11th Cir. 2012) | 2, 3 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Jones v. DeSantis, No. 19-14551-GG (11th Cir. Dec. 5, 2019) | Duke v. Cleland, 954 F.2d 1526 (11th Cir. 1992) | 3 |
| Other Authorities 11th Cir. R. 41-2 Fed. R. App. P. 40 | Hand v. Scott, 888 F.3d 1206 (11th Cir. 2018) | 2 |
| 11th Cir. R. 41-2 | Jones v. DeSantis, No. 19-14551-GG (11th Cir. Dec. 5, 2019) | 2 |
| Fed. R. App. P. 40 | Other Authorities | |
| | 11th Cir. R. 41-2 | 1 |
| Fed. R. App. P. 41 | Fed. R. App. P. 40 | 1 |
| | Fed. R. App. P. 41 | 1 |

MOTION TO EXPEDITE ISSUANCE OF MANDATE

For the reasons set for in this Motion, Plaintiff-Appellant, Andrew H. Warren, moves pursuant to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 40 and 41, and 11th Cir. R. 41-2, for the expedited issuance of mandate to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida to implement this Court's Opinion, dated January 10, 2024, vacating the District Court's January 20, 2023 order and remanding for further consideration. *See* Dkt. 81.

ARGUMENT

According to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 41(a)(1), "[t]he court's mandate must issue 7 days after the time to file a petition for rehearing expires, or 7 days after entry of an order denying a timely petition for panel rehearing, petition for rehearing *en banc*, or motion for stay of mandate, whichever is later." A petition for rehearing or rehearing *en banc* generally must be filed within 14 days. See Fed. R. of App. P. 40(a)(1).

The Court may, in its discretion, shorten the time for seeking rehearing and for the issuance of the mandate to the District Court. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1); 41(b)(1); *see also* 11th Cir. R. 41-2. This case presents extraordinarily compelling reasons for such relief.

Time is of the essence in resolving this dispute. As a result of Governor DeSantis's illegal suspension of Mr. Warren, the voters of Hillsborough County

Case 4:22-cv-00302-RH-MAF Document 167 Filed 01/11/24 Page 13 of 16 USCA11 Case: 23-10459 Document: 87 Date Filed: 01/10/2024 Page: 10 of 13

have been deprived of the official whom they selected as State Attorney. An election involving millions of Floridians has been nullified. Mr. Warren, meanwhile, has been unable to serve in his post. One year remains in Mr. Warren's term, and it should not be consumed by unnecessary delays in legal proceedings.

The urgency is all the greater now because resolution of this case will also impact the next election for State Attorney later this year. Mr. Warren's decision about whether to run for reelection as State Attorney depends in significant part on the outcome of this litigation. He announced mere days ago that he would not seek reelection because of the possibility that, until his suspension is invalidated, Governor DeSantis would simply suspend him again on the same grounds.

This Court has previously acted expeditiously to uphold election integrity and to protect the democratic process. *See, e.g., Jones v. DeSantis*, No. 19-14551-GG (11th Cir. Dec. 5, 2019), Dkt. 44, 51 (granting expedited appeal where the state legislature overturned the will of the voters by imposing conditions on restoring felons' right to vote subsequent to the passing of a ballot referendum restoring the right); *see also, Hand v. Scott*, 888 F.3d 1206, 1215 (11th Cir. 2018) (ordering accelerated briefing schedule and oral argument so that the matter of convicted felons' voting rights could be "resolved quickly" by the Court); *Brown v. Sec'y of State*, 668 F.3d 1271, 1274 (11th Cir. 2012) (expediting oral argument and

Case 4:22-cv-00302-RH-MAF Document 167 Filed 01/11/24 Page 14 of 16

Document: 87 Date Filed: 01/10/2024 USCA11 Case: 23-10459 Page: 11 of 13

review of a challenge to a ballot initiative proposing standards to be used in the

congressional redistricting process); Duke v. Cleland, 954 F.2d 1526, 1528 (11th

Cir. 1992) (expediting appeal where appellant alleged a First Amendment violation

when his name was excluded from the Georgia republican presidential primary

ballot).

CONCLUSION

Mr. Warren has acted with all deliberate speed in these proceedings, moving

successfully to expedite both the trial in the District Court and this appeal. This

case should return to the District Court as quickly as possible so that the parties can

conclusively resolve this dispute. Mr. Warren therefore respectfully requests that

the Court expedite issuance of the mandate by shortening both the window for

filing a petition for rehearing, or rehearing en banc, and the time for the issuance of

the mandate following the expiration of that window.

Dated: January 10, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

/s/ David A. O'Neil

David A. O'Neil

801 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 500

Washington, D.C. 20004

(202) 383-8000

daoneil@debevoise.com

Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellant

Andrew Warren

3

Case 4:22-cv-00302-RH-MAF Document 167 Filed 01/11/24 Page 15 of 16 Document: 87 Date Filed: 01/10/2024 USCA11 Case: 23-10459 Page: 12 of 13

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that:

This brief complies with the type-volume limitation of Rule 1.

27(d)(2)(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure because it contains

approximately 606 words, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by Federal

Rule of Appellate Procedure 32(f).

This document complies with the typeface requirements of Fed. R. 2.

App. P. 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(6)

because this document has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using

14-point Times New Roman font.

Date: January 10, 2024

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

/s/ David A. O'Neil

David A. O'Neil

801 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 500

Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 383-8000

daoneil@debevoise.com

Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellant Andrew Warren

4

Case 4:22-cv-00302-RH-MAF Document 167 Filed 01/11/24 Page 16 of 16 USCA11 Case: 23-10459 Document: 87 Date Filed: 01/10/2024 Page: 13 of 13

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 10, 2024, the foregoing document was filed

with the Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit via

the Court's electronic filing system and served on all counsel of record via

CM/ECF.

Date: January 10, 2024

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

/s/ David A. O'Neil

David A. O'Neil

801 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 383-8000 daoneil@debevoise.com

Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellant Andrew Warren

5