

U. S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

MINUTE ENTRY

HEEBE, FREDERICK J. R., J.  
SEPTEMBER 12, 1966

SEP 13 1966

FILED

A. DALLAM O'BRIEN, JR.

CLERK *hm*

ROBERT HICKS, et al.

vs.

CA # 66-225

JESSE CUTRER, et al.

Pre-trial conference was this day held.

Appearances: *f*

for plaintiff: Robert Collins; Richard Sobol

for defendant: Robert T. Rester, City Att'y; John Gallaspy  
All the defendants, including the Mayor, the  
Public Safety Commissioner, the other members  
of the Commission Council, and the Police Chief,  
were also present.

Extensive discussion of this matter was held, including exploration  
of background facts and exposition of legal theories.

This case originally having been assigned to Division D of this  
Court, and that division now being vacant due to the elevation of  
our distinguished brother to the United States Court of Appeals for  
the Fifth Circuit, and this Court being convinced that this matter is  
of such a nature that a hearing should not await the confirmation of  
his successor,

IT IS ORDERED that this action be, and the same is hereby,  
TRANSFERRED to Division B.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for preliminary  
injunction be, and the same is hereby, SET FOR HEARING on Wednesday,  
October 5, 1966, at 1 P.M.

In the interest of limiting the amount of testimony necessary  
at the trial, counsel for both sides agreed to submit proposed  
stipulations of fact to opposing counsel before September 22, 1966,  
and to file the agreed-upon stipulations in the record of the case.

In the interest of avoiding surprise at the hearing, counsel  
for both sides agreed to furnish each other with an outline of the  
facts, not stipulated to, that counsel propose to attempt to prove  
by the submission of evidence at the hearing. Such outlines should  
be furnished by September 27, 1966.

Counsel for defendants will file an answer to the complaint  
before the weekend.

Memoranda in support of the contentions of the parties should  
be submitted to the Court by September 30, 1966, in order that the  
Court may study them during the weekend preceding the hearing; such  
memoranda must be submitted by October 3, 1966. The Court may, in  
the next few days, submit a list of questions or issues that it  
wishes the arguments and discussions in the memoranda to include.

Copies received  
minutes entered

*R.T. Rester*  
*J. Gallaspy*  
*R.B. Sobol*  
*R.F. Collins*

SEP 16 1966

FILE	
PROCESS	
X CHARGE	<i>gm</i>
INDEX	
ORDER	<i>hs</i>
HEARING	<i>my</i>
DOCUMENT NO.	<i>hm</i>



Sept. 12, 1966 - P. 2 - CA # 66-225

Counsel for defendants suggested to the Court the possibility that the ordinances in question might be amended prior to the hearing, to clarify those words or phrases which the conference revealed to be subject to varying interpretation, so as to properly express the intended limited reach of the ordinances. As such an amendment would appear to be in conformity with the past limited interpretation and implementation of the ordinance, and the plaintiffs apparently have no objection thereto, the Court will, at the hearing, consider the ordinances as amended, if they be so amended.

*Fredrick Q. R. Webb*