# ORIGINAL

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION.

Plaintiff.

v.

PINNACLE AIRLINES, INC.

Defendant.

JUDGE: Friedman, Bernard A.
DECK: S. Division Civil Deck
DATE: 09/28/2004 @ 13:32:34
CASE NUMBER: 2:04CV73795
CMP EEOC V. PINNACLE AIRLINES
INC (DA)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEPE

COMPLAINT AND JURY
TRIAL DEMAND

### NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of sex, and to provide appropriate relief to former employee Amanda Hurst, who was adversely affected by such practices. The Commission alleges that Defendant, Pinnacle Airlines, Inc., ("Defendant") subjected Hurst to a sexually hostile work environment and retaliated against her by terminating her employment for complaining of the harassing conduct.

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343, and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) ("Title VII"), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
  - 2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the

jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division.

# PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "Commission"), is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation, and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant, Pinnacle Airlines, Inc., has continuously conducted business in the State of Tennessee, City of Memphis and Kalamazoo, Michigan and has continuously had at least 15 employees.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant Employer has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(b), (g), and (h).

#### CONCILIATION

6. Prior to the institution of this lawsuit, the Commission's representatives attempted to eliminate the unlawful employment practices alleged and to effect voluntary compliance with Title VII through informal methods of conciliation, conference, and persuasion within the meaning of Section 706 (b) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e-5(b).

#### STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

7. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Amanda Hurst ("Hurst") filed a charge with the Commission alleging violations of Title VII by Defendant Employer. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.

- 8. Since at least November 2002, Defendant Employer has engaged in unlawful employment practices at its Memphis, Tennessee site in violation of Section 703(a) and 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a), 3(a). The Defendant's unlawful employment practices included a physical sexual assault on Hurst by her supervisor, a male flight captain, in Kalamazoo, Michigan.
- 9. Hurst complained about the assault and advised Respondent she could not work with her harasser. Respondent made no assurance she would not be assigned to work with her harasser.
- 10. Respondent also retaliated against Hurst because she complained about sex harassment. Hurst was forced to take a medial leave at reduced pay in order to avoid contact with her harasser. It also refused to allow her to return to work part-time. Hurst was only able to return to work when her harasser's employment ended following a *nolo contendre* plea to the criminal sexual assault complaint.
- 11. The effect of the unlawful conduct complained of in paragraphs 8, 9 and 10 above, has been to deprive Hurst of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely to affect her status as an employee because of her sex, female.
- 12. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 8 through 10 above were intentional.
- 13. As the result of the unlawful employment practices, Hurst has suffered emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and inconvenience.
- 14. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 8 through 10 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Hurst.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant Employer, its officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in sexual harassment and any other employment practice which discriminates on the basis of sex.
- B. Order Defendant Employer to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for women, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.
- C. Order the Defendant Employer to make whole Hurst, by providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful employment practices.
- D. Order Defendant Employer to make whole Hurst, by providing compensation for past pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described in paragraphs 8 through 10 above in amounts to be proven at trial.
- E. Order Defendant Employer to make whole Hurst by providing compensation for past nonpecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of in paragraphs 8 through 10 above in amounts to be proven at trial.
- F. Order Defendant Employer to pay Hurst punitive damages for its malicious or reckless conduct described in paragraphs 8 through 10 above, in amounts to be proven at trial.
- G. Order Defendant Employer to provide training to its owners and employees regarding the prohibitions of Title VII, including sexual harassment.

- H. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.
  - Award the Commission its costs of this action.

# JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

ERIC S. DREIBAND General Counsel

JAMES N. LEE Deputy General Counsel

DATED: September 28, 2004

ADELE RAPPORY (P44833)

Regional Attorney

ROBERT K. DAWKINS (P38289) Supervisory Trial Attorney

TRINA MENGESHA (P59458) Trial Attorney

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

DETROIT DISTRICT OFFICE Patrick V. McNamara Building 477 Michigan Avenue, Room 865 Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313)226-3407

JS 44 11/99 CIVIL COVE The JS-94 civil cover sheet and the inform by law, except as provided by local rules for use of the Clerk of Court for the put I. (a) PLAINTIFFS  U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMEN COMMISSION	s of court. This form, approve rpose of initiating the civil do	reninea non	supplement the filip dictal Conference DEFENDANT	ng and service of pleading of the United States in So	s or other papers as required potember 1944, is Gouland
(b) County of Residence of First Listed  (C) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)  Trina Mengesha (P59458), Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 477 Michigan Avenue, Room 865.Detroit, M1 48226 (313) 226-4620			County of Residence of First Listed KALAMAZOO  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE  LAND INVOLVED  Attorneys (IF BERNARD A FRIEDMAN  MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEPE		
CONTRACT  1 1 1 Insurance 1 20 Marine 1 130 Miller Act 1 150 Recovery of 1 150 Recovery of 1 151 Medicare Act 1 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Losens (E.id. Vaterans) 1 153 Recovery of Overpayment 1 154 Recovery of Overpayment 1 155 Recovery of Overpayment 1 156 Stockholders' Suits 1 150 Stockholders' Suits 1 150 Motor V	362 Personal injury Med. Majpracil  365 Personal injury Med. Majpracil  365 Personal injury Product Lie lift  368 Asbestos Personal injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPER 271 Truth in Lendin 380 Other Fraud 380 Other Personal Property Dama Product Liability ersonal  PRISONER PETITIC  PRISONER PETITIC  510 Motions to Vec Sentence Habase Corpus: deletons  530 General  530 General	RY	Agriculture Other Food & Brug Drug Related Selzume of Property 21; 861 Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs, Occupational Safety/Habith Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act Labor/Mgmt, eletions Labor/Mgmt, Reporting A Diadosure Act Relivary Labor Act Other Labor Ligotian Empl. Rel. Inc. Security Act	BANKRUPTCY  422 Appeal 28 USC 158  423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS  820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark  50CIAL SEGURITY  661 H IA (1 395t) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DRWCORWY (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS  870 Taxas (U.S. Plaintill or Defendant)  871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES  400 State Reapportionment 41 0 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC  460 Deportation  470 Recketer Influenced & Carrupt Organizations  510 Selective Service 550 Seou ritter/Com mod Alex/Exchange  875 Customer Challenge 12 LISC 3410  891 Agricultural Acta 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 1944 Energy Allocation Act 1955 Freedom of Information Act 1900 Appeal of Fee 1950 Constitutionality of State Statutos 1950 Constitutionality of State Statutos 1950 Constitutionality of State Statutos
	ONE BOX ONLY)  3 Remanded from Appellete Court  Clyil Statute under which you are it jurisdictional statutes unless dive on Civil Rights Act of 1964  CK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTICER F.R.C.P. 23	4 Retraite or Records Records Figure and Title I ON 5DE	#noths  ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	use.	t unlawful employment

# PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

.4.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?
	ve the following information:
Case No.	
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)
If yes, giv	e the following information:
Court:	
Case No.	
Judge:	
Notes :	