# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, et al.

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. 1:25-cv-10814-BEM

ROBERT F. KENNEDY, JR., et al.,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65 and Local Rule 7.1, plaintiffs Massachusetts, California, Maryland, Washington, Arizona, Delaware, Hawai'i, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oregon, Rhode Island, and Wisconsin ("plaintiffs")<sup>1</sup> respectfully move for a preliminary injunction enjoining defendants from implementing the unlawful directives referred to collectively as the "Challenged Directives," as defined in the proposed order attached as <a href="Exhibit A">Exhibit A</a> to this motion. These Challenged Directives have functioned to restrict NIH funding for existing research opportunities or research awards to projects or grant recipients that relate to topics disfavored by defendants. And they have resulted in the delay, suspension, or cancellation of the procedural steps necessary to review and dispose of plaintiffs' NIH grant applications. Plaintiffs satisfy the requirements for preliminary injunctive relief.

First, plaintiffs are likely to succeed on the merits of their claims that the Challenged Directives are unlawful in multiple respects. As explained in the accompanying memorandum of

 $^{\rm I}$  Colorado is also a plaintiff in this action but is not a party to this motion.

\_

law, the Challenged Directives and their implementation violate the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) and the U.S. Constitution and constitute *ultra vires* Executive action.

Second, plaintiffs will suffer immediate and irreparable harm if the Challenged Directives remain in effect: the cessation of funding will immediately jeopardize contemplated and ongoing research programs that cannot proceed without continued financial support. Some of plaintiffs' public institutions are being forced to stop research activities, reduce personnel, and even shut down programs, including active clinical trials. Moreover, defendants' actions have caused operational chaos and confusion to these institutions, upending months, if not years, of planning and plunging them into budgetary and programmatic uncertainty.

Third, the balance of equities and the public interest weigh heavily in favor of a preliminary injunction. Plaintiffs have a substantial interest in the continued successful operation of research programs at their institutions of higher learning. And the only "harm" defendants will face from a preliminary injunction is that they will have to continue to fulfill their long-established responsibility to support public health research, rather than abandon it with the effect of jeopardizing biomedical research nationwide.

Plaintiffs further request that the Court exercise its discretion to waive the requirement to post a bond under Rule 65(c). See, e.g., Int'l Assoc. of Machinists and Aerospace Workers v. Eastern Airlines, 925 F.2d 6, 9 (1st Cir. 1991) (finding "ample authority for the proposition that the provisions of Rule 65(c) are not mandatory and that a district court retains substantial discretion to dictate the terms of an injunction bond."); see also da Silva Medeiros v. Martin, 458 F. Supp. 3d 122, 130 (D.R.I. 2020) (waiving the bond requirement where it would pose a hardship on petitioners and unduly restrict the federal rights at issue); Pineda v. Skinner Services, Inc., 22 F.4th 47, 57 (1st Cir. 2021) (district court did not abuse its discretion when it did not

require low-wage laborers to post a bond). To the extent a bond is required, plaintiffs request that the bond be nominal, consistent with the practice in this Circuit. *See Maine v. U.S. Dep't of Agriculture*, 1:25-cv-131, 2025 WL 1088946, at \*29–30 (D. Me. Apr. 11, 2025) (collecting cases).

In support of this request for a preliminary injunction, plaintiffs rely on the memorandum of law, declarations, and evidence filed in support of this motion.

Wherefore, plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court enter a preliminary injunction in the form set forth in the proposed order attached to this motion.

April 14, 2025

#### ANDREA JOY CAMPBELL

Attorney General of Massachusetts

/s/ Gerard J. Cedrone

Katherine B. Dirks (BBO No. 673674) Chief State Trial Counsel

Gerard J. Cedrone (BBO No. 699674)

Deputy State Solicitor

Allyson Slater (BBO No. 704545)

Deputy Director, Reproductive Justice Unit

Rachel M. Brown (BBO No. 667369)

Vanessa A. Arslanian (BBO No. 688099)

Chris Pappavaselio (BBO No. 713519)

Assistant Attorneys General

Office of the Attorney General

One Ashburton Place, 20th Floor

Boston, MA 02108

(617) 963-2282

gerard.cedrone@mass.gov

Counsel for the

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

## ANTHONY G. BROWN

Attorney General of Maryland

/s/ James C. Luh

Michael Drezner\*

James C. Luh\*

Senior Assistant Attorneys General 200 Saint Paul Place, 20th Floor

Baltimore, MD 21202

(410) 576-6959

mdrezner@oag.state.md.us

Counsel for the State of Maryland

Respectfully submitted.

#### **ROB BONTA**

Attorney General of California

/s/ Emilio Varanini

Neli Palma

Senior Assistant Attorney General

Emilio Varanini\*

Kathleen Boergers\*

Supervising Deputy Attorneys General

Nimrod Pitsker Elias\*

Daniel D. Ambar\*

Ketakee R. Kane\*

Sophia TonNu\*

Hilary Chan\*

Deputy Attorneys General

455 Golden Gate Avenue

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 510-3541

emilio.varanini@doj.ca.gov

Counsel for the State of California

## NICHOLAS W. BROWN

Attorney General of Washington

/s/ Andrew Hughes

Andrew Hughes\*

Tyler Roberts\*

Assistant Attorneys General

800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000

Seattle, WA 98104-3188

(206) 464-7744

andrew.hughes@atg.wa.gov

Counsel for the State of Washington

#### KRISTIN K. MAYES

Attorney General of Arizona

/s/ Joshua G. Nomkin

Joshua G. Nomkin\*

Assistant Attorney General
2005 N. Central Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85004
(602) 542-3333
joshua.nomkin@azag.gov

Counsel for the State of Arizona

#### ANNE E. LOPEZ

Attorney General of Hawai'i

/s/ Kalikoʻonālani D. Fernandes

David D. Day\*

Special Assistant to the Attorney General

Kaliko'onālani D. Fernandes\*

Solicitor General

425 Queen Street

Honolulu, HI 96813

(808) 586-1360

kaliko.d.fernandes@hawaii.gov

Counsel for the State of Hawai 'i

#### AARON D. FORD

Attorney General of Nevada

/s/ Heidi Parry Stern

Heidi Parry Stern\*
Solicitor General
1 State of Nevada Way, Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV 89119
hstern@ag.nv.gov

Counsel for the State of Nevada

#### **KATHLEEN JENNINGS**

Attorney General of Delaware

/s/ Vanessa L. Kassab

Ian R. Liston\*\*

Director of Impact Litigation

Vanessa L. Kassab\*

Deputy Attorney General

820 N. French Street

Wilmington, DE 19801

(302) 683-8899

vanessa.kassab@delaware.gov

Counsel for the State of Delaware

## **KEITH ELLISON**

Attorney General of Minnesota

/s/ Pete Farrell

Peter J. Farrell\*

Deputy Solicitor General

445 Minnesota Street, Suite 600

St. Paul, Minnesota, 55101

(651) 757-1424

peter.farrell@ag.state.mn.us

Counsel for the State of Minnesota

#### MATTHEW J. PLATKIN

Attorney General of New Jersey

/s/ Nancy Trasande

Nancy Trasande\*

Bryce Hurst\*

Deputy Attorneys General

Office of the Attorney General

124 Halsey Street, 5th Floor

Newark, NJ 07101

(609) 954-2368

Nancy.Trasande@law.njoag.gov

Counsel for the State of New Jersey

## RAÚL TORREZ

Attorney General of New Mexico

/s/ Astrid Carrete

Astrid Carrete\* Assistant Attorney General 408 Galisteo Street Santa Fe, NM 87501 (505) 270-4332 acarrete@nmdoj.gov

Counsel for the State of New Mexico

#### **DAN RAYFIELD**

Attorney General of Oregon

/s/ Christina L. Beatty-Walters

Christina L. Beatty-Walters\* Senior Assistant Attorney General 100 SW Market Street Portland, OR 97201 (971) 673-1880 Tina.BeattyWalters@doj.oregon.gov

Counsel for the State of Oregon

#### JOSHUA L. KAUL

Attorney General of Wisconsin

/s/ Lynn K. Lodahl

Lynn K. Lodahl\* Assistant Attorney General 17 West Main Street Post Office Box 7857 Madison, WI 53707 (608) 264-6219 lodahllk@doj.state.wi.us

Counsel for the State of Wisconsin

#### **LETITIA JAMES**

Attorney General of New York

/s/ Rabia Mugaddam

Rabia Muqaddam\* Special Counsel for Federal Initiatives Molly Thomas-Jensen\* Special Counsel 28 Liberty Street New York, NY 10005 (929) 638-0447 rabia.muqaddam@ag.ny.gov

Counsel for the State of New York

## PETER F. NERONHA

Attorney General of Rhode Island

/s/ Jordan Broadbent

Jordan Broadbent\* Special Assistant Attorney General 150 South Main Street Providence, RI 02903 (401) 274-4400, Ext. 2060 jbroadbent@riag.ri.gov

Counsel for the State of Rhode Island

<sup>\*</sup> admitted pro hac vice

<sup>\*\*</sup> application for pro hac vice admission forthcoming

## **LOCAL RULE 7.1 CERTIFICATE**

I, Gerard J. Cedrone, certify that on April 8 and 9, 2025, I conferred with counsel for defendants regarding this motion, as set forth in plaintiffs' assented-to motion to set a briefing schedule (ECF No. 58).

/s/ Gerard J. Cedrone
Gerard J. Cedrone (BBO No. 699674)
Deputy State Solicitor
Office of the Attorney General
One Ashburton Place, 20th Floor
Boston, MA 02108
(617) 963-2282
gerard.cedrone@mass.gov

Counsel for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts