

1 Stacy Tolchin (CA SBN #217431)  
2 *Email: Stacy@Tolchinimmigration.com*  
3 Law Offices of Stacy Tolchin  
4 776 E. Green St., Suite 210  
Pasadena, CA 91101  
Telephone: (213) 622-7450  
Facsimile: (213) 622-7233

5 Khaled Alrabe (CA SBN #349899)  
6 *Email: khaled@nipnlg.org*  
7 National Immigration Project of the  
National Lawyers Guild (NIPNLG)  
1763 Columbia Road NW, Suite 175 #896645,  
Washington, DC 20009  
Telephone: (202) 470-2082  
Facsimile: (617) 227-5495

9 Counsel for Plaintiff  
10 *(continued on next page)*

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE**  
12 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 STUDENT DOE #2,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 KRISTI NOEM, in her official  
17 capacity as Secretary of Homeland  
18 Security; the DEPARTMENT OF  
19 HOMELAND SECURITY; and  
TODD LYONS, in his official  
capacity as Acting Director of U.S.  
Immigration and Customs  
Enforcement,

20 Defendants.  
21

Case No . \_\_\_\_\_

1 Anne Lai (CA SBN #295394)  
Email: *alai@law.uci.edu*  
2 UC Irvine School of Law  
401 E. Peltason Dr.  
3 Irvine, CA 92697-8000  
Telephone: (949) 824-9894  
4 Facsimile: (949) 824-2747

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

## **INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff Student Doe # 2 is a full-time international student in lawful F-1 status, enrolled at a college in Orange County, California. They are one of hundreds, if not more, F-1 students nationwide whose SEVIS record has been abruptly and unlawfully terminated by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) last week, effectively stripping them of their ability to remain a student in the United States.

2. The Student and Exchange Visitor Information Systems (SEVIS) is a government database that tracks international students' compliance with their F-1 status. ICE, through the Student and Exchange Visitor Program (SEVP), uses SEVIS to monitor student status. Following the revocation of their visa, SEVP terminated Plaintiff's SEVIS record and marked Plaintiff as "Otherwise Failing to Maintain Status" with a narrative citing deportability provisions under INA § 237(a)(1)(C)(i) [8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(1)(C)] (failure to maintain status) and INA 237(a)(4)(C)(i) [8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(4)(C)(i)] (foreign policy ground).

3. The termination of a SEVIS record effectively ends F-1 student status. Even when a visa is revoked, however, ICE is not authorized to terminate Plaintiff's student status. The grounds cited by ICE in the SEVIS termination do not provide legal authority to terminate Plaintiff's SEVIS record. An F-1 visa controls a student's entry into the country, not their continued lawful presence once admitted. Plaintiff was in full compliance with the terms of their F-1 status and

1 had not engaged in any conduct that would warrant termination of their status.

2 4. Rather, DHS's policy of unlawfully terminating SEVIS records based  
3 on visa revocations appears to be designed to coerce students, including Plaintiff,  
4 into abandoning their studies and "self-deporting" despite not violating their status.  
5 If ICE believes a student is deportable for having a revoked visa, it has the  
6 authority to initiate removal proceedings and make its case in court. It cannot,  
7 however, misuse SEVIS to circumvent the law, strip students of status, and drive  
8 them out of the country without process.

9 5. Over the past week, visa revocations and SEVIS terminations have  
10 shaken campuses across the country and California, including those in the  
11 University of California system and Stanford University.<sup>1</sup> On information and  
12 belief, this policy appears to be primarily targeting African, Arab, Middle Eastern,  
13 Muslim, and Asian students. The SEVIS terminations have taken place against the  
14

---

15 <sup>1</sup> See Binkley, Collin, Annie Ma, and Makiya Seminera, *Federal officials are*  
16 *quietly terminating the legal residency of some international college students*,  
Associated Press, April 4, 2025, [https://apnews.com/article/college-international-](https://apnews.com/article/college-international-student-f1-visa-ice-trump-7a1d186c06a5fdb2f64506dcf208105a)  
17 [student-f1-visa-ice-trump-7a1d186c06a5fdb2f64506dcf208105a](https://apnews.com/article/college-international-student-f1-visa-ice-trump-7a1d186c06a5fdb2f64506dcf208105a); Kaleem, Jaweed,  
*Trump administration cancels dozens of international student visas at University of*  
18 *California, Stanford*, Los Angeles Times, April 5, 2025,  
[https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-04-05/trump-administration-](https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-04-05/trump-administration-cancels-international-student-visas-university-of-california-stanford)  
19 [cancels-international-student-visas-university-of-california-stanford](https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2025-04-05/trump-administration-cancels-international-student-visas-university-of-california-stanford);

1 backdrop of numerous demands being made of universities by the federal  
2 government and threats of cutting off billions of dollars in federal funding. They  
3 have created chaos as schools have attempted to understand what is happening and  
4 do their best to inform and advise students.

5 6. Plaintiff does not challenge the revocation of their visa in this action.  
6 Rather, Plaintiff brings this action under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA),  
7 the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, and the Declaratory Judgment Act  
8 to challenge ICE's illegal termination of their SEVIS record.

### 9 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10 7. This Court has jurisdiction over the present action based on 28 U.S.C.  
11 § 1331 (federal question), 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b) (federal defendant), and 28 U.S.C.  
12 § 2201-2 (authority to issue declaratory judgment when jurisdiction already exists).

13 8. Venue is properly with this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e)  
14 because this is a civil action in which Defendants are employees or officers of the  
15 United States, acting in their official capacity; and because Plaintiff resides in the  
16 Inland Empire, which is located within the Central District of California, and there  
17 is no real property involved in this action.

### 18 **PARTIES**

19 9. Student Doe #2 is an international student who is enrolled in a college  
20 in Orange County and resides in Los Angeles. Student Doe #2 seeks to proceed in  
21 this action with a pseudonym due to fear of retaliation by Defendants for asserting

1 their rights through this lawsuit, and of harassment or blacklisting by third parties.<sup>2</sup>

2 10. Defendant U.S. Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”) is a  
3 cabinet-level department of the Executive Branch of the federal government and is  
4 an “agency” within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 551(1). DHS includes various  
5 component agencies, including U.S. Immigration Customs and Enforcement  
6 (“ICE”).

7 11. Defendant Kristi Noem is the Secretary of Homeland Security and has  
8 ultimate authority over DHS. In that capacity and through her agents, Defendant  
9 Noem has broad authority over the operation and enforcement of the immigration  
10 laws. Defendant Noem is sued in her official capacity.

11 12. Defendant Todd Lyons is the Acting Director of ICE and has  
12 authority over the operations of ICE. In that capacity and through his agents,  
13 Defendant Lyons has broad authority over the operation and enforcement of the  
14 immigration laws. Defendant Lyons is sued in his official capacity. ICE is  
15 responsible for the termination of Student Doe #2’s SEVIS record.

### 16 **LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

17 13. A nonimmigrant visa controls a noncitizen’s admission into the  
18 United States, not their continued stay. Congress established a statutory basis for  
19 student visas under 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(F)(i), requiring that a noncitizen engage

20  
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff will separately file a motion to proceed pseudonymously.

1 in a full course of study to maintain nonimmigrant status. Once admitted in F-1  
2 status, a student is granted permission to remain in the United States for the  
3 duration of status (D/S) as long as they continue to meet the requirements  
4 established by the regulations governing their visa classification in 8 C.F.R. §  
5 214.2(f), such as maintaining a full course of study and avoiding unauthorized  
6 employment.

7 14. The SEVIS is a centralized database maintained by the SEVP within  
8 ICE used to manage information on nonimmigrant students and exchange visitors  
9 and track their compliance with terms of their status. Under 8 C.F.R. § 214.3(g)(2),  
10 Designated School Officials (DSOs) must report through SEVIS to SEVP when a  
11 student fails to maintain status. SEVIS termination is governed by SEVP policy  
12 and regulations. Termination must be based on a student's failure to maintain  
13 status.

14 15. DHS regulations distinguish between two separate ways a student  
15 may fall out of status: (1) a student who "fails to maintain status," and (2) an  
16 agency-initiated "termination of status."

17 16. The first category, failure to maintain status, involves circumstances  
18 where a student voluntarily or inadvertently falls out of compliance with the F-1  
19 visa requirements, for example by failing to maintain a full course of study,  
20 engaging in unauthorized employment, or other violations of their status  
21 requirements under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(f). In addition, 8 C.F.R. §§ 214.1(e)–(g)

1 outlines specific circumstances where certain conduct by any nonimmigrant visa  
2 holder, such as engaging in unauthorized employment, providing false information  
3 to DHS, or being convicted of a crime of violence with a potential sentence of  
4 more than a year, “constitutes a failure to maintain status.”

5 17. With the respect to the crime of violence category, 8 C.F.R. §  
6 214.1(g) sets forth that a nonimmigrant’s conviction “for a crime of violence for  
7 which a sentence of more than one year imprisonment may be imposed (regardless  
8 of whether such sentence is in fact imposed) constitutes a failure to maintain status  
9 . . . .” Minor misdemeanor offenses do not meet this threshold for termination  
10 based on criminal history.

11 18. The second category, termination of status by DHS, can occur only  
12 under the limited circumstances set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 214.1(d), which only  
13 permits DHS to terminate status when: (1) a previously granted waiver under INA  
14 § 212(d)(3) or (4) [ 8 U.S.C. § 1182(d)(3) or (4)] is revoked; (2) a private bill to  
15 confer lawful permanent residence is introduced in Congress; or (3) DHS publishes  
16 a notification in the Federal Register identifying national security, diplomatic, or  
17 public safety reasons for termination. DHS cannot otherwise unilaterally terminate  
18 nonimmigrant status.<sup>3</sup>

19 19. Accordingly, the revocation of a visa does not constitute failure to  
20

---

21 <sup>3</sup> See *Jie Fang v. Dir. United States Immigr. & Customs Enf't*, 935 F.3d 172, 185 n.  
100 (3d Cir. 2019).



1 maintain status and cannot therefore be a basis for SEVIS termination.<sup>4</sup> If a visa is  
2 revoked prior to the student's arrival to the United States, then a student may not  
3 enter and the SEVIS record is terminated. However, the SEVIS record may not be  
4 terminated as a result of a visa revocation after a student has been admitted into the  
5 United States, because the student is permitted to continue the authorized course of  
6 study.<sup>5</sup>

7 20. ICE's own guidance confirms that "[v]isa revocation is not, in itself, a  
8 cause for termination of the student's SEVIS record."<sup>6</sup> Rather, if the visa is  
9 revoked, the student is permitted to pursue their course of study in school, but upon  
10 departure, the SEVIS record is terminated and the student must obtain a new visa  
11 from a consulate or embassy abroad before returning to the United States.<sup>7</sup>

12 21. While a visa revocation *can* be charged as a ground of deportability in  
13

---

14 <sup>4</sup> The Foreign Affairs Manual provides that a visa may be revoked based on a DUI.  
15 Arrest or conviction. 9 FAM 403.11-3(A) (U) When You May Revoke Visas.  
16 However, a revocation is not authorized where the arrest has "already been  
17 addressed within the context of a visa application, i.e., the individual has been  
18 through the panel physician's assessment due to the arrest." 9 FAM 403.11-5(B)  
(U).

19 <sup>5</sup> ICE Policy Guidance 1004-04 – Visa Revocations (June 7, 2010), available at  
20 [https://www.ice.gov/doclib/sevis/pdf/visa\\_revocations\\_1004\\_04.pdf](https://www.ice.gov/doclib/sevis/pdf/visa_revocations_1004_04.pdf)

21 <sup>6</sup> *Id.*

<sup>7</sup> Guidance Directive 2016-03, 9 FAM 403.11-3 – VISA REVOCATION (Sept. 12,  
2016), available at <https://www.aila.org/library/dos-guidance-directive-2016-03-on-visa-revocation>.

1 removal proceedings, deportability can be contested in such proceedings.<sup>8</sup> The  
2 immigration judge may also even dismiss removal proceedings where a visa is  
3 revoked, so long as a student is able to remain in valid status.<sup>9</sup> Only when a final  
4 removal order is entered would status be lost.

5 22. A student who has not violated their F-1 status, even if their visa is  
6 revoked, cannot have a SEVIS record terminated based on INA § 237(a)(1)(C)(i)  
7 [8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(1)(C)(i)] (failure to maintain status), INA §237(a)(4)(C)(i) [8  
8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(4)(C)(i)] (foreign policy grounds), or any deportability ground  
9 for that matter.

10 23. The immigration courts have no ability to review the SEVIS  
11 termination here because the process is collateral to removal.<sup>10</sup> There is also no  
12 administrative appeal of a denial to reinstate F-1 status. The termination of a  
13 SEVIS record constitutes final agency action for purposes of APA review.<sup>11</sup>

#### 14 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

15 24. Plaintiff Student Doe #2 is an international student attending college  
16

---

17 <sup>8</sup> See 8 USC § 1227(a)(1)(B); 8 U.S.C. § 1201(i) (allowing immigration court  
review of visa revocation).

18 <sup>9</sup> 8 C.F.R. § 1003.18(d)(ii)(B).

19 <sup>10</sup> See *Nakka v. United States Citizenship & Immigr. Servs.*, 111 F.4th 995, 1007  
20 (9th Cir. 2024); *Jie Fang v. Dir. United States Immigr. & Customs Enft*, 935 F.3d  
172, 183 (3d Cir. 2019).

21 <sup>11</sup> See *Fang*, 935 F.3d at 185.

1 in Orange County. They are from a predominantly Muslim country.

2 25. Plaintiff is focused on their studies and they have not engaged in any  
3 significant political activity.

4 26. Plaintiff first came to study in the United States on a student visa  
5 more than five years ago.

6 27. Plaintiff was issued a Form I-20 to enroll in college and they have  
7 been engaged in a full course of study.

8 28. On March 25, 2025, Plaintiff received notice from their college that  
9 their student visa was revoked and that their SEVIS status was terminated.

10 29. The codes given for the termination were:

- 11 • INA 237(a)(1)(C)(i) – Failure to maintain status  
12 • INA 237(a)(4)(C)(i) – Foreign policy grounds

13 30. Plaintiff was informed that the school itself did not terminate their  
14 SEVIS status.

15 31. Plaintiff is unaware of the factual basis for the termination of their  
16 SEVIS status.

17 32. Plaintiff's only criminal history is a minor speeding ticket and a  
18 misdemeanor alcohol related driving conviction. The dispositions are not for a  
19 crime of violence, nor did they carry a potential sentence of more than one year.

20 33. The U.S. Consulate abroad was aware of Plaintiff's alcohol related  
21 offense and required a clearance before the issuance of Plaintiff's last student visa.

1 Plaintiff was cleared and was granted a student visa by the Department of State  
2 which had full knowledge of Plaintiff's offense.

3 34. DHS has not initiated removal proceedings against Plaintiff.

4 35. Plaintiff is highly valued by their college, which desires for them to  
5 continue to be enrolled in school. However, Plaintiff's ability to do so is in  
6 jeopardy due to the termination of their SEVIS record and status.

7 36. Since they received notice of their SEVIS termination, Plaintiff has  
8 been experiencing high levels of stress and anxiety. They are unsure of what will  
9 happen to them. They also fear being labeled a national security or foreign policy  
10 threat if they seek to return to the United States in the future, or if they seek to  
11 travel to another country, because of the labels attached to their SEVIS  
12 termination.

13 37. The SEVIS terminations have created havoc and uncertainty for  
14 schools as well. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff's college was not given any  
15 advanced warning or further explanation for the termination of Plaintiff's SEVIS  
16 status. Schools are scrambling to respond to these unprecedented actions and  
17 determine whether and how they can help their international students.<sup>12</sup>

---

20 <sup>12</sup> See Liam Knox, *How Trump is Wreaking Havoc on the Student Visa System*,  
21 Inside Higher Ed, April 5, 2024,  
[https://www.insidehighered.com/news/global/international-students-  
us/2025/04/03/how-trump-wreaking-havoc-student-visa-system](https://www.insidehighered.com/news/global/international-students-us/2025/04/03/how-trump-wreaking-havoc-student-visa-system).



**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

**Fifth Amendment**  
*(Procedural Due Process)*

43. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as though fully set forth here.

44. Procedural due process requires that the government be constrained before it acts in a way that deprives individuals of property interests protected under the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment.

45. Once a student is lawfully admitted to the United States in F-1 status and complies with the regulatory requirements of that status, the continued registration of that student in SEVIS is governed by specific and mandatory regulations. Because these regulations impose mandatory constraints on agency action and because SEVIS registration is necessary for a student to remain enrolled as an international student, Plaintiff has a constitutionally protected property interest in their SEVIS registration. *See ASSE Int'l, Inc. v. Kerry*, 803 F.3d 1059 (9th Cir. 2015)(recognizing protected property interest in participating in exchange visitor program); *Brown v. Holder*, 763 F.3d 1141, 1148 (9th Cir. 2014) (recognizing protected property interest in nondiscretionary application for naturalization).

46. Defendants terminated Plaintiff's SEVIS record based on improper grounds without prior notice and without providing Plaintiff an opportunity to

1 respond. The failure to provide notice of the facts that formed the basis for the  
2 SEVIS termination is a violation of due process under the Fifth Amendment.

3 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

4 **Administrative Procedure Act**  
5 *(Procedural Due Process)*

6 47. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as though  
7 fully set forth here.

8 48. Under § 706(a) of the APA, final agency action can be set aside if it is  
9 “contrary to a constitutional right, power, privilege, or immunity.” 5 U.S.C. §  
10 706(2)(B).

11 49. Defendants terminated Plaintiff’s SEVIS record based on improper  
12 grounds without prior notice and without providing Plaintiff an opportunity to  
13 respond. The failure to provide notice of the facts that formed the basis for the  
14 SEVIS termination is a violation of due process under the Fifth Amendment.

15 50. Accordingly, Defendants’ action is contrary to a constitutional right.

16 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **Administrative Procedure Act**  
18 *(Arbitrary and Capricious SEVIS Termination)*

19 51. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in the paragraphs above as though  
20 fully set forth here.

21 52. Under § 706(a) of the APA, final agency action can be set aside if it is  
“arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with

1 law,” including if it fails to make a rational connection between the facts found and  
2 the decision made. 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A).

3 53. Defendants failed to articulate the facts that formed a basis for their  
4 decision to terminate Plaintiff’s SEVIS status in violation of the APA, let alone any  
5 rational connection between the facts found and the decision made.

6 54. Defendants’ action is therefore arbitrary and capricious.

7  
8 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

9 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court grant the following relief:

- 10 (1) Assume jurisdiction over this matter;
- 11 (2) Declare that the termination of Plaintiff’s SEVIS status was  
12 unlawful;
- 13 (3) Vacate and set aside DHS’s termination of Plaintiff’s SEVIS  
14 status;
- 15 (4) Order that Defendants restore Plaintiff’s SEVIS record and  
16 status;
- 17 (5) Award costs and reasonable attorney fees under the Equal  
18 Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412(b); and
- 19 (6) Grant such further relief as the Court deems just and  
20 proper.  
21



1 Dated: April 6, 2025

Respectfully Submitted,

2 /s/ Stacy Tolchin

3 *Email:*

4 *Stacy@Tolchinimmigration.com*

Law Offices of Stacy Tolchin

5 776 E. Green St., Suite 210

Pasadena, CA 91101

6 Telephone: (213) 622-7450

Facsimile: (213) 622-7233

7 Khaled Alrabe (CA SBN #349899)

8 *Email: khaled@nipnlg.org*

National Immigration Project of the

9 National Lawyers Guild (NIPNLG)

1763 Columbia Road NW,

10 Suite 175 #896645,

Washington, DC 20007

11 Telephone: (202) 470-2082

Facsimile: (617) 227-5495

12 Anne Lai (CA SBN #295394)

13 *Email: alai@law.uci.edu*

UC Irvine School of Law

14 401 E. Peltason Dr.

Irvine, CA 92697-8000

15 Telephone: (949) 824-9894

Facsimile: (949) 824-2747