	Case 2:16-cv-02877-JAM-GGH Docum	nent 1 Fil	led 12/07/16	Page 1 of 10	
1 2 3 4 5	Morgan J.C. Scudi (SBN 147942) 5440 Morehouse Dr. Suite 4400 Phone: (858)-558-1001 Fax: (858) 558-1122 Lucas@rdlfg.com Attorney for Plaintiff Roque "Rocky" De la Fuente				
6					
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8	TOR THE EASTERN	V DISTRIC	I OF CALIFOR		
9		1			
10	ROQUE "ROCKY" DE LA FUENTE,	CAS	SE NO: TBD		
11 12	Plaintiff,	COM	MPLAINT FOR	:	
13	V.		Injunctive and De	claratory Relief	
14	ALEX PADILLA, in his official capacity as the Secretary of State of the State of	f			
15	California Defendant.	JUR	RY TRIAL REQ	UESTED	
16	Defendant.				
17					
18	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT				
19 20	1. Plaintiff, ROQUE "ROCKY" DE LA FUENTE (hereinafter either				
21	"Roque De La Fuente" or "Mr. De La Fuente"), by and through his undersigned				
22	legal counsel, file this civil action for prospective equitable relief against defendant,				
2324	ALEX PADILLA, in his official capacity as the Secretary of State for the State of				
25	California, requesting permanent injunctive relief enjoining defendant from				
26 27	certifying California's 2016 election results without including write-in votes cast				
28	for plaintiff for President of the United States by the voters of California.				

1
COMPLAINT for INJUNCTIVE and DECLARATORY RELIEF

28

1

2. Plaintiff alleges that defendant intentionally, and with an illegitimate animus, selectively refused to certify plaintiff's slate of 57 presidential electors for his write-in campaign for President of the United States in California's 2016 general election while defendant certified the Republican slate of 55 presidential electors which included a candidate for presidential elector who was constitutionally disqualified to serve as a presidential elector under Article II, Section 1, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution and candidates for presidential elector from other candidates for President of the United States whose address did not match an address recorded on a California voter registration application. Defendant's selective enforcement of the laws governing the certification of presidential electors against plaintiff violated rights guaranteed to plaintiff under the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

- 3. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's selective enforcement of California and federal law, defendant continues to refuse to tabulate any write-in vote cast for plaintiff for President of the United States in the 2016 California general election.
- 4. Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enjoin defendant from certifying the results of the 2016 California general election for the office of President of the United States.

JURISDICTION

- 5. Jurisdiction lies in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, providing that district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution of the United States.
- 6. Moreover, jurisdiction lies under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a), the jurisdictional counterpart of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 as plaintiff alleges violation of rights guaranteed to him under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

VENUE

7. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as defendant exercises his authority within the Eastern District of California, maintains an office within this district and all of the operative acts or omissions have or will occur in this district.

PARTIES

8. Plaintiff, Roque De La Fuente, is a registered member of the Democratic Party in the State of Florida and was an independent candidate for President of the United States in the 2016 general election. Plaintiff is a natural-born citizen of the United States of Mexican-American heritage. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Florida residing at 625 West Winter Park Street, Orlando, Florida.

9. Defendant, Alex Padilla, is the California Secretary of State.

Defendant is a registered member of the Democratic Party and publicly endorsed Hillary Clinton for President of the United States. Defendant is the supervisor and chief election official for the State of California and charged with enforcement of California's election laws. Specifically, defendant is charged with enforcement of federal and state laws governing the filing and certification of candidates for presidential electors to be elected in the general election. Defendant's place of business is located at 1500 11th Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiff is a registered Democrat and was an independent candidate for the office of President of the United States in the 2016 general election.
- 11. Plaintiff has announced that he intends to be a candidate for the 2020 Democratic Party nomination for President of the United States.
- 12. Plaintiff has also announced that in the event he does not secure the 2020 Democratic nomination for President of the United States, he will be an independent candidate for President of the United States in the 2020 general election.
- 13. Prior to plaintiff's independent campaign for President of the United States, plaintiff was a candidate on the California Democratic Presidential primary election ballot for seeking the 2016 nomination of the Democratic National

5

8 9

11

10

13

12

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

Convention for President of the United States opposing eventual nominee Hillary Clinton.

- 14. Plaintiff appeared as a candidate for the office of President of the United States on the general election ballots in twenty (20) states and ran as a writein candidate in states where he did not qualify to have his name appear directly on the general election ballot.
- 15. The California Election Code requires that candidates for the Office of President of the United States timely file a slate of 55 qualified presidential electors in order to appear on the general election ballot or, in the case of write-in candidates, to have vote cast for them to be tabulated and included in the official election results.
- 16. The California Election Code requires that defendant must review and certify slates of presidential electors.
- Section 7300 of the California Election Code requires major political 17. parties to file their slate of presidential electors for the 2016 general election on or before October 1, 2016.
- 18. The California Republican Party is a major political party and filed their slate of 55 presidential electors for the 2016 general election on October 3, 2016.
- One of the 55 presidential electors filed by the California Republican 19. Party held an "Office of Trust" with the federal government as was disqualified to

serve as a presidential elector.

20. Accordingly, the California Republican Party failed to timely file a slate of 55 qualified presidential electors and should not have been qualified by defendant to have their 55 presidential electors appear on the California general election ballot.

- 21. Despite the constitutional defect, defendant certified the California Republican Party's slate of 55 presidential electors.
- 22. Section 8652 of the California Election Code requires write-in candidates for the Office of President of the United States to file a slate of 55 presidential electors on or before October 25, 2016 (i.e., 14 days prior to the date set for the general election).
- 23. Plaintiff filed a slate of 57 presidential electors with defendant on October 10, 2016 at the San Diego Elections office.
- 24. At the time plaintiff's slate of presidential electors was filed, elections staff checked the signatures of all 57 presidential electors and confirmed that the filing would be sent to defendant's office in Sacramento, California.
- 25. Plaintiff was notified on October 25, 2016 that his slate of presidential electors was not certified by defendant solely because defendant could not match the address recorded for seven of plaintiff's electors with the address they recorded on their voter registration applications.
 - 26. Numerous presidential electors filed by other political parties were

either not registered voters of the State of California or were not even residents of the State of California.

- 27. Accordingly, defendant intentionally refused to apply the same standard for the certification of presidential electors that he applied to plaintiff's slate of 57 presidential electors to any other slate of presidential electors filed by any other candidate for the office of President of the United States for the 2016 general election.
- 28. No provision of the California Election Code requires presidential electors to record an address identical to an address recorded on a voter registration application.
- 29. Plaintiff alleges defendant's failure to certify plaintiff's slate of presidential electors is the direct and proximate result of defendant's political animus against plaintiff for his prior opposition to the nomination of Hillary Clinton by the Democratic Party for President of the United States and his continued opposition to the election of Hillary Clinton as President of the United States and the fear that plaintiff would dilute Hillary Clinton's vote with Hispanic voters.
- 30. Defendant's selective enforcement of the laws governing the certification of presidential electors against plaintiff based on defendant's partisan animus against plaintiff is a violation of plaintiff's rights under the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
 - 31. Defendant continues to refuse to count ballots cast for plaintiff in

32. Plaintiff has no other adequate remedy at law.

California's 2016 general election.

COUNT I (EQUAL PROTECTION)

- 33. Plaintiff reasserts each preceding allegation as if set forth fully herein.
- 34. Plaintiff is entitled to equal application of the laws governing the certification of presidential electors in the State of California.
- 35. Defendant selectively enforced laws governing the certification and qualification of presidential electors against plaintiff's slate of presidential electors for plaintiff's California write-in campaign for President of the United States.
- 36. Defendant's enforcement of the laws governing the certification and qualification of presidential electors against plaintiff and not the California Republican Party is the direct and proximate result of defendants partisan political animus against plaintiff and his effort to protect and augment Hillary Clinton's vote totals in California.
- 37. Accordingly, defendant's selective enforcement of the laws governing the certification and qualification of presidential electors against plaintiff, and not the California Republican Party or any other slate of presidential electors filed in support of any other candidate for President of the United States in the 2016 general election, and defendant's continued refusal to count write-in votes cast for plaintiff for President of the United States in California's general election is in violation of

(ase 2:16-cv-02877-JAM-GGH Document 1	Filed 12/07/16 Page 10 of 10		
1	Dated: December 6, 2016			
2				
3				
4	Respectfully submitted,			
5				
6		/s/Paul A. Rossi		
7	MORGAN J.C. SCUDI, ESQUIRE	PAUL A. ROSSI, ESQUIRE		
8	ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF CA Bar # 147942	Seeking Admission Pro Hac Vice ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF		
9	Scudi & Ayers, LLP	PA Attorney ID # 84947		
10	5440 Morehouse Dr. Ste. 4400	IMPG Advocates, Inc.		
11	San Diego, CA 92121 (619) 558-1001	873 East Baltimore Pike Suite #705		
12	Email: Lucas@RDLFG.com	Kennett Square, PA 19348		
13		(717) 961-8978 Email: Paul-Rossi@comcast.net		
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	COMPLAINTS CAINHINGTINE AND DEGLAR ATORN RELIEF			
	COMPLAINT for INJUNCTIVE and DECLARATORY RELIEF			