

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

FILED  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
2016 MAR -1 PM 1:57

CLERK'S OFFICE  
AT GREENBELT  
BY  DEPUTY

Chong Su Yi

\*

Plaintiff(s)

vs.

\*

Civil No.: DKC 16CV0561

issued 2/26/2016

Democratic National Party et al.,

\*

Defendant(s)

\*\*\*\*\*

Amended 2/29/16 COMPLAINT

1. Jurisdiction in this case is based on:

☒ 28 U.S.C. 1357

“... or to enforce right of citizens of United States to vote in any State.”

☒ 15<sup>th</sup> amendment

“The right of citizens of the Citizens of United States to vote shall not be denied . . .”

☒ 28 U.S.C. 1331

“The district courts shall have original jurisdiction . . . under Constitution, Laws, . . .”

Federal Question:

When 15<sup>th</sup> amendment’s ‘to vote’ is matter of Congress, and Federal issue by virtue of its existence:

Could two Major Party; Democratic National Committee and Republican National

Committee; e.g. DNC and RNC; ipso facto in the prior ordo cogniscendi; where DNC

Maryland and RNC Maryland subsumes under national DNC and RNC; use State’s

resources to affect, regulate, govern, et al., ‘to vote’ in 15<sup>th</sup> amendment; in upcoming

November 8<sup>th</sup> 2016 election ipso facto in the prior ordo cogniscendi; where senate, house, and presidential election et al., subsumes under it; in that in order to uphold clause 2 of 15<sup>th</sup> amendment, couldn't 2014 House of Representative election, 2012 presidential election, 2010 senate election must be invalidated; so that pre 2014 house, 2012 president, pre 2010 senate could legitimately uphold clause 2 of 15<sup>th</sup> amendment?

2. The facts of this case are:

Donald Trump raised issue of running as Independent, Plaintiff has no such luxury as Independent in State of Maryland, there are consequences; between Democrat/Republican or independent; regarding 'to vote' in 15<sup>th</sup> amendment.

When Donald Trump switch to Independent, his end result being president candidate relative to voters; would; vary; as he takes away from two major parties; for his support; In State of Maryland, when voter switch affiliation; from two major parties to independent; vice versa, the end result is not identical; as Donald Trump, the aggregate issues; herein voters; in Donald Trump; would become fluid; but Plaintiff when switches from independent to major party, vice versa; the aggregate issues; herein issues person can choose to vote; vary; from major party primary to independent; there is no issues to vote; as independent; as major party primary; there is no primary as independent; thereby violates due process of the law; by virtue of existence of DNC and RNC who uses state resources; as Plaintiff is registered independent.

List of Parties:

Democratic National Party

340 S. Capitol Street S.E. Washington DC 20003;

Maryland Democratic Party

33 West Street Suite 200, Annapolis Maryland 21401;

Republican National Party

310 First Street S.E. Washington DC 20003;

Maryland Republican Party

15 West Street Annapolis Maryland 21401;

Chong Su Yi pro se, none attorney, none law school, none college

8210 Dixon Avenue Silver Spring MD 20910

2a. Argument of the case are:

When, as in example, Girl Scout of America holds primaries and caucuses and elect their candidates on November 8<sup>th</sup>; of presidential election year; as candidates for president, senate, house, governor, et al; they do not use State's resources; to elect their candidates but DNC and RNC ipso facto in the prior ordo cogniscendi; State DNC and RNC use State's resources; to execute 'to vote' in 15<sup>th</sup> amendment; and this is unconstitutional in multiple levels and multiple fronts.

Multiple Levels and Multiple Fronts:

Use of State Resources

DNC et al, in State of Maryland uses Montgomery County voting machines, Montgomery County Voter's registration information; and State of Maryland has Maryland State Board of Elections; to govern primaries; while primaries are manned by DNC and RNC people;



These are not same as in example aforementioned Girl Scout of America; therefore, its unconstitutional, because current process violates deprivation of "liberty" mentioned in 14<sup>th</sup> amendment without due process of the law; of 'to vote' in said amendment.

Legality of existence of DNC and RNC et al.,

DNC and RNC are Nongovernmental Organization; NGO; as NGO, it cannot use State resources without due process of the law; or consent of congress in matter of Life, Liberty, Pursuit of Happiness; in Preamble of Constitution; as well as in prohibition placed in 14<sup>th</sup> amendment Life and Liberty without due process of the law.

State Compact is lawful to resolve dispute between States in matter of None Life, None Liberty issue; i.e. water rights and land dispute; setting aside on National Driver's Register; NDR; is not stipulated as lawful, i.e. matter for another court; in that Congress always maintained in matter of Life and Liberty of citizens of United States is concerned; States may not make State Compact.

DNC and RNC does not have Congressional consent in 'to vote' in 15<sup>th</sup> amendment; because Constitution mandated Congress has sole jurisdiction in enforcement. Therefore, DNC and RNC in its current operational protocol; of its existence is unconstitutional.

"To Vote" in 15<sup>th</sup> Amendment is None States Issue

To paraphrase Article VI, which it states "What is not enumerated, enunciated, incorporated in Constitution is matter of States" and 15<sup>th</sup> amendment states:

Section 1. The right of citizens of the United States to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any State on account of race, color, or previous condition of servitude.

It simply means people of colored who are citizens 'to vote' per 15<sup>th</sup> amendment must be regulated by Federal Agency; and since "rights of citizens" in Constitution only exist in Article 1 Section 8; tax is for to defend and general welfare; i.e. to vote is general welfare; to defend created U.S. Military i.e. Pentagon et al., which means 'to vote' must be regulated by Federal Agency.

2b. Conclusion


Because 2016's Maryland DNC and Maryland RNC is not regulated by federal agency, the DNC and RNC primary scheduled for April 26, 2016 must be canceled, which involves 1/3 of senate, entire house, and a president, as such de facto revert is 2014 house and senate; and 2012 president must be declared invalid.

3. The relief I want the court to order is:

- ☐ Damages in the amount of: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ An injunction ordering: DNC et al., to cease operation;
- ☒ An injunction ordering: cancel April 26, 2016 Maryland Primaries;
- ☒ An injunction ordering: 2016 presidential election scheduled at November 8<sup>th</sup> 2016 in State of Maryland.
- ☒ Other (explain) Declare result of 2014 midterm election held in State of Maryland on House of Representative improper certification;
- Declare result of 2012 presidential election held in State of Maryland improper certification;
- Declare result of 2014 senate election held in State of Maryland improper certification;

2/29/2016

(Date)

  
(Signature)

Chong Su Yi

8210 Dixon Avenue

Silver Spring MD 20910

(301) 585 6463 x2

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**



Chong Su Yi

\*