

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States of America	CASE NUMBER
v. PLAINTIFF(S)	2:25-cv-09323-MCS-RAOx
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department et al	ORDER RE TRANSFER (RELATED CASES)
DEFENDANT(S).	

CONSENT

I hereby consent to the transfer of the above-entitled case to my calendar, pursuant to this Court's General Order in the Matter of Assignment of Cases and Duties to District Judges.

October 17, 2025

Date


United States District Judge

DECLINATION

I hereby decline to transfer the above-entitled case to my calendar for the reasons set forth:

Date

United States District Judge

REASON FOR TRANSFER AS INDICATED BY COUNSEL

Case 2:23-cv-10169-SPG-ADSx and the present case:

- ☒ A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings or events; or
- ☒ B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or
- ☒ C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or
- ☐ D. Involve one or more defendants from the criminal case in common, and would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges (applicable only on civil forfeiture action).

NOTICE TO COUNSEL FROM CLERK

Pursuant to the above transfer, any discovery matters that are or may be referred to a Magistrate Judge are hereby transferred from Magistrate Judge Rozella A. Oliver to Magistrate Judge Autumn D. Spaeth.

On all documents subsequently filed in this case, please substitute the initials SPG-ADSx after the case number in place of the initials of the prior judge, so that the case number will read 2:25-cv-09323-SPG-ADSx. This is very important because the documents are routed to the assigned judges by means of these initials