```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
 2
                      EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                                 --000--
                                     ) Case No. 1:16-cv-00568-DAD-JLT
 4
     OSCAR LUNA, et al.,
 5
                     Plaintiffs,
                                     ) Bakersfield, California
                                     ) Tuesday, September 13, 2016
                                     ) 9:36 A.M.
 6
          VS.
                                     ) Hearing re: initial scheduling
 7
     COUNTY OF KERN, et al.,
                                     ) conference.
 8
                     Defendants.
 9
                        TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
                BEFORE THE HONORABLE JENNIFER L. THURSTON
10
                      UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11
     APPEARANCES:
12
     For Plaintiff:
                                    DENISE HULETT
                                    Mexican American Legal Defense
13
                                       And Educational Fund
                                     182 Second Street, Second Floor
14
                                     San Francisco, CA 94105
                                     (916) 642-6352
15
16
     For Defendant:
                                    CHRISTOPHER E. SKINNELL
                                    MARGUERITE M. LEONI
17
                                    Nielsen Merksamer, et al.,
                                     2350 Kerner Blvd., Suite 250
                                     San Rafael CA
18
                                                     94901
                                     (415) 389-6800
19
     Court Recorder:
                                    OTILIA ROSALES
20
                                    U.S. District Court
                                     2500 Tulare Street, Suite 1501
21
                                     Fresno, CA 93721
                                     (559) 499-5928
22
     Transcription Service:
                                    Petrilla Reporting &
23
                                       Transcription
                                     5002 - 61st Street
24
                                     Sacramento, CA 95820
                                     (916) 455-3887
25
     Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;
     transcript produced by transcription service.
```

Case 1:16-cv-00568-DAD-JLT Document 33 Filed 09/26/16 Page 2 of 13 1 BAKERSFIELD, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2016, 9:36 A.M. 1 2 THE COURT: Good morning. This is Judge Thurston. 3 We're on the record of in the case of Luna, et al. v. County of 4 Kern, et al., Case No. 1:16-cv-00568-DAD-JLT. 5 Who is on the line for the plaintiff? 6 7 MS. HULETT: Denise Hulett. THE COURT: All right. And for the defendants? 8 MR. SKINNELL: Chris Skinnell, Your Honor. 9 MS. LEONI: And Marquerite Leoni, Your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. We're on calendar for the 12 scheduling conference. I have reviewed your joint report. I 13 have looked at the dates you proposed. I think I can make a 14 lot of them work, but not all of them. So I am going to go 15 through the dates that I'm going to assign for your case. 16 If you have any problems with any of the dates as I 17 give them to you let me know today so that we can work on them. 18 Judge Drozd does not like his case schedules to be modified, so 19 if we can try to pick a schedule that's going to work for you 20 best today, that would work best for all of us. First, your initial disclosures will be made on 21

First, your initial disclosures will be made on October 4th, 2016 or at least no later than that date. Your pleading amendment deadline, so any motion to amend or any stipulation to amend would be filed by January 20, 2017.

22

23

24

25

Actually one question I did have, I did notice in

```
your report that you are intending to run your expert and non-
1
     expert discovery simultaneously. Ms. Hulett, was that your
2
3
     intention?
              MS. HULETT: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
              THE COURT: Mr. Skinnell or Ms. Leoni, do you also
5
     agree with that?
 6
7
              MR. SKINNELL: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. So your non-expert discovery
8
     cutoff and expert discovery cutoff would be February 10, 2017.
9
10
     That will require your -- well that does raise one other
11
     question. You are asking for the deadline to be in February,
12
    but -- no, okay. That's fine.
13
               So plaintiff will make their expert disclosure on
    November 14, 2016, and defendants will make their expert
14
     disclosure by December 14, 2016. And then the plaintiff will
15
16
     disclose any rebuttal experts by January 13 of 2017.
17
              Your non-dispositive motion filing deadline -- let's
18
     see -- that would be, looks like you have proposed February
19
     20th. That's a holiday. Does that make any difference whether
     we set it on the holiday or the Friday before? Anyone?
20
              MS. LEONI: No, not me.
21
22
              MR. SKINNELL: Yeah. I think with e-filing --
23
              THE COURT: All right. So we'll go ahead then and
24
     set that on -- all right. That'll be on February 20th. You
25
     could always file it whether we are here or not, so we'll leave
```

1 it on that date.

Your hearing then would be no later than March 27. This is if it relates to expert discovery, which I anticipate it would, if you wish to file a non-dispositive motion that implicates something else -- for example, there was some suggestion about maybe motions related to striking experts; something like that that is non-dispositive but is substantive beyond discovery issues, that would be -- need to be set before Judge Drozd. You would need to contact his courtroom deputy for dates in that event.

Your dispositive motion filing deadline I was going to set on March 24th. I could set that earlier or I can set that a little bit later. Mr. Skinnell and Ms. Leoni, do you think you'll need to have any determinations on non-dispositive motions before you file a dispositive motion?

MR. SKINNELL: Well yeah. I think probably that would be useful. I'm sorry. I think I got a little bit mixed up. You mentioned a March 27th date, but that was for something other than what we proposed here. That was for the hearing deadline --

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. SKINNELL: -- on the non-dispositive motions?

THE COURT: Right.

MS. LEONI: And so we -- and we had that for filing oppositions. So it actually moves everything forward, right?

```
THE COURT: Right. The dates that you proposed, it
1
     gives you -- for a non-dispositive motion, I just I'm not sure
2
3
     why you felt that you would need so much time to oppose it. So
     I've set a non-dispositive motion filing deadline on February
 4
     20th and deadline to hear it about a month later on March 27th,
5
 6
     which is just a typical motion schedule.
7
               MR. SKINNELL: Yeah. All right.
               THE COURT: Is there some reason why you felt like
8
 9
     you needed an --
10
              MR. SKINNELL: That's -- no.
11
               THE COURT: Okay.
12
              MR. SKINNELL: No, I think that's fine. That's fine.
13
               THE COURT: And then the dispositive motion filing
14
     deadline -- and the other reason too, on your dispositive
15
    motions, for example, Judge Drozd does not allow more than four
16
     weeks from time of filing to the hearing. So if it turns out
     that you get to that point and you feel like you need more
17
18
     time, you will have to ask Judge Drozd to continue the hearing
19
     date because he wants them set four weeks --
              MS. LEONI: I think maybe the --
20
              THE COURT: Uh-huh.
21
22
              MS. LEONI: I think maybe the reason we had so much
23
     time is it looks like we're doing non-dispositive motions and
24
     dispositive simultaneously. And I think that we had shoved the
25
     oppositions to 3/27 because right after filing non-dispositive
```

```
THE COURT: Uh-huh. That'll give you a trial date
1
     August 29. That's going to be tight for you. I know you
2
3
     wanted to have the matter resolved before September. Is that
     going to work? Ms. Hulett?
 4
              MS. HULETT: It is going to be tight.
5
              THE COURT: We can shorten up some of these
 6
7
     deadlines. It looks like to me though either we're going to
8
    have to go back and do your non-dispositive and dispositive
 9
    motions simultaneously or we'll have to shorten up your
10
     discovery deadlines.
              MR. SKINNELL: Your Honor, I think we -- from our
11
12
    perspective, we could make the August 29 date work
13
     understanding that we'll have to move quickly on the remedial
14
     side if things don't go our way at trial. But --
15
              THE COURT: Okay.
16
              MR. SKINNELL: -- I think we can do that if the need
17
     arises.
18
              THE COURT: All right. Ms. Hulett, is that okay with
19
     you then?
20
              MS. HULETT: Yeah. It is going to be awfully tight.
    All right. With the understanding that we could move quickly
21
22
     on remedies, sure.
23
              THE COURT: Well I also do want to point --
              MS. LEONI: Your Honor, I believe --
24
25
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
```

```
MS. LEONI: I believe the filing deadlines in a
1
     remedial plan would open at the beginning of December --
2
3
               THE COURT: Okay.
              MS. LEONI: -- and they're all --
 4
              MR. SKINNELL: Actually it's even closer to the end.
5
              MS. LEONI: Excuse me, Chris?
 6
7
              MR. SKINNELL: It's more like the end of December
8
     even.
 9
              MS. LEONI: Right. But we also need to have time for
     the registrar to make line changes if those are warranted.
10
11
     I think we can get it done under this schedule.
12
               THE COURT: We could -- I mean really, we're probably
13
     only talking about a week or two if you wanted to shorten up
     your discovery, move it all back a couple weeks.
14
15
              MS. LEONI: I think we can make this work.
16
               THE COURT: Okay. The other issue I did notice, of
17
     course, is that the parties have discussed in your statement
18
     the issue of bifurcation. I know the defendants want to
19
     consider -- or want the Court to consider the Jingles issue
     first. That issue is something you'll need to bring up with
20
     Judge Drozd either in your pretrial statement or in a motion
21
22
     for bifurcation brought before that time.
23
               If you decide to bring a motion for bifurcation on
24
     that topic, that is the substantive issue that I was referring
25
     to, that would be one you would need to set in front of Judge
```

Drozd, and as a reminder, he does hear law and motion matters only on the first and third Tuesdays of the month. So you'll want to plan that if that's your intention.

Is there -- what is the intention relating to settlement conference? Mr. Skinnell and Ms. Leoni?

MR. SKINNELL: Yeah. Your Honor, I don't think at this point we are in a position to commit to that. Our client's a public entity and we would need to meet with them and get authority to do that.

THE COURT: I'm not --

MR. SKINNELL: I won't rule it out, but at the moment I don't know that we're in a position to agree to that.

THE COURT: Agree to setting one?

MS. LEONI: Your Honor, and part of that is just that we -- in part, that is, we just received Judge Drozd's order on the motion to dismiss and we haven't had time -- we can only sit down with the client, you know, a couple times a month, if at that and we just haven't had time to do that yet.

THE COURT: All right. I think I wasn't clear. I wasn't suggesting that you should be in a posture at this time to discuss settlement. I'm just talking about when we should set your settlement conference. Judge Drozd does require you to have one. So I'm really only talking about when would be a good time to do that. He will not allow you to go to trial or even -- he wants it before your pretrial conference. So you

sorry, the pretrial conference.

MS. HULETT: Your Honor, this is Denise Hulett. I really do think before we expend extraordinary amounts of time on dispositive motions that we should take a run at settlement prior to that. So early March, late -- well, not -- our deadline for those motions, for the non-dispositive motions. Our discovery cutoff is December -- I mean February 10th, so either sometime toward the end of February or early March I think makes the most sense.

THE COURT: All right. We can always set it then and if it turns out you get to that point and you feel like maybe from the defense standpoint you're not really ready to talk about it, or you are so optimistic about your planned dispositive motion that you don't think that your client would be inclined to settle at that time, I would entertain you filing a stipulation to continue it.

The only thing I will not entertain is your stipulation to vacate it. So assuming you haven't made other type of efforts to settle the case in a formal setting, so by mediation or some other private resolution.

So let's look at those dates then. If we did something maybe -- I could do it either the week of February 13th, I could do it maybe March 1st or 2nd.

MR. SKINNELL: I think that would be a good time, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Or the 3rd. The 3rd is a Friday. March

```
11
     1st and 2nd, Wednesday, Thursday. Any preference on day of the
1
    week?
2
3
              MR. SKINNELL: No, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right.
 4
              MS. HULETT: No.
5
              THE COURT: Okay. So why don't we just go ahead then
 6
7
     and set it on March 1st. Is there a preference as to whether
8
     it's morning or afternoon?
              MS. HULETT: No, Your Honor.
 9
10
              THE COURT: Mr. Skinnell, Ms. Leoni, I forget, where
11
     are you traveling from?
12
              MS. LEONI: Yeah. You know, Your Honor, we kind of
13
    need to get down there from the Bay Area.
              THE COURT: Okay.
14
              MS. LEONI: So afternoon if this works for Denise.
15
16
    Afternoon would be kind of a better setting time for us.
              THE COURT: Okay.
17
18
              MS. HULETT: That's fine. I have no preference
19
     really.
20
              THE COURT: All right. We'll set it on March 1st at
     1:30. At this time that would be set before me. If you have a
21
22
     request or any preference that it be heard by someone who's not
23
     already assigned to this case just let me know. I need about
24
     60 days notice to have a magistrate judge -- some other
25
    magistrate judge prepared to hear that case. But at this time,
```

```
12
     unless I hear differently, it will be set before me. That will
1
     occur in Bakersfield.
2
3
              All right. Anything else that we can take care of
     today? For the plaintiff?
 4
              MS. HULETT: No, Your Honor.
5
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Skinnell or Ms. Leoni,
 6
    for the defendants?
7
8
              MR. SKINNELL: I don't think so, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Then thank you. I'll go
 9
10
     ahead and issue this order later today.
              MS. HULETT: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
11
12
              THE COURT: Thank you.
13
              MR. SKINNELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
              MS. LEONI: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15
          (Whereupon the hearing in the above-entitled matter was
16
     adjourned at 9:50 a.m.)
17
                                 --000--
18
                               <u>CERTIFICATE</u>
19
          I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
20
     the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-
21
     entitled matter.
22
23
     <u>/s/ Jennifer Barris</u>
                                           September 24, 2016
24
     Jennifer Barris, Transcriber
    AAERT CET*668
25
```