UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT CIVIL APPEAL STATEMENT OF PARTIES AND ISSUES

Case No: 23-1769	Case Manager: Sharday Swain
Case Name: Christian Healthcare	Centers, Inc. v. Dana Nessel, et al.
Is this case a cross appeal? Has this case or a related one bee If yes, state: Case Name: Was that case mediated through	before this court previously? Yes No
Please Identify the Parties Aga Propose to Raise:	nst Whom this Appeal is Being Taken and the Specific Issues You
Michigan's Attorney General, Jol Rights, and individual members official capacities. The ministry fand employment laws because the association, free exercise, and frunwelcome Clause of Michigan's Amendment rights to be free from ministry appeals the District Coujudgment: (1) the March 29, 2023 denying the ministry's preliminal Order (Doc. 45) denying the minifor reconsideration. The ministry will argue that the Exercise reconsideration, and denying its claims. The ministry will also arguments as applied to the	stian Healthcare Centers, Inc. ("the ministry") against Dana Nessel as in E. Johnson, Jr. as Executive Director of the Michigan Department of Civil if the Michigan Civil Rights Commission (collectively, "Michigan"), all in their ed a pre-enforcement action challenging Michigan's public-accommodation by violate the ministry's First Amendment rights to free speech, expressive edom from the establishment of religion. The ministry also challenged the public-accommodation laws because it violated the ministry's Fourteenth laws that are vague, overbroad, and grant officials unbridled discretion. The t's Final Judgment (Doc. 29) as to the following orders related to that Opinion and Order (Doc. 28) granting Michigan's motion to dismiss and injunction motion and (2) the August 22, 2023, Memorandum Opinion and try's motion for reconsideration and motion for leave to supplement its motion strict Court erred in dismissing its suit, denying its motion for notion to supplement because the ministry has standing and presents ripe that Michigan's public-accommodation and employment laws violate the eministry's use of pronouns, prescription of cross-sex hormones, and practices related to those topics. And the ministry will argue that the sthe Fourteenth Amendment.
This is to certify that a copy of this statement was served on opposing counsel of record this <u>6th</u> day of	
September, 202	John J. Bursch
,	Name of Counsel for Appellant