1	Thomas A. Saenz (State Bar No. 159430) Denise Hulett (State Bar No. 121553)		
2	Matthew J. Barragan (State Bar No. 283883)		
3	MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND		
4	634 S. Spring St., 11 <sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90014		
5	Telephone: (213) 629-2512		
6	Facsimile: (213) 629-0266 Email: tsaenz@maldef.org		
7	dhulett@maldef.org mbarragan@maldef.org		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	OSCAR LUNA, ALICIA PUENTES, DOROTHY VELASQUEZ, and GARY	Case No.:	
13	RODRIGUEZ,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND	
14	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATORY RELIEF	
15	vs.		
16	COUNTY OF KERN, KERN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, and		
17	MICK GLEASON, ZACK SCRIVNER, MIKE MAGGARD, DAVID COUCH,		
18	and LETICIA PEREZ, in their official capacity as members of the Kern County		
19	Board of Supervisors, and JOHN NILON, in his official capacity as Kern		
20	County Administrative Officer, and MARY B. BEDARD, in her official		
21	capacity as Kern County Registrar of Voters, inclusive,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
1			

#### **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. This Complaint challenges the 2011 redistricting plan of the Kern County Board of Supervisors because it unlawfully discriminates against Plaintiffs in violation of the federal Voting Rights Act, 52 U.S.C. § 10301. This action is filed on behalf of Latino citizens of Kern County whose right to vote has been abridged on the basis of race and national origin. The Kern County redistricting plan denies Latino voters an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice.
- 2. Latinos constitute nearly half of the population of Kern County, and are currently able to elect only one of five Board representatives, in the only district where Latinos comprise more than half of the citizen voting age population. The 2011 redistricting plan divides a second politically cohesive Latino community in the northern part of Kern County into two supervisorial districts, neither one of which has sufficient Latino population to enable Latino voters to elect a candidate of their choice.
- 3. Plaintiffs seek a declaration from this Court that the redistricting plan violates the Voting Rights Act, an injunction prohibiting Kern County from holding any further elections under this unlawful electoral system, and an order mandating a redistricting plan for the election of members to the Board of Supervisors that comports with the Voting Rights Act, 52 U.S.C § 10301, as well as with all other relevant constitutional and statutory requirements.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 because Plaintiffs seek relief under the Voting Rights Act, 52 U.S.C § 10301. Jurisdiction for Plaintiffs' claim for attorneys' fees, costs, expert witness fees and associated costs and related non-taxable costs is based on 52 U.S.C § 10310(e) and 28 U.S.C. § 1920.
- 5. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because relevant and substantial acts occurred and will continue to occur within the Eastern District of California.

#### **PARTIES**

**Plaintiffs** 

6.	Plaintiffs OSCAR LUNA, ALICIA PUENTES, DOROTHY VELASQUEZ, and
GARY ROI	ORIGUEZ are Latinos, registered voters, and residents of Kern County.

- 7. Oscar Luna is a Latino citizen of the United States and a resident and registered voter of Kern County, residing in Kern County Supervisorial District 1.
- 8. Alicia Puentes is a Latino citizen of the United States and a resident and registered voter of Kern County, residing in Kern County Supervisorial District 4.
- 9. Dorothy Velasquez is a Latino citizen of the United States and a resident and registered voter of Kern County, residing in Kern County Supervisorial District 1.
- 10. Gary Rodriguez is a Latino citizen of the United States and a resident and registered voter of Kern County, residing in Kern County Supervisorial District 1.

#### **Defendants**

- 11. Defendant KERN COUNTY is a political and geographical subdivision of the State of California established under the laws of the State of California, operating under the laws of the State of California and created for the provision of government services.
- 12. Defendant KERN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS is the County's legislative body and is responsible for establishing county policies and the overall administration of the Kern County government.
- 13. Defendants MICK GLEASON, ZACK SCRIVNER, MIKE MAGGARD, DAVID COUCH, and LETICIA PEREZ are members of the Board of Supervisors of Defendant Kern County. Each supervisor is sued in his or her official capacity only.
- 14. Defendant JOHN NILON is the County Administrative Officer for Defendant Kern County and is responsible for enforcing the rules, regulations, and policies and ordinances enacted by Defendant Board of Supervisors, and was responsible for supervising the redistricting map options and public workshops during the 2011 redistricting process undertaken by Defendant Board of Supervisors. Defendant Nilon is sued in his official capacity.
- 15. Defendant MARY B. BEDARD is the Registrar of Voters for Kern County, responsible for conducting county elections in Kern County. Defendant Bedard is sued in her

#### 

### 

## 

### 

# 

## 

## 

#### 

## 

# 

## 

## 

## 

### 

#### 

## 

## 

#### 

## 

# Demographics and Population of Kern County 16 According to the 2010 United States Census Ker

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS** 

- 16. According to the 2010 United States Census, Kern County had a total population of 839,631, of whom 49% were Latino, 4% were Asian, and 5% were African American. The Latino population had grown significantly in the decade prior to the 2011 redistricting, from 38% to 49% of the total population of Kern.
- 17. The growth of Kern County's Latino population is also reflected in its share of the eligible voting population, i.e., citizens over 18 years of age. The U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey ("ACS") 1-year estimate concludes that in 2010, Kern County had a total citizen voting age population ("CVAP") of 476,399, of whom 34% were Latino, a significant increase from the Latino CVAP share of 25% ten years earlier.
- 18. Kern County is divided into five supervisorial districts. Kern County's Latino residents are severely underrepresented on the Board of Supervisors. The only supervisorial district in Kern County to regularly elect a Latino in the last two decades is District 5, currently represented by Leticia Perez.

#### The 2011 Redistricting Plan

- 19. Defendant Kern County is required to redistrict its five supervisorial districts every 10 years in order to comply with applicable state and federal laws.
- 20. In 2011, the Board of Supervisors held three public hearings on the subject of county redistricting.
- 21. During public hearings, Latino community members submitted a geographically compact and equipopulous plan to Defendant Board of Supervisors that increased the number of districts in which Latinos would constitute a majority of the CVAP from one district to two districts.
- 22. Despite dramatic Latino population growth in the last two decades, and the demonstrable ability to add a second Latino CVAP majority district to reflect that growth,

Defendant Board of Supervisors adopted a plan that maintained only one Latino majority district

– District 5 – and fractured a large and geographically compact Latino community of eligible
voters between District 1 and District 4.

- 23. The 2011 redistricting plan went into effect for the 2012 primary and general elections.
- 24. The 2011 redistricting plan violates Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, 52 U.S.C § 10301, because it impermissibly dilutes the Latino vote in Kern County, allowing the non-Latino majority's bloc voting to defeat the candidates preferred by Latino voters, and deprives Latinos of an equal opportunity to participate in the political process and to elect candidates of their choice.

#### **Racially Polarized Voting in Kern County**

- 25. Elections in Kern County are polarized along racial lines. Polarized voting occurs when members of a protected class prefer candidate choices that are different from the rest of the electorate. Polarized voting occurs in Kern County elections because there is a significant difference in the candidates that are preferred by Latino voters and the candidates that are preferred by non-Latino voters.
- 26. Latino voters in Kern County are politically cohesive, manifested by the higher rates at which Latino voters express their preference for Latino candidates in racially contested elections.
- 27. Non-Latino voters typically vote sufficiently as a bloc to defeat the Latino voters' candidates of choice.
- 28. Racially polarized voting by Kern County voters also occurs in elections for countywide and statewide elective offices.
- 29. Because Latino voters and non-Latino voters express different preferences, Latino voters are unable to elect candidates of choice in supervisorial districts where Latinos do not comprise a majority of the CVAP.
  - 30. During the past two decades, voters in District 5, the sole Latino CVAP majority

31.

32.

33.

34.

35.

36.

discrimination against Latino voters.

effectively in the political process.

voters.

6

9

8

1011

12

1314

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

26

25

2728

VIOLATION OF SECTION 2 THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965

Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth

Supervisors to the particularized needs of the Latino residents of Kern County.

fairly reflected the Latino population growth during the prior decade are tenuous.

CVAP majority district – District 5 – in the past two decades.

- 37. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in all prior paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 38. Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, 52 U.S.C. § 10301, is applicable to Kern County.
  - 39. The Latino population in Kern County is sufficiently numerous and geographically

district, have consistently elected Latino candidates to the Board of Supervisors, including Pete Parra (1996-2004), Michael Rubio (2004-2010), and Leticia Perez (2012-present).

opportunity of Latino voters to elect representatives of their choice as compared to non-Latino

discrimination that includes voting practices or procedures that enhance the opportunity for

educational discrimination, effects that are apparent in the areas of education, employment,

housing, and health. Such discriminatory effects hinder Latino voters' ability to participate

Supervisors interacts with social and historical conditions to cause an inequality in the

The electoral districting scheme for the election of the Kern County Board of

Historically, Latinos in Kern County have been subjected to official voting-related

Latinos in Kern County bear the effects of longstanding societal, economic, and

No Latino candidate has won a supervisorial contest outside of the only Latino

There is, and has historically been, a lack of responsiveness on the part of County

The policies underlying Defendants' failure to enact a 2011 redistricting plan that

History and Effects of Discrimination in Kern County

# COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND

**DECLARATORY RELIEF** 

compact such that two properly apportioned electoral districts can be drawn in which Latinos would constitute a majority of the CVAP.

- 40. Racially polarized voting persists in elections of members to the Board of Supervisors. Non-Latino voters typically vote as a bloc to defeat the Latino voters' candidates of choice.
- 41. The 2011 redistricting plan results in a denial or abridgment of the right to vote of Plaintiffs on account of their race, color, or ethnicity, by having the effect of canceling out or minimizing their individual voting strength in County Board of Supervisor elections. The 2011 redistricting plan does not afford Plaintiffs an equal opportunity to participate in the political process and elect candidates of their choice equal to that afforded other members of the electorate, diluting Latino voting strength in violation of Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, 52 U.S.C. § 10301.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that this Court enter Judgment granting Plaintiffs:

- 1. A declaratory judgment that the redistricting plan violates the rights of Plaintiffs as secured by the Voting Rights Act, 52 U.S.C. § 10301;
- 2. Permanent injunctive relief preventing the Defendants and their officers, agents, and employees, successors in office and all other persons in active concert and participation with them, from conducting future elections for Kern County Board of Supervisors under the unlawful redistricting plan;
- 3. An Order of this Court adopting a redistricting plan for the election of members to the Board of Supervisors that comports with the Voting Rights Act, 52 U.S.C. § 10301, as well as all other relevant constitutional and statutory requirements;
- 4. The costs of this suit, including reasonable attorneys' fees, under 52 U.S.C § 10310 (e) and 28 U.S.C. 1920; and
  - 5. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

1	Dated: April 22, 2016	Respectfully submitted,
2		MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND
3		EDUCATION FUND
4 5		Watth Bara
6	·	Thomas A. Saenz  Denise Hulett  Matthew J. Barragan
7		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		