IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

ROBERT EARL COUNCIL, et al.,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 2:23-cv-00712-CLM-JTA
KAY IVEY, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

DEFENDANT PROGRESSIVE FINISHES INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE COMPLAINT

Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant Progressive Finishes, Inc. ("Progressive Finishes") respectfully moves for dismissal of all claims made against Progressive Finishes in Plaintiffs' Complaint. As explained in the contemporaneously filed Defendant Progressive Finishes, Inc.'s Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss, this Motion should be granted for the following reasons:

- 1. Count I of the Plaintiffs' Complaint should be dismissed because:
- A. 18 U.S.C. §1589, which is the only statute cited by Plaintiffs under Count I of the Complaint, does not provide for a private cause of action;
- B. To the extent that Plaintiffs' claim in Count I is based on 18U.S.C.A. § 1595, Plaintiffs fail to allege that Progressive Finishes participated

in a venture, which venture Progressive Finishes knew or should have known has engaged in an act in violation of 18 U.S.C.A. § 1589;

- C. Plaintiffs' claims under Count I should be dismissed as to Plaintiffs Moore, Walker, Cole, Pritchett, English, and Cartwright, USSW, and RWDSU because Plaintiffs fail to allege that Progressive Finishes had any actual or constructive knowledge that any venture violated 18 U.S.C.A. § 1589 as to those Plaintiffs; and
- D. 18 U.S.C.A. § 1589 and 18 U.S.C.A. § 1595 are unconstitutional as applied in the present case.
- 2. Count II of the Plaintiffs' Complaint should be dismissed because:
- A. Plaintiffs fail to allege that Progressive Finishes committed an underlying predicate racketeering offense, let alone a pattern of such underlying predicate racketeering offenses; and
- B. Plaintiffs, who never worked at Progressive Finishes and are unrelated to Progressive Finishes, have failed to allege proximate cause.
- 3. Count III of Plaintiffs' Complaint should be dismissed because Article I, Section 32, of the Constitution of the State of Alabama, does not provide for a private cause of action.
 - 4. Count XI of Plaintiffs' Complaint should be dismissed because:

- A. Plaintiffs have failed to properly allege the underlying conspiracy under 42 U.S.C. §1985 due to the intracorporate conspiracy doctrine;
- B. Plaintiffs have failed to allege that Progressive Finishes had any power to prevent any alleged 42 U.S.C. §1985 conspiracy;
- C. Plaintiffs have failed to properly allege that Progressive Finishes actually knew about any alleged wrongful conduct by the alleged coconspirators; and
 - D. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the statute of limitations.
- 5. Count XII of Plaintiffs' Complaint should be dismissed because Plaintiffs expressly allege the existence of contracts to hire the inmates pursuant to the work-release program, which extinguishes the unjust enrichment claim, and because Plaintiffs fail to properly allege that Progressive Finishes obtained any benefit from Plaintiffs unjustly.
- 6. All claims should be dismissed as to Plaintiffs USSW, SEIU, RWDU, MSD, and the Woods Foundation, who lack standing to bring suit against Progressive Finishes in the present case.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Progressive Finishes respectfully moves the Court to:

- A. Dismiss Plaintiffs' claims against Progressive Finishes under counts I, II, III, XI, and XII of Plaintiffs' Complaint; and
- B. Grant Progressive Finishes any other relief that the Court deems just and appropriate.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Josh C. Harrison

Josh C. Harrison (ASB-3775-o76h)
David Nikolic (ASB- 4548-J16J)
OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH,
SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.
420 20th Street North, Suite 1900
Birmingham, AL 35203
Telephone: (205) 328-1900
Facsimile: (205) 328-6000
josh.harrison@ogletreedeakins.com
david.nikolic@ogletreedeakins.com

Attorneys for Defendant Progressive Finishes, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 29th day of March, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will automatically send notification of such filing to the following:

Amanda C. Lynch
Barbara J. Chisholm
Connie K. Chan
Michael Rubin
ALTSHULER BERZON LLP
117 Post Street, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94108
Counsel for Plaintiffs

Janet M. Herold
JUSTICE CATALYST LAW
40 Rector Street, 9th Floor
New York, NY 10008
Counsel for Plaintiffs

Lauren E. Faraino FARAINO, LLC 2647 Rocky Ridge Lane Vestavia Hills, AL 35216 Counsel for Plaintiffs Richard P. Rouco QUINN, CONNOR, WEAVER, DAVIES & ROUCO Two North 20th Street, Suite 930 Birmingham, AL 35203 Counsel for Plaintiffs

Benjamin M. Seiss Brad A. Chynoweth Alabama Attorney General's Office 501 Washington Avenue Montgomery, AL 36104 Counsel for Defendants Kay Ivey and Steve Marshall Gary L. Willford, Jr.
Alabama Bureau of Pardons and
Paroles
Legal Division
301 S. Ripley Street
Montgomery, AL 36104
Counsel for Defendants Leigh
Gwathney, Darryl Littleton, and
Gabrelle Simmons

Cameron W. Elkins
Tara S. Hetzel
State of State of Alabama Office of the
Attorney General, Civil Division
501 Washington Avenue
Montgomery, AL 36104
Counsel for Defendant John Hamm

Steven A. Higgins
William F. Patty
Alabama Department of
Transportation
1409 Coliseum Blvd., Legal Bureau
Montgomery, AL 36110
Counsel for Defendant John Cooper

Robert D. Segall
Shannon L. Holliday
COPELAND FRANCO SCREWS & GILL
P.O. Box 347
Montgomery, AL 36101
Counsel for Defendant City of
Montgomery

Stacy L. Bellinger P.O. Box 1111 Montgomery, AL 36101 Counsel for Defendant City of Montgomery

Brad A. Everhardt
Randall Morgan
HILL, HILL, CARTER,
FRANCO, COLE & BLACK, P.C.
425 South Perry Street
P.O. Box 116
Montgomery, AL 36101
Counsel for Defendant City of Troy

Shawnna H. Smith
Office of the Jefferson County
Attorney
280 Jefferson County Courthouse
716 Richard Arrington Jr. Blvd.
North
Birmingham, AL 35203
Counsel for Jefferson County

Rachel V. Barlotta
Kayla M. Wunderlich
BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN,
CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, P.C.
1901 6th Avenue N., Suite 2600
Birmingham, AL 35203
Counsel for Defendant
Koch Foods, LLC

Kyle T. Smith
DENTONS SIROTE PC
Post Office Box 55727
Birmingham, AL 35255-5727
Counsel for Paramount Service, Inc.

Allison Hawkins
Michael L. Lucas
BURR & FORMAN LLP
420 North 20th Street, Suite 3400
Birmingham, AL 35203
Counsel for Defendants Ju-Young
Manufacturing America, Inc., SL
Alabama, LLC, and Hwaseung
Automotive USA, LLC

Morgan L. Allred HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP 1901 6th Avenue North, Suite 1400 Birmingham, AL 35203 Counsel for Defendant Premier Kings, Inc.

Melisa C. Zwilling

George R. Parker John T. Richie

CARR, ALLISON, OLIVER & SISSON, P.C.
100 Vestavia Parkway
Birmingham, AL 35216
Counsel for Defendant Southeast
Restaurant Group-Wen LLC

Aaron G. McLeod Jonathan B. Metz ADAMS AND REESE LLP 1901 6th Avenue North, Suite 1110 Birmingham, AL 35203 Counsel for Defendant Bama Budweiser of Montgomery, Inc. Chadwick Lamar
BRADLEY ARANT
BOULT CUMMINGS LLP
445 Dexter Ave., Suite 9075
Montgomery, AL 36104
Counsel for Defendant
Masonite Corporation

Taffiny S. Stewart
Elliot B. Monroe
Sarah G. Redmond
LLOYD, GRAY,
WHITEHEAD & MONROE, P.C.
880 Montclair Road, Suite 100
Birmingham, AL 35213
Counsel for Defendant
Southeastern Meats, Inc.

I further certify that, on March 29, 2024, I caused the foregoing to be served via U.S. mail to the *pro se* parties listed below, at the addresses listed below:

C.B.A.K., Inc. d/b/a McDonald's 1010 South McKenzie Street Foley, AL 36535 Pro se Cast Products, Inc. c/o Colby Medlen 18676 Jefferson Street Athens, AL 35614 *Pro se*

Pell City Kentucky Fried Chicken, Inc. 703 23rd Street North Pell City, AL 35125 *Pro se*

> /s/ Josh Harrison OF COUNSEL