#### **PARTIES**

1.Plaintiff ARMIDA URQUIZO (hereinafter URQUIZO), at all times relevant herein, was a resident of the State of California, County of Monterey. Plaintiff URQUIZO was at all times material hereto a member of a protected group under California Government Code Section 12940(a) based on her sex (female), and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII) as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5 (f) (1) and (3), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. §1981(a).

- 2. Plaintiff URQUIZO is informed and believes that defendant FRESH EXPRESS INC., (hereafter FRESH EXPRESS ) is a Delaware corporation, and was at all relevant times, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal business office located in the city of Salinas, California in the County of Monterey.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant FRESH EXPRESS INC. regularly employs five or more persons, and accordingly is an employer within the meaning of Cal. Gov. Code §§ 12926(d), 12940.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, at all times relevant hereto that Defendant FRESH EXPRESS, INC. regularly employed fifteen or more persons for each working day in each of twenty or more calendar weeks in the current and preceding calendar years, and accordingly is an employer within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b).

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. The jurisdiction of this Court is based upon section 703(a)(1) Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1). Jurisdiction of this Court is also invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, 1343 and 1346 and the Court's supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims. Injunctive and declaratory relief, damages and other appropriate legal and equitable relief are sought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e (f) and (g) as amended, and state law. The unlawful employment practices of which plaintiffs complain occurred within the Northern District of California and defendant FRESH EXPRESS INC. has business operations where plaintiff worked at all relevant times alleged herein in the Northern

#### **INTRA-DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

6. Since the acts which gave rise to this complaint occurred in Monterey County, assignment to the San Jose Division pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c) would be appropriate.

#### **EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES**

- 7. On or around July 26, 1999, Plaintiff URQUIZO filed charges of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (hereafter EEOC). This charge was simultaneously filed with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (hereafter DFEH) pursuant to the terms of a work sharing agreement between the two agencies.
- 8. On or around July 29, 1999 notice of Plaintiff URQUIZO S right to file a private civil suit was issued by the EEOC. The EEOC issued the right to sue letters after DFEH deferred its investigations of plaintiffs discrimination charges to the EEOC pursuant to the terms of a work sharing agreement between the two agencies. The one-year period following the issuance of these notices within which to file an action for violation of the FEHA was equitably tolled during the pendency of the EEOC investigation.
- 9. On or about September 24, 2002 the EEOC concluded investigation of the case and thereafter filed suit in the instant matter.
- 10. Plaintiff URQUIZO has timely filed this action. Therefore, she has complied with all administrative prerequisites to be able to bring this lawsuit.

#### **FACTS**

- 11. URQUIZO began working at FRESH EXPRESS INC. in approximately 1992. URQUIZO worked in inventory in the plant supply room, among other positions with Defendant.
- 12. Nazario Ramirez (hereafter Ramirez ) was employed by Fresh Express from at least 1994, and, on information and belief, he held the position of manager/supervisor of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

warehouse for Fresh Express Inc. from at least 1997 until he ended employment with Fresh Express Inc. in approximately August 1999.

- 13. In 1994, Ramirez commenced a continual course of conduct, wherein he sexually harassed URQUIZO. This harassment was severe and pervasive enough to alter her working conditions and create a hostile work environment. URQUIZO was repeatedly forced to endure offensive language, and intimidating and unwelcome romantic and/or sexual overtures. Among other acts, RAMIREZ exposed himself to URQUIZO at the workplace and continuously pressured URQUIZO to invite him to her house or go to a motel with RAMIREZ to have sexual intercourse.
- 14. RAMIREZ s sexual harassment was repeated and was designed to compel URQUIZO to submit to his sexual advances, thereby rendering URQUIZO S submission to his sexual advances a term or condition of her employment.
- 15. Defendant s sexual harassment of URQUIZO continued throughout 1999, even after URQUIZO repeatedly made clear that she wanted the harassment to stop.
- 16. Defendant s sexual harassment of URQUIZO substantially affected her employment. Defendant, their agents, servants and/or employees, through their sexual harassment and their failure to eradicate it, intended to, and did, cause URQUIZO severe psychological and emotional damage. Through their acts and omissions which constituted sexual harassment, abuse, discrimination, and retaliation toward Plaintiff, Defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees, have caused URQUIZO to suffer extreme anxiety, severe depression, and other emotional distress. Defendant s conduct has adversely affected URQUIZO S ability to work, and her sense of well-being. The abuse of URQUIZO by Defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees, and Defendant s failure to stop such abuse, rendered her work environment so intolerable that any reasonable person would find such treatment offensive.
- 17. Following Defendant's commencement of these acts of sexual harassment, URQUIZO made complaints to Defendant. For making these complaints, Defendants subjected her to retaliation in the form of further unwanted sexual advances and assigning her to less

### 

18. Despite URQUIZO s complaints to the Defendant, FRESH EXPRESS INC. effectively failed and refused to terminate the course of repetitively offensive conduct of Ramirez and others, all of which constituted sexual harassment of URQUIZO. Defendant took no action to address, correct, or prevent these adverse working conditions of sexual harassment, retaliation, and discrimination, thereby condoning such illegal acts and transforming the acceptance of Ramirez s sexual advances into a condition of Plaintiff's continued employment.

19. Defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees committed the acts against URQUIZO alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, with the wrongful intention of injuring URQUIZO and in conscious disregard of, and with reckless indifference to, her rights.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SEX) (TITLE VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e)

- 20. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
  - 21. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant.
- 22. Defendant unlawfully discriminated against Plaintiff URQUIZO based on her sex, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000e-16.
- 23. Defendant treated Plaintiff URQUIZO less favorably than similarly situated male employees, subjecting her to discrimination in work assignments and in other terms and conditions of her employment in violation of Title VII.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid discrimination based on plaintiff s sex, Plaintiff URQUIZO has sustained a loss of earnings and other benefits.

  URQUIZO has also suffered severe emotional distress manifested by feelings of humiliation, embarrassment, anxiety, nervousness and other symptoms of stress.
- 25. Defendant s acts of discrimination against Plaintiff on the basis of sex were wanton, willful and intentional with malicious and reckless disregard of the rights and

sensibilities of the Plaintiff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff URQUIZO requests relief as hereinafter provided.

### SECOND CLAM FOR RELIEF (SEXUAL HARASSMENT) (TITLE VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1))

- 26. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 25 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. Defendant violated Plaintiff's rights under Title VII by subjecting Plaintiff to unwelcome sexual comments and acts and permitting and encouraging a work environment in which plaintiff URQUIZO was subjected to ridicule, harassment, discrimination and intimidation because of her sex.
- 28. In addition, Defendant subjected Plaintiff to quid pro quo sexual harassment by threatening Plaintiff s job and or conditioning plaintiff s employment on the requirement that she remain under RAMIREZ s supervision.
- 29. Defendant FRESH EXPRESS INC. participated in creating and maintaining a hostile work environment and failed to investigate, stop or prevent the incidents of sexual harassment even after Plaintiff URQUIZO gave notice of such incidents. The sexual harassment was severe and pervasive such that it altered the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid harassment based on sex, Plaintiff URQUIZO has sustained injury in the form of severe emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, and mental anguish, all to her damage in amounts to be established at trial.
- 31. As described above, Defendant's aforesaid acts of harassment were wanton, willful and intentional with malicious and reckless disregard for the rights and sensibilities of Plaintiff URQUIZO.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff URQUIZO requests relief as hereinafter provided.

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF UNLAWFUL RETALIATION (42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a))

32. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 31 of this

28

8

1011

1213

1415

1617

18

19

20

2122

2324

25

26

27

28

- 33. In perpetrating the above described actions and omissions, Defendant FRESH EXPRESS INC., as employer, its agents, servants and/or employees, engaged in unlawful retaliation in violation of Title VII.
- 34. Plaintiff engaged in protected activity when she complained to her direct supervisors, including RAMIREZ and others, about sexual harassment, retaliation and discrimination.
- 35. Defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees retaliated against Plaintiff on the basis of Plaintiff's complaints by taking adverse actions against her, including by creating a hostile work environment, by imposing different terms, conditions, or privileges of employment on Plaintiff, and by other retaliatory treatment and actions.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid retaliation, Plaintiff URQUIZO has sustained injury in the form of severe emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, and mental anguish, all to the damage in amount to be established at trial.
- 37. As described above, Defendant's aforesaid acts of harassment were wanton, willful and intentional with malicious and reckless disregard for the rights and sensibilities of Plaintiff URQUIZO.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff URQUIZO requests relief as hereinafter provided.

### FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SEX) (CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE § 12940(a))

- 38. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 39. At all times material hereto, Defendant owed Plaintiff URQUIZO the duty not to discriminate against her in the terms and conditions of her employment on the basis of her gender as mandated by the Fair Employment and Housing Act provided in California Government Code section 12940(a).
  - 40. In violation of the aforesaid duty, Defendant treated Plaintiff URQUIZO

Plaintiff/Intervenor s Complaint for Damages and Demand for Jury Trial

adversely and differently from her male counterparts.

- 41. Defendant s decision to treat Plaintiff URQUIZO in the foregoing adverse and disparate manner was based upon Plaintiff s sex and was wanton, willful and intentional with malicious and reckless disregard of the rights and sensibilities of the Plaintiff.
- 42. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid discrimination based on sex, Plaintiff URQUIZO has sustained a loss of earnings and fringe benefits. She has also suffered emotional distress manifested by feelings of humiliation, embarrassment, anxiety, nervousness and other symptoms of stress.
- 43. In doing the acts herein alleged, defendant acted maliciously, and oppressively, with the wrongful intent of injuring Plaintiff URQUIZO, and acted with an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, in conscious disregard of plaintiff's rights. Because the acts taken towards her were carried out by defendant acting in a despicable, deliberate, and intentional manner in order to injure and damage her, plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount according to proof.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff URQUIZO requests relief as hereinafter provided.

### FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF RETALIATION FOR OPPOSING DISCRIMINATION AND HARASSMENT (CAL. GOV. CODE §12940(h))

- 44. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 43 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 45.In perpetrating the above described actions and omissions, Defendant FRESH EXPRESS INC, as employer, its agents, servants and/or employees, engaged in unlawful retaliation in violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA), Cal. Gov. Code § 12940(h).
- 46. Plaintiff engaged in protected activity by complaining to a supervisor, including Ramirez and others, regarding sexual harassment, retaliation, and/or discrimination.
- 47. Defendant, their agents, servants and/or employees retaliated against Plaintiff on the basis of her protected activity and took adverse actions against her including creating a

hostile work environment, by imposing different terms, conditions, or privileges of employment on Plaintiff, and by other retaliatory treatment and actions.

- 48. Defendant FRESH EXPRESS INC. participated in creating and maintaining the hostile work environment and failed to investigate, stop or prevent the incidents of sexual harassment even after Plaintiff gave notice of such incidents.
- 49. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid retaliation Plaintiff URQUIZO has sustained emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, and mental anguish, all to her damage in amounts to be established at trial.
- 50. Defendant s aforesaid acts of retaliation were wanton, willful and intentional with malicious and reckless disregard for the rights and sensibilities of Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests relief as hereinafter provided.

# SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF AIDING AND ABETTING SEXUAL HARASSMENT SEX DISCRIMINATION, AND RETALIATION (CAL. GOV. CODE § 12940(i))

- 51. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 50 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 52. In perpetrating the above described actions and omissions, Defendant FRESH EXPRESS INC., as employer, its agents, servants and/or employees, engaged in a pattern and practice of unlawful aiding and abetting of harassment, discrimination, and retaliation, in violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA), Cal. Gov. Code § 12940(i).
- 53. Defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees, attempted to and did in fact, aid, abet, incite, compel and/or coerce their agents, servants and/or employees to engage in unlawful sexual harassment, sex and/or gender discrimination, and retaliation against the Plaintiff, as alleged above.
- 54. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid harassment based on sex, plaintiff URQUIZO sustained injury in the form of severe emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, and mental anguish, all to her damage in amounts to be established at trial.

3

4 5

67

9

8

1011

1213

14

1516

1718

19

20

2122

23

2425

2627

28

55. Defendant s acts were wanton, willful and intentional with malicious and reckless disregard for the rights and sensibilities of Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff URQUIZO requests relief as hereinafter provided.

#### SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT SEXUAL HARASSMENT) (CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE § 12940(j))

- 56. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 55 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 57. Defendant subjected Plaintiff to unwelcome sexual advances, comments, and degrading and humiliating conduct as described above. Defendant s aforesaid unwelcome sexual comments and acts were so severe or pervasive that they created a continuing hostile work environment.
- 58. Defendant FRESH EXPRESS INC. failed to prevent sexual harassment by failing to investigate, stop or prevent the incidents of sexual harassment even after Plaintiff gave notice of such incidents.
- 59. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid harassment based on sex, plaintiff URQUIZO has sustained injury in the form of severe emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, and mental anguish, all to their damage in amounts to be established at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff URQUIZO requests relief as hereinafter provided.

## EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF FAILURE TO PREVENT DISCRIMINATION AND HARASSMENT (CAL. GOV. CODE § 12940(k))

- 60. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 59 of this complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 61. In perpetrating the above described actions and omissions, Defendant FRESH EXPRESS INC., as employer, its agents, servants and/or employees, engaged in a pattern and practice of failing to prevent discrimination and harassment, in violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA), Cal. Gov. Code § 12940(k).
  - 62. In violation of Cal. Gov. Code § 12940(i), Defendant, their agents, servants

10

13

1415

1617

18

19

2021

22

2324

2526

2728

and/or employees, failed to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent sex and/or gender discrimination and sexual harassment from occurring, including, among other things, failure to implement an effective policy against sexual harassment and/or an effective means of remedying such harassment.

- 63. Defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees, knew or should have known of Defendant's failure to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent sex and/or gender discrimination and sexual harassment from occurring. Defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees did not take all reasonable steps to prevent retaliation from occurring, and failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action.
- 64. Defendant FRESH EXPRESS INC. participated in creating and maintaining the hostile work environment and failed to investigate, stop or prevent the incidents of sexual harassment even after Plaintiff gave notice of such incidents.
- 65. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid harassment based on sex, Plaintiff URQUIZO has sustained injury in the form of severe emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, and mental anguish, all to her damage in amounts to be established at trial.
- 66. Defendant s aforesaid acts of harassment were wanton, willful and intentional with malicious and reckless disregard for the rights and sensibilities of Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests relief as hereinafter provided.

#### WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A. For compensatory damages according to proof, including but not limited to lost wages, damages for emotional distress, including but not limited to humiliation, grief, and anguish;
  - B. For punitive damages;
- C. For injunctive relief to enjoin Defendant from engaging in unlawful activity alleged herein;
  - D. For declaratory relief;
  - E. For costs of suit;

1	F. For such other relief as the Court deems proper.	
2	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
3	Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal Rules of	
4	Civil Procedure.	
5	DATED: October 15, 2002	CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.
7		
8		By: MICHAEL MEUTER Attorneys for Plaintiff
9		
0		
1		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	Plaintiff/Intervenor s Complaint for Damages and Demand for Jury Trial	12