

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

18

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

DEBORAH DOE, a minor, by and through her Next Friend, John Doe; and ROBERT ROE, a minor, by and through his Next Friend, Richard Roe; on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

14 LLOYD W. BURWELL, Juvenile Court Judge of Lawrence County, Ohio, in 15 his official capacity;

MARK A. MALONE, DONALD LAMBERT, and DR. CARL T. BAKER, as the County Commissioners of Lawrence County Onio, individually and in their official capacities;

DANIEL HIERONIMUS, Sheriff of Lawrence County, Ohio, individually and in his official capacity; and

LAWRENCE COUNTY, OHIO,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. C-1-81-415

CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE, DECLARATORY AND EQUITABLE RELIEF AND DAMAGES

(CLASS ACTION)

• • • • .

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

1

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- 1. This is a civil rights class action for declaratory, injunctive, and other equitable relief and damages, brought by juveniles confined in the Lawrence County Jail in Ironton, Ohio, on behalf of themselves and all other juveniles similarly situated who are subjected by defendants to cruel, unconscionable and illegal conditions of confinement in said jail; abuses of judicial authority, including arbitrary and capricious confinement in said jail; illegal incarceration in said jail without adequate separation from confined adult offenders; unlawful secure detention in said jail of juveniles who are charged with or who have committed offenses which would not be criminal if committed by adults ("status offenses"); denial of adequate and appropriate placements as alternative to said jail; and false imprisonment in said jail.
- 2. Plaintiffs bring this action under the federal Civil Rights Acts, 42 U.S.C. §§1983 and 1988, to redress the violations by defendants, acting under color of state law, of plaintiffs' rights under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, specifically plaintiffs' right to due process of law, right to freedom from cruel and unusual punishments, rights to freedom of association and to the free exercise of religion, right to privacy, and right to rehabilitative treatment in the least restrictive setting and under the least restrictive conditions. Plaintiffs also bring this action under 42 U.S.C. §\$1983 and 1988 to redress the violations by defendants, under color of state law, of plaintiffs' statutory rights under the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §5601 et seq. [hereafter, "Juvenile Justice Act], as more particularly set forth hereinafter.
 - 3. Plaintiffs also bring this action under the Juvenile

] Justice Act to challenge (a) the detention of plaintiffs by defendants in the Lawrence Countyb Jail, without adequate separation from confined adult offenders; (b) the detention by defendants, in said jail, a secure facility, of plaintiffs who are charged with or who have committed offenses which would not be criminal if committed by adults; (c) the failure and refusal of defendants to provide and utilize adequate and appropriate placements as alternatives to said jail.

4. Plaintiffs also bring this action under Article I, Sections 1, 2, 9, and 16 of the Constitution of the State of Ohio, Ohio Revised Code §§341.01, 2151.10, 2151.23, 2151.312, 2151.34, and Rules 6, 7, 8, and 9 of the Ohio Rules of Juvenile Procedure.

14 //

11

12

3

15 11

// 16

// 17

// 18

19 //

20 11

11 21

22 1/

23 11

24 //

// 25

26 11.

27 //

28 //

29 //

//

31 //

/

//

//

//

5. This Court has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. §1343(3), this being an action to redress the deprivation, under color of state law, of rights secured by the Constitution of the United States, the Civil Rights Acts, 42 U.S.C. §§1983 and 1988, and the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. §§5601 et seq.

- 6. This Court also has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. \$1343(4), this being an action to recover damages and to secure declaratory, injunctive, and other equitable relief under Acts of Congress providing for the protection of civil rights, specifically the Civil Rights Acts, 42 U.S.C. \$\$1983 and 1986, and the Juvenile Justice Act.
- 7. This Court also has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, and Rules 57 and 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this being an action for a declaration of the rights of Plaintiffs, and for injunctive and other equitable relief based upon said declaratory judgment, under the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §§1983 and 1988, and the Juvenile Justice Act.
- 8. This Court also has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§1331(a), this being an action wherein the matter in controversy arises under the Constitution and laws of the United States.
- 9. This Court has jurisdiction of plaintiffs' state law claims under the doctrine of pendent jurisdiction, which permits federal courts to determine state law claims which form separate but parallel grounds for relief also sought in substantial claims based on federal law.

PLAINTIFFS

10. Plaintiff DEBORAH DOE is a juvenile, fifteen years of age, and a citizen of the United States. At all times during the events described herein, said Plaintiff has resided in Lawrence County, Ohio, with John Doe and Jane Doe, her parents. Plaintiff ROBERT ROE is a juvenile, sixteen years of age, and a citizen of the United States. At all times during the events described herein, said Plaintiff has resided in Lawrence County, Ohio, with Richard Roe and Alice Roe, his parents. Plaintiff

POE is a juvenile, years of age, and a citizen of the United States. At all times during the events described herein, said Plaintiff has resided in Lawrence County, Ohio, with Peter Poe and Mary Poe, parents. Said Plaintiffs are actual persons who sue under fictitious names because they fear retribution from the defendants and humiliation and embarrassment from friends, neighbors, and the public for their participation in this litigation.

DEFENDANTS

- 11. Defendant LLOYD W. BURWELL is the Juvenile Court
 Judge of Lawrence County, Ohio. As such, said defendant is
 responsible under Ohio Revised Code \$\$2151.10, 2151.23,
 2151.312, and 2151.34, and under Rules 6, 7, 8, and 9 of the
 Ohio Rules of Juvenile Procedure, for the detention, custody,
 care, placement and release of all children brought before the
 juvenile court who are alleged to be juvenile traffic offenders,
 delinquents, unruly, abused, neglected, or dependent. Said
 defendant is sued in his official capacity.
- 12. Defendants MARK A. MALONE, DONALD LAMBERT, and Dr. CARL T. BAKER are the County Commissioners of Lawrence County, Ohio. As such, said defendants are responsible under Ohio Revised Code §§2151.10 and 2151.34 for appropriating such sums of money as well provide for the maintenance and operation of juvenile detention facilities and placements, and for the care, maintenance, education, and support of neglected, abused, dependent, unruly, and delinquent children. Said defendants are sued individually and in their official capacities.
- 13. Defendant DANIEL HIERONIMUS is the sheriff of Lawrence County, Ohio. As such, said defendant is responsible under Ohio Revised Code §341.01 for the safety and welfare of all persons confined in the Lawrence County Jail. Said defendant is sued individually and in his official capacity.
- 13a. Defendant LAWRENCE COUNTY, OHIO, is a local government unit in the state of Ohio. In its capacity as a local governing body, said defendant has implemented, executed, and adopted the policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein through formal adoption or pursuant to governmental custom. The practices, acts and omissions complained of herein are customs and usages of defendant LAWRENCE COUNTY, OHIO.

CLASS ACTION

14. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23(a), (b)(l) and (b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The class consists of all juveniles who are currently, have been during the past two years, and in the future will be confined in the Lawrence County Jail.

- of all members is impracticable. According to the available statistics, 178 juveniles were confined in the Lawrence County Jail in 1979. In addition, there are questions of law and fact common to the members of the plaintiff class regarding practices of the defendants, and the claims of the named plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the members of the plaintiff class. The named plaintiffs and plaintiffs' counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the class.
- 16. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the class which would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the adjudications or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.
- 17. By their policies, the defendants have acted and continue to act on grounds and in a manner generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.
- 18. The injuries suffered by the named plaintiffs and the members of the plaintiff class as a result of the policies and practices of defendants complained of herein are capable of repetition, yet may evade review, thereby making class relief appropriate.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- I. CONDITIONS AT THE LAWRENCE COUNTY JAIL
- 19. The Lawrence County Jail is a secure building located at 115 South 5th Street, Ironton, Ohio. The jail was built in 1974.
- 20. The Lawrence County Jail was designed to hold a maximum of sixty prisoners in cells in the cellblocks, plus an additional number of prisoners in the small "holding tank." The cells in the cellblocks and the holding tank are frequently overcrowded with prisoners.
- 21. The Lawrence County Jail contains several cellblocks on both sides of a main hall corridor. On the east side of the main hall corridor are located three cellblocks. The cellblock nearest the entrance to the jail contains female prisoners.

 Adjoining the female cellblock is another cellblock containing juvenile male prisoners. Adjoining the juvenile male cellblock is the third cellblock, containing adult male trustees. Each cellblock contains three individual cells.
- 22. On the west side of the main hall corridor are located several cellblocks containing adult male prisoners.
- 23. There is an open area and catwalk above the cellblocks.
- 24. The individual cells in the cellblocks are approximately four feet wide and seven feet long. Each cell contains a bed, a commode, and a shower. The cells contain no other furniture or fixtures.
- 25. Plaintiffs confined in the jail for more than two days are issued green institutional-type coveralls by defendants and are required to wear said coveralls during their confinement in the jail.

31 //

15 |

- 27. Defendants confine plaintiffs to their cellblocks during the entire period of their confinement, except when plaintiffs meet with visitors. Defendants fail to provide plaintiffs with opportunities or facilities for exercise or recreation.
- 28. Defendants do not allow plaintiffs to telephone relatives, ministers, or friends during the period of plaintiffs' incarceration in the jail.
- 29. Defendants fail to provide stamps or stationery for plaintiffs to correspond with family members, attorneys, or friends. Defendants allow plaintiffs to send letters to family members, attorneys or friends only if plaintiffs are able to purchase stamps and stationery.
- 30. Defendants do not allow plaintiffs to have visits from family members except on Tuesdays and Thursdays.

 Defendants fail to provide any suitable area for visits from family members, and plaintiffs must visit with family members in hallways in the jail.
- 31. Defendants fail to provide plaintiffs with any suitable area for conferences with attorneys.
- 32. Defendants fail to provide any medical or
 psychological screening or examination for plaintiffs when they

29 //

//

28

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

30 //

31 //

are taken into custody. Defendants fail to provide regular medical or mental health services to plaintiffs.

- 33. Defendants fail to provide jail personnel who are trained in identifying and responding to problems of juveniles. Defendants' failure to provide trained staff results in lack of attention to problems of plaintiffs during their confinement, lack of appropriate assessment of plaintiffs' physical and emotional needs, and lack of programs for plaintiffs during their confinement.
- 34. Defendants fail to provide plaintiffs with any educational program during plaintiffs' period of confinement.
- Lawrence County Jail where plaintiffs are not separated by sight and sound from adults confined in the jail. Defendants confine female juvenile plaintiffs in the same cellblock in which female adult inmates are confined. Female juvenile plaintiffs are thus commingled with female adult inmates, with a total absence of separation. In addition, male and female adult inmates can communicate verbally with male and female juveniles confined in the jail, since there is no adequate separation by sound of males from females or adults from juveniles in the jail. Plaintiffs also have regular contact with adult inmate trustees in the jail.
- 36. As a result of defendants' policies and practices complained of herein, plaintiffs' confinement in the Lawrence County Jail is cruel, harsh, punitive and oppressive. Defendants subject plaintiffs to an atmosphere and an environment in said jail which are totally unlike the atmosphere and environment of a family home or appropriate detention facility.
- 37. Named plaintiff DEBORAH DOE was taken into custody by the defendants during February, 1981, on the ground that she had

1 | temporarily run away from her parents' home. Said plaintiff had, in fact, voluntarily returned to her parents' home before she was taken into custody by the defendants. Soon after said plaintiff was taken into custody, she was confined in the Lawrence County Jail. During her confinement in the Lawrence County Jail, said plaintiff was subjected to the circumstances and conditions complained of herein. In addition, during her confinement in the Lawrence County Jail, said plaintiff was subjected to sexual battery by an adult male deputy jailer and/ or two adult male inmates at the jail, all of whom were under the supervision of the defendants herein. Said plaintiff was subsequently released from custody and placed on probation until the end of 1981 by defendant BURWELL. Said plaintiff can and will be returned to confinement in the Lawrence County Jail at any time that defendant BURWELL determines that said plaintiff has violated her probation. Named plaintiff ROBERT ROE was taken into custody on April 17, 1981, and charged with theft. Soon after said plaintiff was taken into custody, he was confined in the Lawrence County Jail, where he was subjected to the circumstances and conditions complained of herein. Said plaintiff was recently transferred from the Lawrence County Jail to another placement, but he remains under the jurisdiction and supervision of defendant BURWELL, and can and will be returned to confinement in the jail at any time that defendant BURWELL determines that said plaintiff has violated his probation. Named plaintiff POE was taken into custody on 1981, and charged with . Soon after said plaintiff was taken into custody, said plaintiff was confined in the Lawrence County Jail, where said plaintiff is currently being incarcerated. Said plaintiff is currently being subjected to the circumstances and conditions complained of herein.

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

26

28

29

31

-11-

- II. DETENTION OF JUVENILES IN THE LAWRENCE COUNTY JAIL
- 38. During 1979, 178 juveniles were confined in the Lawrence County Jail.
- 39. Defendants confine and detain in the Lawrence County
 Jail significantly more juveniles than are similarly confined
 and detained in other counties in Ohio. Statistics on numbers
 of juveniles confined in county jails in Ohio and juvenile
 populations of the various counties indicate that
 proportionately more juveniles are confined and detained in the
 Lawrence County Jail than are confined and detained in any other
 county in Ohio.
- 40. During 1979, juveniles detained in Lawrence County
 Jail were confined for an average of five days each. Upon
 information and belief, juveniles were similarly detained in
 1980, and are currently detained in the jail are confined for a
 similar period of time.
- 41. Available statistics indicate that during 1979, one out of every eighty juveniles in Lawrence County between the ages of 5 and 17 was locked up in the Lawrence County Jail for five days. Upon information and belief, the same percentage of juveniles is being detained in the jail at the present time.
- 42. Defendants knowingly confine and detain plaintiffs without their consent and without proper legal authority.
- 43. The defendants will continue to confine and detain excessive numbers of juveniles in the Lawrence County Jail unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested herein.

27

7

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

28 //

//

29 //

30 //

31 | //

//

III. ABUSES OF JUDICIAL AUTHORITY

- 44. Defendant LLOYD W. BURWELL, Juvenile Court Judge of Lawrence County, regularly subjects plaintiffs to arbitrary and capricious abuses of his judicial authority.
- 45. Defendant BURWELL, as a matter of policy and practice, arbitrarily and capriciously confines in the Lawrence County Jail plaintiffs who are charged with offenses which would not be crimes if committed by adults. For example, defendant BURWELL confines alleged truants in the jail, ordering such juveniles held in detention one day for each day such juveniles are allegedly truant from school.
- 46. Defendant BURWELL, as a matter of policy and practice, arbitrarily and capriciously confines plaintiffs in the Lawrence County Jail when detention of such juveniles is not required to protect the person and property of others or those of the juveniles, there is no danger that the juveniles would abscond or be removed from the jurisdiction of the court, and there are parents, guardians, or custodians or other persons able to provide supervision and care for the juveniles and return them to court when required.
- 47. Defendant BRUWELL, as a matter of policy and practice, arbitrarily and capriciously orders that plaintiffs confined in the Lawrence County Jail be denied needed medical care and medication.
- 48. Defendant BURWELL, as a matter of policy and practice, arbitrarily and capriciously orders that plaintiffs be prohibited from having visits from parents or relatives. Said defendant threatens to hold such parents and relatives in contempt of court, and to summarily incarcerate them, if they attempt to visit said plaintiffs.
- 49. Defendant BURWELL, as a matter of policy and practice, arbitrarily and capriciously denies plaintiffs prompt

detention hearings, for the purpose of punishing plaintiffs by confining them in the Lawrence County Jail for periods longer than the seventy-two hour maximum established by Rule 7 of the Ohio Rules of Juvenile Procedure.

- 50. Defendant BURWELL, as a matter of policy and practifice, arbitrarily and capriciously threatens, intimidates, and harasses plaintiffs and their parents and relatives during court proceedings, including threatening plaintiffs with corporal punishment by large paddles which said defendant keeps in his courthouse.
- 51. Defendant BURWELL, as a matter of policy and practice, arbitrarily and capriciously confines and detains plaintiffs in the Lawrenc County Jail on the basis of extrajudicial information which has not been properly received in evidence at detention hearings.
- 52. Defendant BURWELL, as a matter of policy and practice, arbitrarily and capriciously coerces and intimidates plaintiffs into waiving plaintiffs' constitutional right to counsel.
- 53. Defendant BURWELL, as a matter of policy and practice, arbitrarily and capriciously commits plaintiffs to the Lawrence County Jail when other more appropriate and less restrictive alternatives are available.
- 54. Defendant BURWELL, as a matter of policy and practice, arbitrarily and capriciously threatens to confine and detain plaintiffs in Lawrence County Jail if they do not leave Lawrence County permanently.
- 55. Defendant BURWELL, as a matter of policy and practice, arbitrarily and capriciously threatens to have plaintiffs arrested without probable cause if they appear in the city of Ironton.

56. Defendant BURWELL, as a matter of policy and 2 practice, arbitrarily and capriciously orders plaintiffs placed 3 in programs in other states, when appropriate placements are available in the state of Ohio.

57. The arbitrary and capricious abuses of judicial authority by defendant BURWELL will continue unless plaintiffs 7 are granted the relief requested herein.

11

5

9 //

10 //

11 //

12 [//

13 //

14 //

15 11 16 '//

17 1/

18 //

19 //

20 //

21 1//

22 //

23 1/

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 11

28 //

29 //

30 | //

//

IV. SECURE DETENTION OF STATUS OFFENDERS AND OTHER JUVENILES PRESENTING NO DANGER TO THEMSELVES OR OTHERS

58. Since 1976, the State of Ohio has received funds totalling more than \$14,000,000. from the federal office Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, an agency of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, which is part of the United States Department of Justice. Said funds have been granted to the State for implementation of the Juvenile Justice Act.

- U.S.C. §5633(12), provides that, in order to receive funding under the Act, a State must comply with the requirement that juveniles who are charged with or who have committed offenses which would not be criminal if committed by an adult ("status offenses"), or offenses which do not constitute violations of valid court orders, and such nonoffenders as dependent or neglected children, shall not be placed in secure juvenile detention or correctional facilities. Instead, a State must require that such juveniles, if placed in facilities at all, are placed in facilities which are the least restrictive alternatives appropriate to the needs of the child and the community, are in reasonable proximity to the family and the home community, and provide "community-based" services, as defined in 42 U.S.C. §5603(1).
- Lawrence County Jail juveniles who are charged with or who have committed offenses which would not be criminal if committed by an adult, where such offenses do not constitute violations of valid court orders. During 1979, at least 33 status offenders were confined and detained in the jail. Similar numbers of status offenders have been confined in the Lawrence County Jail in previous years, and similar numbers will be confined in the

future unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested herein.

- 61. Rule 7 of the Ohio Rules of Juvenile Procedure provides that a child taken into custody shall not be placed in detention or shelter care prior to final disposition unless his detention or care is required to protect the person and property of others or those of the child, or the child may abscond or be removed from the jurisdiction of the court, or he has no parent, guardian, or custodian or other person able to provide supervision and care for him and return him to the court when required.
- Lawrence County Jail juveniles for whom detention or care is not required to protect the person or property of others or those of the child, there is no danger that the child will abscond or be removed from the jurisdiction of the court, and there are parents, guardians, or custodians or other persons able to provide supervision over him and return him to the court when required. Many of the 178 juveniles detained in th jail during 1979 were not properly detained under Rule 7 of the Ohio Rules of Juvenile Procedure. Similar numbers of juveniles have been confined in the Lawrence County Jail in previous years, and similar numbers will be confined in the future unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested herein.

25 //

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 //

27 1/

28 / //

29 //

30 //

31 //

V. DETENTION OF JUVENILES IN JAIL WITHOUT ADEQUATE SEPARATION FROM ADULT OFFENDERS.

0.S.C. §5633(13), provides that, in order to receive funding under the Act, a State must comply with the requirement that juveniles alleged to be or found to be delinquent, and youths within the purview of section 223(12) of the Act [described in Paragraph 57 above], shall not be detained or confined in any institution in which they have regular contact with adult persons incarcerated because they have been convicted of a crime or are awaiting trial on criminal charges.

- 64. The defendants regularly confine and detain juveniles alleged to be or found to be delinquents, and juveniles alleged to be or found to be status offenders, in the Lawrence County Jail, where such juveniles have regular contact with adult persons incarcerated because they have been convicted of a crime or are awaiting trial on criminal charges. During 1979, 178 such juveniles were confined and detained in said jail. Similar numbers of juveniles have been confined and detained in the Lawrence County Jail in previous years, and similar numbers will be confined and detained in the future unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested herein.
- of the Ohio Revised Code §§2151.312 and 2151.34 and Rule 7 of the Ohio Rules of Juvenile Procedure provide that no child shall be placed in or committed to any prison, jail, lockup or any other place where such child can come into contact or communication with any adult convicted of crime, under arrest or charged with crime. Ohio Revised Code §§2151.312 and 2151.34 and Rule 7 further provide that a child may be detained in a jail or other facility for detention of adults only if (1) the child is alleged to be delinquent, (2) there is no detention center or other appropriate facility available, (3) the

٠٠.

detention is in a room separate and removed from those for adults, and (4) public safety or protection of the child or others reasonably requires such detention.

66. The defendants regularly confine and detain juveniles in the Lawrence County Jail in violation of the requirements of Ohio Revised Code §§2151.312 and 2151.34 and Rule 7 of the Ohio Rules of Juveniles Procedure. Juveniles have been similarly confined and detained in the Lawrence County Jail in previous years, and juveniles will be similarly confined and detained in the future unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested herein.

12 //

11

7

13 //

14 //

15 //

16 //

17 //

18 //

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

29 //

30 //

31 //

IV. FAILURE AND REFUSAL TO PROVIDE AND UTILIZE
APPROPRIATE COMMUNITY-BASED ALTERNATIVES TO
PLACEMENT OF JUVENILES IN LAWRENCE COUNTY JAIL

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24 25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

67. Section 223(12) of the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. §5633(12), requires States receiving funding under the Act to provide non-secure placements for status offenders and nonoffenders as alternatives to placement in jails and other secure facilities. Such non-secure placements must be the least restictive alternative appropriate to the needs of the children and the community, must be in reasonable proximity to the children's families and the home communities, and must provide "community-based" services. Section 103(1) of the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. §5603(1), defines "community based" facility, program or service as "a small, open group home or other suitable place located near the juveniles's home or family and programs of community supervision and service which maintain community and consumer participation in the planning, operation, and evaluation of their programs which may include, but are not limited to, medical, educational, vocational, social, and psychological guidance, training, counseling, alcoholism treatment, drug treatment, and other rehabilitative services."

68. Ohio Revised Code \$2151.34 provides that a detention home for juveniles shall be furnished and carried on, as far as possible, as a family home in charge of a superintendent or matron in a non-punitive neutral atmosphere; that an education program with competent and trained staff shall be provided for children of school age; that a sufficient number of trained recreational personnel shall be included among the staff to assure wholesome and profitable leisure-time activities; and that medical and mental health services shall be made available for the juveniles. Ohio Revised Code \$2151.34 further provides that in any county in which there is no detention home, or which

-20-

is not served by a district detention home, the board of county commissioners shall provide funds for the boarding of juveniles in private homes, in certified or uncertified foster homes, or in other appropriate placements arranged by ther county department of welfare, county children services board, or other certified organization.

an appropriate detention home or other community-based alternatives to placement of juveniles in the Lawrence County Jail. Instead the defendants regularly confine and detain juveniles in Lawrence County Jail. Defendant BURWELL also orders juveniles placed in programs in other states, when appropriate placements are available in the state of Ohio. Juveniles in Lawrence County are similarly been denied access to, and placement in, an appropriate detention home or other community-based alternatives in previous years, and juveniles in Lawrence County will be similarly denied such placements in the future unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested herein.

20 //

1

10

12

13

14

16

18

19

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

29 //

30 //

31 //

CRUEL, UNCONSCIONABLE AND ILLEGAL CONDITIONS VII. OF CONFINEMENT

70. Defendants regularly subject plaintiffs to the cruel, unconscionable and illegal conditions of confinement described above. Defendants have similarly confined and detained juveniles in Lawrence County Jail under such conditions in previous years, and defendants will continue to confine juveniles under such conditions in the future unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested herein.

11 10

1

2

3

11 11

11 12

11 13

11

// 15

// 16

//

//

17

18

19 //

20 | //

// 21

22

11 23

// 24

25 1/

26 1/

27 1/

// 28

29 1/

30 1/

31 //

32 1/

VIII. KNOWLEDGE AND INTENT OF DEFENDANTS

1

7

11

13

14

15

17

- 71. Defendant LLOYD W. BURWELL, as the Juvenile Court Judge of Lawrence County, is responsible under Ohio Revised Code §§2151.10, 2151.23, 2151.312, 2151.34, and under Rules 6, 7, 8, and 9 of the Ohio Rules of Juveniles Procedure, for the detention, custody, care, placement and release of all children brought before the juvenile court who are alleged to be juvenile traffic offenders, delinquents, unruly, abused, neglected, or dependent. In such capacity, said defendant knew or should have known of the conditions and circumstances alleged herein and should have taken steps to correct said conditions and circumstances. Having failed to do so, said defendant is in violation of the federal laws and Ohio statutory sections listed above.
- 72. Defendants MARK A. MALONE, DONALD LAMBERT, and DR. CARL T. BAKER, as the County Commissioners of Lawrence County, are responsible under Ohio Revised Code §§2151.10 and 2151.34 18 for appropriating such sums of money as will provide for the 19 maintenance and operation of juvenile detention facilities and 20 placements, and for the care, maintenance, education, and 21 support of neglected, abused, dependent, unruly and delinquent 22 children. As such, said defendants knew or should have known of 23 the conditions and circumstances alleged herein and should have 24 taken steps to correct said conditions and circumstances. 25 Having failed to do so, said defendants are in violation of the 26 federal laws and Ohio statutory sections listed above.
- 73. Defendant DANIEL HIERONIMUS is the sheriff of 28 Lawrence County, Ohio. As such, said defendant is responsible 29 under Ohio Revised Code \$341.01 for the safety and welfare of 30 all persons confined in the Lawrence County Jail. As such, said 31 defendant knew or should have known of the conditions and 32 circumstances alleged herein and should have taken steps to

1 correct said conditions and circumstances. Having failed to do 2 so, said defendant is in violation of the federal laws and Ohio 3 statutory sections listed above. 74. As a proximate result of the policies, practices, acts, and omissions of defendants complained lof herein, 6 plaintiffs have suffered and will continue to suffer serious 7 physical, psychological, and emotional injuries. 8 // 9 11 10 // 11 1/ 12 // 11 13 14 // 15 // 11 16 17 // 18 // 19 // 20 11 21 // 22 // 23 11 24 // 25 11 26 // 27 1/ 28 //

-24-

29 //

//

//

30 //

31

LEGAL CLAIMS

1

2

3

5

6

7

11

12

13

1.5

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

27 28

29

30

31

75. For plaintiffs' claims, each enumerated below, they reallege Paragraphs 1 through 74 above, as if fully set forth herein, in each and every statement of claim, and further allege:

FIRST CLAIM

76. Defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and specifically defendants' subjection of plaintiffs to the cruel, unconscionable and illegal conditions of confinement in the Lawrence County Jail, subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Ohio constitution; subject plaintiffs to cruel and unusual punishments, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio constitution; violate plaintiffs' rights to freedom of association and to the free exercise of religion, guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to privacy, guaranteed by the First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to receive treatment in the least restrictive setting and under the least restrictive conditions, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and the Ohio Constitution and Ohio statutes; violate plaintiffs' rights under 42 U.S.C. \$1983; and violate plaintiffs' rights under the Ohio statutory sections cited herein.

SECOND CLAIM

77. Defendant LLOYD W. BURWELL's policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and specifically said defendant's subjection of plaintiffs to arbitrary and capricious

abuses of his judicial authority, subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Ohio Constitution; subject plaintiffs to cruel and unusual punishments, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio Constitution; violate plaintiffs' rights to freedom of association and to the free exercise of religion, guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to privacy, guaranteed by the First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to receive treatment in the least restrictive setting and under the least restrictive conditions, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Ohio Constitution and Ohio statutes; violate plaintiffs' rights under 42 U.S.C. \$1983; and violate plaitniffs' rights under the Ohio statutory sections cited herein.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

THIRD CLAIM

78. Defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and specifically defendants' secure confinement and detention in Lawrence County Jail of status offenders and other juveniles presenting no danger to themselves or others, violate plaintiffs' rights under the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. \$5633(12); subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Ohio Constitution's; subject plaintiffs to cruel and unusual punishments, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio Constitution; violate plaintiffs' rights to freedom of association and to the free exercise of

1 | religion, guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to privacy, guaranteed by the First, A Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio constitution; violate 6 plaintiffs' right to receive treatment in the least restrictive setting and under the least restrictive conditions, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution 9 and the Ohio constitution and Ohio statutes; violate plaintiffs' rights under the Ohio statutory section cited herein.

FOURTH CLAIM

11

12

14

15

16

18

20

21

22 23

24

79. Defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and specifically defendants' detention and confinement of juveniles in Lawrence County Jail without adequate separation from adult offenders, violate plaintiffs' rights under the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. §5633(13); 17 subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution 19 and the Ohio constitution; subject plaintiffs to cruel and unusual punishments, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio Constitution; violate plaintiffs' rights to freedom of association and to the free exercise of religion, guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States 25 | Constitution and the Ohio constitution; violate plaintiffs' 26 right to privacy, guaranteed by the First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, 27 and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and 28 the Ohio constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to receive 29 treatment in the least restrictive setting and under the least 30 restrictive conditions, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment 31 to the United States Constitution and the Ohio constitution and 32 Ohio statutes; violate plaintiffs' rights under 42 U.S.C. §1983;

and violate plaintiffs' rights under the Ohio statutory sections cited herein.

FIFTH CLAIM

80. Defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and specifically defendants' failure and refusal to provide and utilize a detention home or other appropriate community-based alternatives to placement of juveniles in Lawrence County Jail, violate plaintiffs' rights under the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. §§5633(12), 5603(1); subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Ohio Constitution; subject plaintiffs to cruel and unusual punishments, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth 14 Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio 15 Constitution; violate plaintiffs' rights to freedom of association and to the free exercise of religion, guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to privacy, guaranteed by the First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to receive 22 treatment in the least restrictive setting and under the least 23 restrictive conditions, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment 24 to the United States Constitution, and the Ohio constitution, 25 and Ohio statutes; violate plaintiffs' rights under 42 U.S.C. \$1983; and violate plaintiffs' rights under the Ohio statutory 27 sections cited herein.

28 //

10

11

13

17

18

19

20

21

29 1/

30 //

31 //

SIXTH CLAIM

81. Defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and specifically defendants' knowing confinement and detention of plaintiffs without plaintiffs' consent and without proper legal authority, constitute false imprisonment and subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Ohio Constitution, violate plaintiffs' rights under 42 U.S.C. §1983, and violate plaintiffs' rights under Ohio statutory law.

NO ADEQUATE REMEDY AT LAW

82. As a proximate result of the defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and the conditions and circumstances described herein to which plaintiffs are subjected, plaintiffs have suffered, do suffer, and will continue to suffer immediate and irreparable injury. Plaintiffs have no plain, adeuqate, or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs described herein. Plaintiffs will continue to be irreparably injured by the policies, practices, acts and omissions of the defendants unless this Court grants the injunctive relief which plaintiffs seek.

22 //

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 | //

28 //

29 //

30 //

31 //

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray that this Court:

- A. Assume jurisdiction of this action;
- B. Immediately order that the named plaintiff be released from the Lawrence County Jail to the custody of officials of the county department of welfare for placement in an appropriate least restrictive setting, or released to the custody of parents or guardians until further order of this Court;
- C. Issue an order certifying this action to proceed as a class action pursuant to Rule 23(a), (b)(1) and (b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- D. Issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202, and Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that the policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein:
- (1) violate plaintiffs' rights under the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. §5601 et seq.;
- (2) subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and of the Ohio Constitution;
- (3) subject plaintiffs to cruel and unusual punishment, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio Constitution;
- (4) violate plaintiff's rights to freedom of association and to the free exercise of religion, guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio constitution;
- (5) violate plaintiff's right to privacy, guaranteed by the First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Ohio constitution;

32 //

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

conferences with plaintiffs' attorneys;

(h) medical and psychological screening when plaintiffs are taken into custody, and regular medical and mental health services during plaintiffs' periods of confinement:

(i) personnel training in identifying and
responding to problems of juveniles;

(j) an educational program with competent and trained staff for juveniles of school age;

- (k) a sufficient number of trained recreational
 personnel to provide wholesome and profitable leisure-time
 activities;
- (1) a homelike, non-punitive, neutral atmosphere and environment.
- (2) Restraining and prohibiting defendant LLOYD W.
 BURWELL from any of the following policies or practices:
- (a) ordering that any plaintiff confined in the Lawrence County Jail be denied needed medical care and medication;
- (b) ordering that any plaintiff be prohibited from having visits from parents or relatives.
- (c) denying any plaintiff a prompt detention hearing, such hearings to be held not later than seventy-two hours after plaintiff is taken into custody, or the next court day, whichever is earlier.
- (d) threatening, intimidating, or harassing any plaintiff or his parents or relatives during court proceedings.
- (e) detaining any plaintiff in the Lawrence
 County Jail on the basis of extrajudicial information which has
 not been properly received in evidence at a detention hearing;
- (f) coercing and intimidating any plaintiff into waiving his constitutional right to counsel;
- (g) committing any plaintiff to the Lawrence County Jail when other more appropriate and less restrictive alternatives are available;
- (h) threatening to confine and detain any juvenile in the Lawrence County Jail if such juvenile does not leave Lawrence County permanently;

(i) threatening to have any plaintiff arrested without probable cause if he appears in the city of Ironton.

(3) Restraining and prohibiting all defendants from confining or detaining in the Lawrence County Jail or in any other secure facility any juvenile who is charged with or who has committed an offense which would not be criminal if committed by an adult, where such offense does not constitute a violation of a valid court order.

- (4) Restraining and prohibiting all defendants from confining or detaining any juvenile in any detention facility unless such detention is required to protect the person and property of others or those of the juvenile, or there is a danger that the juvenile would abscond or be removed from the jurisdiction of the court, or the juvenile has no parent, guardian, or custodian or other person able to provide supervision and care for hilm and return him to court when required;
- (5) Restraining and prohibiting all defendants from confining and detaining any juvenile in the Lawrence County Jail unless the child is alleged to be delinquent, there is no detention center or other appropriate facility available, the detention is in a room separate and removed from those for adults, and public safety and protection of the child or others reasonably requires such detention;
- (6) Restraining and prohibiting all defendants from confining and detaining any juvenile in the Lawrence County Jail unless such juvenile is completely separated and removed from contact by sight or sound with adult inmates;
- (7) Restraining and prohibiting all defendants from failing to provide and utilize appropriate community-based alternatives to placement of juveniles in the Lawrence County Jail.

I

~33~

- F. Issue preliminary and permanent injunctions restraining and prohibiting all defendants from transferring any plaintiff to any other jail or any other facility where there exist the conditions complained of herein.
- G. Order the defendant to develop and implement a comprehensive plan for the correction of the unlawful policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and to submit said plan to the court and to the attorneys for plaintiffs for review.
- H. Appoint a Special Master to review and insure implementation of the plan submitted by defendants and to protect the rights of plaintiffs during the pendency of this action.
- I. Issue a judgment assessing general and special damages against the defendants for injuries suffered by the named plaintiff as a proximate result of the policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, in an amount to be established by the proof.
- J. Issue a judgment on behalf of the named plaintiff assessing punitive damages against the defendants MARK A.

 MALONE, DONALD LAMBERT, DR. CARL T. BAKER, and DANIEL HIERONIMUS for the policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, in the amount of \$100,000 against each of said defendants.
- K. Retain jurisdiction over defendants and each of them until such time as the Court is satisfied that their unlawful policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein no longer exist and will not recur.

29 //

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

30 //

31 //

32 1/