UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

STATE OF NEW YORK, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 25-cv-11221-FDS

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65 and Local Rule 7.1, plaintiffs New York, Massachusetts, Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Illinois, Maine, Maryland, the People of the State of Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, New Mexico, Oregon, Rhode Island, and Washington (States) respectfully move for a preliminary injunction enjoining Agency Defendants from implementing, relying in whole or in part, or otherwise giving effect to Section 2(a) of the Presidential Memorandum entitled *Temporary Withdrawal of All Areas on the Outer Continental Shelf from Offshore Wind Leasing and Review of the Federal Government's Leasing and Permitting Practices for Wind Projects*, 90 Fed. Reg. 8363 (Jan. 29, 2025) (the Wind Directive), to halt or otherwise impede development of wind-energy projects in the States and off the coasts of the States.

The States satisfy the requirements for preliminary injunctive relief. First, the States are likely to succeed on the merits of their claims that the Wind Directive itself and the implementation of and reliance on the Wind Directive by Agency Defendants are unlawful in multiple respects. As explained in the accompanying memorandum of law, Agency Defendants' implementation of

and reliance on the Wind Directive violates the Administrative Procedure Act because it is arbitrary and capricious and violates the statutes governing wind-energy permitting and approvals. Additionally, the Wind Directive and Agency Defendants' implementation thereof are ultra vires.

Second, the States will suffer immediate irreparable harm absent preliminary relief. Agency Defendants' halt of wind-energy development will hinder the States' ability to secure reliable and affordable electricity; strand billions of dollars in States' investments and impede economic development; obstruct the States' abilities to meet their clean-energy and climate goals; and delay reductions in emissions that harm public health. Indeed, the threat of these harms has sharply mounted in recent weeks as Agency Defendants have frozen ongoing construction and chilled investment in pending wind-energy projects, and now threaten the very existence of the nascent offshore-wind industry in particular.

Third, the balance of the equities and public interest weigh heavily in favor of a preliminary injunction. The requested injunction will only require Agency Defendants to resume processing and issuing decisions on wind-energy permits and approvals, allowing for the lawful development of wind energy. On the other hand, without the requested injunction, Agency Defendants' continued implementation of and reliance on the Wind Directive will cause the States the irreparable harms described above. There is also a strong public interest in, and no countervailing interest against, stopping Agency Defendants' unlawful conduct.

The States further request that the Court exercise its discretion to waive posting bond under Rule 65(c). See, e.g., Int'l Assoc. of Machinists and Aerospace Workers v. Eastern Airlines, 925 F.2d 6, 9 (1st Cir. 1991) (finding "ample authority for the proposition that the provisions of Rule 65(c) are not mandatory and that a district court retains substantial discretion to dictate the terms of an injunction bond"); see also da Silva Medeiros v. Martin, 458 F. Supp. 3d 122, 130

(D.R.I. 2020) (waiving bond where it would pose a hardship on petitioners and unduly restrict the federal rights at issue); *Pineda v. Skinner Services*, *Inc.*, 22 F.4th 47, 57 (1st Cir. 2021) (holding district court did not abuse its discretion when it did not require low-wage laborers to post a bond). To the extent a bond is required, plaintiffs request that the bond be nominal, consistent with the practice in this Circuit. *See Maine v. U.S. Dep't of Agriculture*, 1:25-cv-131, 2025 WL 1088946, at *29–30 (D. Me. Apr. 11, 2025) (collecting cases).

In support of this request for a preliminary injunction, the States rely on the memorandum of law, declarations, and evidence filed in support of this motion.

Wherefore, the States respectfully request that the Court enter a preliminary injunction in the form set forth in the proposed order attached to this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

May 12, 2025

LETITIA JAMES

Attorney General of New York

By: /s/ Michael J. Myers
Michael J. Myers*
Senior Counsel
Laura Mirman-Heslin*
Rene F. Hertzog*
Assistant Attorneys General
Environmental Protection Bureau
The Capitol
Albany, NY 12224
(518) 776-2382
Michael. Myers@ag.ny.gov

Counsel for the State of New York

ANDREA JOY CAMPBELL

Attorney General of Massachusetts

By: /s/ Turner H. Smith
Turner H. Smith, BBO No. 684750
 Assistant Attorney General & Deputy Chief
Nathaniel Haviland-Markowitz**
 Assistant Attorney General
Jonathan Whitney, BBO No. 694760
 Special Assistant Attorney General
Energy and Environment Bureau
Office of the Attorney General
1 Ashburton Pl.
Boston, MA 02108
(617) 963-2277
Turner.Smith@mass.gov

Counsel for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts

KRISTIN K. MAYES

Attorney General of Arizona

By: /s/ Mary M. Curtin
Mary M. Curtin*
Senior Litigation Counsel
Arizona Attorney General's Office
2005 North Central Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85004
(602) 542-3333
Mary.Curtin@azag.gov

Counsel for the State of Arizona

ROB BONTA

Attorney General of California

By: /s/ Kate M. Hammond
Kate M. Hammond*

Deputy Attorney General
Robert Swanson*

Acting Supervising Deputy Attorney General
Jamie Jefferson*

Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
(213) 269-6531
Kate.Hammond@doj.ca.gov
Robert.Swanson@doj.ca.gov
Jamie.Jefferson@doj.ca.gov

Counsel for the State of California

PHILIP J. WEISER

Attorney General of Colorado

By: /s/ Carrie Noteboom
Carrie Noteboom*
Assistant Deputy Attorney General
Jessica L. Lowrey*
First Assistant Attorney General
Ralph L. Carr Judicial Center
1300 Broadway, 10th Floor
Denver, CO 80203
(720) 508-6288 (Noteboom)
(720) 508-6167 (Lowrey)
Carrie.Noteboom@coag.gov
Jessica.Lowrey@coag.gov

Counsel for the State of Colorado

FAX: (720) 508-6040

WILLIAM TONG

Attorney General of Connecticut

By: /s/ Jill Lacedonia
Jill Lacedonia*
Assistant Attorney General
165 Capitol Avenue
Hartford, CT 06106
(860) 808-5250
Jill.Lacedonia@ct.gov

Counsel for the State of Connecticut

KATHLEEN JENNINGS

Attorney General of Delaware

By: /s/ Ian R. Liston
Ian R. Liston**

Director of Impact Litigation
Vanessa L. Kassab**

Deputy Attorney General
Ralph Durstein III**

Deputy Attorney General
Delaware Department of Justice
820 N. French Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 683-8899
Ian.Liston@delaware.gov

Counsel for the State of Delaware

KWAME RAOUL

Attorney General of Illinois

By: /s/ Jason E. James
Jason E. James*
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
Environmental Bureau
201 W. Pointe Drive, Suite 7
Belleville, IL 62226
(217) 843-0322
Jason.James@ilag.gov

Counsel for the State of Illinois

ANTHONY G. BROWN

Attorney General of Maryland

By: /s/ Steven J. Goldstein
Steven J. Goldstein*
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General of Maryland
200 Saint Paul Place, 20th Floor
Baltimore, MD 21202
(410) 576-6414
sgoldstein@oag.state.md.us

Counsel for the State of Maryland

BRIAN L. SCHWALB

Attorney General of the District of Columbia

By: /s/ Estefania Y. Torres Paez
Estefania Y. Torres Paez, BBO No. 705952
 Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
for the District of Columbia
400 6th Street, N.W., 10th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001
Estefania.TorresPaez@dc.gov

Counsel for the District of Columbia

AARON M. FREY

Attorney General of Maine

By: /s/ Robert Martin
Robert Martin*
Assistant Attorney General
6 State House Station
Augusta, ME 04333
(207) 626-8579
Robert.Martin@maine.gov

Counsel for the State of Maine

DANA NESSEL

Attorney General of Michigan

By: /s/ Lucas Wollenzien
Lucas Wollenzien**
Michael Moody**
 Assistant Attorneys General
Michigan Department of Attorney General
P.O. Box 30755
Lansing, MI 48909
(517) 335-7627
WollenzienL@michigan.gov
Moodym2@michigan.gov

Counsel for the People of the State of Michigan

KEITH ELLISON

Attorney General for the State of Minnesota

By: /s/ Catherine Rios-Keating
Catherine Rios-Keating*
Special Assistant Attorney General
Environmental and Natural Resources Division
445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1800
Saint Paul, MN 55101
(651) 300-7302
Catherine.Rios-Keating@ag.state.mn.us

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Minnesota

RAÚL TORREZ

Attorney General of New Mexico

By: /s/ William Grantham
William Grantham**
 Assistant Attorney General
408 Galisteo Street
Santa Fe, NM 87501
(505) 717-3520
wgrantham@nmdoj.gov

Counsel for the State of New Mexico

PETER F. NERONHA

Attorney General of Rhode Island

By: /s/ Nicholas M. Vaz
Nicholas M. Vaz, BBO No. 693629
Special Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
Environmental and Energy Unit
150 South Main Street
Providence, RI 02903
(401) 274-4400 ext. 2297
nvaz@riag.ri.gov

Counsel for the State of Rhode Island

MATTHEW J. PLATKIN

Attorney General for the State of New Jersey

Page 6 of 7

By: /s/ Terel L. Klein
Terel L. Klein**

Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
25 Market Street, 7th Floor
Trenton, NJ 08625
(609) 376-2818
Terel.Klein@law.njoag.gov

Counsel for the State of New Jersey

DAN RAYFIELD

Attorney General of Oregon

By: /s/ Paul Garrahan
Paul Garrahan*
Attorney-in-Charge
Natural Resources Section
Oregon Department of Justice
1162 Court Street NE
Salem, OR 97301-4096
(503) 947-4540
Paul.Garrahan@doj.oregon.gov

Counsel for the State of Oregon

NICHOLAS W. BROWN

Attorney General of Washington

By: /s/ Yuriy Korol
Yuriy Korol*
Assistant Attorney General
Washington Attorney General's Office
Environmental Protection Division
800 5th Ave Ste. 2000 TB-14
Seattle, WA 98104-3188
(206) 332-7098
Yuriy.Korol@atg.wa.gov

Counsel for the State of Washington

^{*}admitted pro hac vice

^{**}pro hac vice application forthcoming

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE & RULE 7.1 CERTIFICATION

I, Turner H. Smith, certify that this document was filed through the CM/ECF system on May 12, 2025, and will be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified in the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF). I also certify that on May 8, 2025, I conferred with counsel for Defendants, who have indicated they plan to oppose this motion.

/s/ Turner H. Smith_____ Turner H. Smith