

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JERALD LENTINI, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF GOVERNMENT  
EFFICIENCY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:25-cv-00164 (JMC)

\* \* \* \* \*

**PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY**

Plaintiffs respectfully draw the Court's attention to today's opinion in *Does v. Musk*, No. 25-462 (D. Md.), in which Judge Chuang finds not once but twice that the plaintiffs had sufficiently alleged that Elon Musk was in charge of the "Department of Government Efficiency" or "DOGE," however that term was defined, as follows:

- "Musk, assisted by *his DOGE subordinates*, 'continued to exercise control over USAID systems . . . to systematically block access to all systems by USAID personnel.'" Mem. Op. at 6 (filed Aug. 13, 2025), *Does 4, 7, 22, 27, 28, & 29 v. Musk*, No. 25-462 (D. Md.), *available at* <https://storage.courtlistener.com/recap/gov.uscourts.mdd.576293/gov.uscourts.mdd.576293.150.0.pdf> (last accessed Aug. 13, 2025) (emphasis added).
- "Collectively, these allegations support the inference that, as *the de facto administrator of DOGE at the time*, Musk directed these actions." *Id.* at 42 (emphasis added).

Date: August 13, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kelly B. McClanahan  
Kelly B. McClanahan, Esq.  
D.C. Bar #984704  
National Security Counselors  
1451 Rockville Pike  
Suite 250  
Rockville, MD 20852  
501-301-4672  
240-681-2189 fax  
[Kel@NationalSecurityLaw.org](mailto:Kel@NationalSecurityLaw.org)

*Counsel for Plaintiffs*