- 1						
1 2	LESLIE A. BAILEY (SBN 232690) lbailey@publicjustice.net BRIAN HARDINGHAM (SBN 288773)	BRIAN D. OLNEY (SBN 298089) bolney@hadsellstormer.com HADSELL STORMER RENICK & DAI LLP				
3	bhardingham@publicjustice.net	128 North Fair Oaks Avenue				
4	PUBLIC JUSTICE 475 14th St., Ste. 610	Pasadena, CA 91103 Telephone: (626) 585-9600				
5	Oakland, CA 94612					
6	Telephone: (510) 622-8150					
7	CHARLES MOORE*					
8	cmoore@publicjustice.net					
9	PUBLIC JUSTICE 1620 L Street NW, Suite 630					
10	Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 797-8600					
11						
12	*Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>					
13	Attamana fan Dirintiff					
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
15	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
16	PHILLIP URQUIDI;	Case No. 2:23-cv-02568-JGB-SP				
17	Plaintiff.	NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF				
17 18	Plaintiff,	CLAIMS AS TO DEFENDANTS CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND				
	Plaintiff, vs.	CLAIMS AS TO DEFENDANTS				
18		CLAIMS AS TO DEFENDANTS CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND				
18 19	vs. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipal corporation; and MICHEL R. MOORE,	CLAIMS AS TO DEFENDANTS CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND				
18 19 20	vs. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipal	CLAIMS AS TO DEFENDANTS CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND				
18 19 20 21	vs. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipal corporation; and MICHEL R. MOORE,	CLAIMS AS TO DEFENDANTS CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND				
18 19 20 21 22	vs. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipal corporation; and MICHEL R. MOORE, in his individual capacity.	CLAIMS AS TO DEFENDANTS CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND				
18 19 20 21 22 23	vs. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipal corporation; and MICHEL R. MOORE, in his individual capacity. Defendants.	CLAIMS AS TO DEFENDANTS CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND MICHEL R. MOORE				
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	vs. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipal corporation; and MICHEL R. MOORE, in his individual capacity. Defendants.	CLAIMS AS TO DEFENDANTS CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND				
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	vs. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipal corporation; and MICHEL R. MOORE, in his individual capacity. Defendants. Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i) of	CLAIMS AS TO DEFENDANTS CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND MICHEL R. MOORE				
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	vs. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a municipal corporation; and MICHEL R. MOORE, in his individual capacity. Defendants. Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i) of	CLAIMS AS TO DEFENDANTS CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND MICHEL R. MOORE of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,				

Cas	2:23-cv-02568-JGB-SP	Document 66	Filed 09/08/23	Page 2 of 2	Page ID
		#:799			

Defendants City of Los Angeles (the "City") and Michel R. Moore ("Chief Moore") with prejudice. Plaintiff is dismissing the causes of action against the City and Chief Moore with prejudice. Plaintiff has reached a settlement agreement with the City and Chief Moore. Except as provided in the settlement agreement, each party is to bear its own attorney's fees, costs, and expenses. Neither the City nor Chief Moore has filed an answer to the complaint nor a motion for summary judgement as to these claims. Dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(i) is therefore appropriate. DATED: September 8, 2023 Respectfully Submitted, PUBLIC JUSTICE By: /s/ Charles Moore Charles Moore Attorney for Plaintiff